At a regular meeting of the King George County Board of Supervisors, held on Monday, the 4th day of February, 2020 at 6:30 p.m. in the Board Room of the Revercomb Building at 10459 Courthouse Drive, King George, Virginia:

PRESENT: Cathy Binder, Chairman
Annie Cupka, Vice-Chairman
Jeff Bueche, Member
Richard Granger, Member
Jeff Stonehill, Member
Neiman C. Young, County Administrator
Eric A. Gregory, County Attorney

Chairman: Call to order this regular meeting of the King George Board of Supervisors. Do we have any amendments to the agenda?

Dr. Young: No, Madam Chair.

Chairman: Thank you. Next up is public comment. Comments will be limited to three minutes per person in order to afford everyone an opportunity to speak. If comments relate to a specific public hearing item, we ask that you offer those comments at the time of the public hearing. Would anybody like to speak? Nobody would like to speak? Anybody like to speak online? Do we have any correspondence? All right, then we will move on to reports from members of the board. Mr. Stonehill.

Mr. Stonehill: Good evening, everybody. A little bit of a busy week. Wednesday, the 22nd, I spent the day with the local Dominion crew here in King George and the local operations supervisor. That's a heck of a hard working group, those guys. We spoke about a lot of things, also talked about keeping good relationship with the county and getting things done in a timely manner. If you do run into a problem with Dominion, they are really good. That's outside of paying your bill. If you can't get resolve, please reach out to one of us and they're very receptive and willing to help.
On Thursday, the 23rd, I attended our first quarterly networking breakfast which was at the Smoot Library. King George's local business and local area businesses come together to meet and share ideas. Virginia Career Works was there, they tell us about their services. They're a huge help to employers looking for workers and also people looking for work. They help out in many aspects of the career world, great resource for our areas veterans.

While I was there, I met with our new senior account manager for Atlantic Broadband. He's new to the area, new to Atlantic Broadband. He's very eager to learn about King George and our problems about getting more internet and better broadband to the area. He said he'll stay in touch with us to try to get things going here in the county.

Friday, the 24th, I met with the new community planning liaison officer with Naval Support Activity South Potomac, i.e. base, our biggest topic down there of course was traffic and how if there's any way to alleviate some of it. We spoke about carpooling, vanpooling, buses for offsite parking, staggered work schedules, the idea of less residential housing in the Dahlgren area. She's going to be the one that is working on the new military overlay and she's very interested in working with the county and she's willing to help out wherever she can.

Friday night, we all attended the King George Fire Rescue Awards dinner. King George's Fire Department is a great very professional group of men and women whose work and dedication to the county does not go unnoticed. The call volume that Chief Moody said that we have is astounding and is increasing each year. I know all of us will be looking at a new compensation packages for them and the sheriff's office as well. And also a big thank you to Mission Barbecue for bringing the great food.

Saturday the 25th, I attended the King George High School Charlie and the Chocolate Factory. Once again the staff, actors, actresses they just crushed it. They do such a great job over there. Thanks for the whole crew that put it on and a shout out to the two student directors that did the show, Abigail Ilia and Jason Knott. They did a great job on everything. And if you have not been to one of the high school shows, I urge you to go. They're great. They're on par with even the Riverside Dinner Theater. They're just great.
The 28th, I attended our first budget workshop at Company 1. Thank you, Dr. Young, for your great state of the county. Seems like we’ve had some really good business growth here in the last year over here in King George.

Monday, yesterday, I attended an FRA meeting in Fredericksburg and for people who don’t know what that is, it’s the Fredericksburg Regional Alliance which is a public private partnership that was formed to market our region. That region is made up the counties of King George, Caroline, Stafford, Spotsy, and the City of Fredericksburg. Their goal is to bring job growth and business to our whole region. And also, I have an appointment for the planning commission that I got. So I would like to appoint Joe Dacorta from Dahlgren for the Dahlgren rep of the Planning Commission.

Mr. Granger: Second.

Chairman: Any discussion? All those in favor?

Group: Aye.

Chairman: Any nays? Chair votes aye. Motion carries.

Mr. Stonehill: And that’s all I have.

Chairman: Thank you, Mr. Bueche.

Mr. Bueche: Thank you, Madam Chair. On the 24th of January, I attended the Fire Rescue and Emergency Services Awards banquet. I was in awe to hear of what these people do on a daily basis. As the new fire liaison taken over from Mr. Jenkins, one again, thank you to my colleagues for appointing me to that position. But I got to speak that night and I assured our fire rescue and emergency services personnel and along for our sheriff’s deputies and Sheriff Giles and staff, we are looking at a compensation package. We are aware public safety. We have to be competitive. Spotsylvania, Stafford County, Fredericksburg, Caroline, even Westmoreland right now, everybody’s looking at enhancing that. That greatly contributes to retention and our recruiting capabilities. We rely heavily on these people, our ambulances or our emergency rooms. This is something that is in the realm of public safety and security and quality of life all wrapped into one. So it’s something that I look forward to Dr.
Young presenting what he has worked out with the sheriff and Chief Moody and our finance department.

On the 28th of January, I took a tour of Fairview Beach Marine and Power Sports. Fairview Beach is right there in the heart of my district. Mr. Gill James took me around, showed me the operations out there and he’s looking to expand. It’s really nice to see people invest in our community and we’re making strides to make King George business friendly. I think we’ve come a long way and we still have a ways to go but we’re really working diligently to expand our economic footprint here in the county.

On the 28th of January I attended the county’s first budget work session. And again, thank you Dr. Young for your state of the county address. It was very informative.

Since there was no public comment, I will like to go back to one thing Mr. Stonehill addressed about his meeting on the base. The one thing I’d like to reiterate as I have in past meetings, something needs to be done about B Gate. I know it’s going to take money and I know it’s not within the commanding officer or his staff’s realm to do so without associated money. But if there’s something that we could work with them, get them to put it in their budget request, see something where we as the County of King George are willing to work with them with a military overlay district. There needs to be some partnership and something needs to be done to address B Gate which is right there on the side of 301. With that, that concludes, Madam Chair. Thank you.

Chairman: Thank you. Ms. Cupka.

Ms. Cupka: I too have my meeting next week with her so I will once again relay those concerns.

On January 24th, I attended King George Fire Rescue and Emergency Services Awards banquet. Thank you to Chief Moody and his staff for hosting. We are blessed to be served by so many selfless career and volunteer personnel that serve our community.

On January 27th, I attended the GWRC Policy Committee meeting. There was among other items a presentation by the Regional Complete Count Census Committee Chair. Census Day is April 1 and the theme is I Count.
Thank you to Mr. Clarke of the Department of Parks and Recreation and our volunteers who serve on our local complete count committee.

Immediately following that meeting, I attended the FAMPO Policy Committee meeting wherein VDOT presented the I-95 Corridor Improvement update. One of the major strategies emphasized to alleviate bottleneck was the rerouting of traffic to alternate routes including primarily 301. Furthermore, the round force mark scale update was provided and I won't get into that here. I'm sure we'll talk about that later during the agenda item.

On January 28th, I attended the first King George County budget work session. Thank you to Dr. Young, Department of Finance staff and Financial Advisor Davenport and Company for their thorough presentations.

On January 29th, I attended the Fredericksburg Area Association of Realtors local housing market discussion. Although the region enjoyed a record year and members are optimistic, in the short term, they caution to keep an eye on the end of the year forecast depending on the outcome of the November election results. King George issues continue to be affordable housing, traffic concerns, and broadband access.

On January 30th, I attended King George Family YMCA Board of Directors Meeting. Mark your calendars for Casino Night on Saturday March 14th.

February 1st, I hosted office hours at Smoot Library from 10 a.m. to 12 p.m. Three citizens visited with me during the two hours I was there. We talked about affordable housing, Wayside Parkland mitigation, and traffic concerns among other issues. I have been informed of a resignation for at-large representation from the Board of Zoning Appeals, and my understanding is that I do not make this appointment. I merely make a recommendation to the circuit court, correct? So at this time I would like to formally recommend to the clerk of the circuit court the appointment of Tony Scaramozzi to the at-large vacancy.

Chairman: Do we have a second or do we even have to make a motion?

Ms. Cupka: No.

Chairman: Just a recommendation, okay.
Ms. Cupka: And I just have two more things. You may have noticed that the last
couple of agenda and board packets have been posted online on
Thursday close of business rather than the customary Friday close of
business in advance of the following Tuesday meeting. I want to thank
Dr. Young and his staff for being flexible in implementing this change. I
appreciate your efforts in assisting us in giving our constituents and
ourselves more time to research issues and ask questions in advance of
the Tuesday meeting. Thank you again to all of you in our community
for the opportunity to serve you. Please don't hesitate to contact me via
email or visit me during office hours with your cares and concerns for
our community. My next office hours will occur on Saturday, February
15th from 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. at Smoot Library. Thank you.

Chairman: Thank you. Mr. Granger.

Mr. Granger: Yes, ma'am. Thursday, January 23rd, I had the opportunity to attend my
first census committee meeting. Mr. Clarke chairs that. A lot of good
ideas came out of that. I appreciate everything that he's been... he
brought out a whole bunch of ideas in regards to trying to get the word
out about the census. It's important. I won't beat that drum every
meeting because it probably become just chatter after a while but it is
important to make sure everyone participates in the census. As we get
closer, I'll probably mention again. I believe it goes live on March 12th
and it sounds like we're going to be doing a whole bunch of
advertisement in regards to signs, posting into stores, maybe reaching
out to churches, a whole bunch of different ideas trying to get the word
out that the census is coming up and it's important to our community
make sure everyone is counted. And so please everyone here, share the
word because it is important.

Friday, January 24th, I attended the King George Fire Rescue and
Emergency Services Award banquet. I'd just like to say thank you for the
invitation and thank you to everyone who serves our community in the
fire department and all the department, sheriff's department, all them. I
bring up the fire department obviously because we had the banquet.
They do amazing things for everyone in this community and they keep
us safe and I really appreciate what they do for us and it's heroic. So
thank you.

Tuesday, January 28th, I attended the budget work session. Thank you
the staff for putting together a good brief of the county and now we'll
actually get our sleeves rolled up and start the process of actually
Chairman:

Thank you. On January 22nd, I attended the King George Tourism Advisory Committee and on January 23rd, 2020, I attended the King George Historical Society where I came in and talked to them and I have been talking as many know to all the museums about collaborating together so that we’d have a one stop shop so that people can learn about King George history. So I came to talk about that.

January 24th, I went to the Fire and Rescue dinner. As always, I always thank those who serve this community not only in the military but in our fire and rescue EMT and our police officers. Thank you.

First meeting with GWRC on January 27th. I also attended that with Miss Cupka. I learned about GWRC staff reporting review smart scale applications, Rural Transportation Work Plan, and their smart scale application slots. There was also a presentation on GW Ride Connect and they also had and representative from the United Way talking about the regional complete count committee report. And it is very important that everyone vote because that establishes our boundaries and our representation at the state and the federal level. If everybody's counted than we know how many people representatives at the state level everything goes on who votes and you get counted.

On the same day on January 27th, I also attended the FOMPA meeting afterwards where VDOT presented their -I 95 corridor improvement update. And once again, as Miss Cupka said, they were very plain and they said 301 is being promoted as an alternative route. So what does that bring to King George? Is more traffic. They also presented the Lafayette Boulevard Transit Study update and one other thing that came out of it that struck me was building things are easy but operating and maintaining them is something else. They also mentioned the proposal at the end by the governor’s office to eliminate the state mandated vehicle safety inspections and how would that affect revenue for localities. And for those who drive regularly, the higher gas taxes that would also be added onto it could quickly cancel out the savings from the $20 inspection fee that they no longer have to pay as they’re talking about $0.4 cents per gallon for the next three years. That would eventually be $0.12 more per gallon.
I also attended with Ms. Cupka the 2020 vision for housing outlook where one thing that struck also in my mind was the infrastructure outlook and how important infrastructure is in the community that goes with growth if they have the right infrastructure so that your growth is managed and done in a good way. And for all of those who have ever wanted Chick-fil-A or in Fredericksburg, Trader Joe’s, the one commercial realtor went through an explanation of how they decide when those places that are very popular go in and we’re just not there yet nor is Fredericksburg ready for Trader Joe’s and it has to do with a whole myriad of different criteria we just don’t meet yet.

And one other thing they talked about is the new VA Center coming in their locality of somewhere between Cosner’s Corner and Stafford County line and the importance that senior living opportunities are going to start to occur. With the VA Center being there, there’ll be a lot of seniors that might want to live in a community to be near the VA Center for getting services. So I hope that’s an opportunity for localities to have more senior housing, and that is my report for today. Thank you.

Ms. Cupka: Madam Chair, would you indulge me for a moment?

Chairman: Go ahead.

Ms. Cupka: I was remiss. I believe we were to report back, myself and Mr. Stonehill, our travel budget at this meeting or for the work session?

Chairman: No, that’s works for the work session.

Ms. Cupka: Okay. Thank you.

Chairman: Thank you. All right. Do we have a motion for the consent agenda?

Mr. Parker: So moved.

Chairman: Do I have a second?

Ms. Cupka: Second.

Chairman: Any discussion? All those in favor?

Group: Aye.
Chairman: Any nay? Chair votes aye. Motion carries. Do we have... Can county officials report? Constitutional Office?

Dr. Young: The Sheriff may.

Chairman: Yes, Sheriff Giles, floor is yours.

Sheriff Giles: Thank you. First of all, Madam Chair and the members of the board, I'd like to tell you how happy I am that I'm going to be able to work with you guys for the next four years anyway. And I'm looking forward to it. And I appreciate that. But what brings me to you tonight is that I'd like to request the consideration of adopting an ordinance for the electronic summons system assessment for the code of King George. In 2014, Governor McCullough signed a House Bill 477 into law regarding e-citations which it's now Virginia Code 17.1-279.1 which it states that any county, city or town through its governing body may assess an additional some not in excess of $5 as part of the cost in each criminal or traffic case in the district or circuit courts located where such cases are brought in which the defendant is charged with violation of any statute or ordinance which violation in the case of the towns arose within the town.

The imposition of such assessments shall be by ordinance of the governing body which may provide for different sums in circuit courts or district courts. The assessments shall be collected by the clerk of the court in which the action is filed, remitted to the treasurer of the appropriate county, city or town and held by such treasurer subject to disbursement by the governing body to the local law enforcement agency solely to fund software hardware and associated equipment costs for the implementation and the maintenance of the electronic summons system. The imposition of the town assessments shall replace any county fee that would otherwise apply.

Essentially, what that saying for the fiscal impact for the county would be the sheriff’s office, we estimated between the criminal and the traffic a fiscal impact of 20,000 to 30,000 in yearly revenue to fund the implementation associated costs for continuing maintenance of the electronic system. The sheriff’s office also estimated that initial implementation cost of approximately 30,000 to 40,000 and a recurring annual cost of about 10,000 which would include maintenance agreements and supplies related to the e-summonses program. The implementation of the electronic summons system can be delayed until
the funds have accumulated for additional fee to fully pay for any startup costs. Essentially, at no cost to the county. So if you’re not familiar with the e-summons, essentially what it is is our MDTs and our cruisers, they have the software that when they make a traffic stop or they stop somebody for a crime, they can swipe their driver's license with a barcode on the back and it automatically fills in the summons for them. The only thing that they'll have to really put into the system would be they type in maybe a location and what the violation is that they're summoning the person for. Once that's all put in the system, they hit submit it goes into our RMS system at the sheriff's office and directly into general district court. Therefore, eliminating any type of data processing by personnel, freeing them up to do something else.

There is one pothole that we're working through and that's how to get that person to sign the summons. So we're working through that. There is some technology out there that we're looking at but that's something we can work down the road. But what I'm asking for tonight is your consideration and adopting that ordinance. Any questions?

**Dr. Young:** The board, I'd recommend that you authorize the county staff to advertise a public hearing for an amendment to the county code for the E statement ordinance.

**Chairman:** [00:45:48 Crosstalk].

**Mr. Granger:** I'm amenable to that. Absolutely.

**Chairman:** Okay.

**Sherriff Giles:** Thank you. Sure.

**Chairman:** Thank you. And Sheriff Giles, I enjoy that I got to call you that first in a public meeting.

**Sherriff Giles:** Thank you. I enjoy that you did.

**Chairman:** And thank you.

**Dr. Young:** Yeah, I need a vote on it. I need a vote on that motion, or recommendation, I'm sorry.

**Mr. Granger:** Is it just to hold it or do you need the date?
Dr. Young: No, I just need the authorization to advertise and then we’ll get together and we work on the date for advertising.

Mr. Granger: I move to authorize the county administrator to advertise a public hearing for the E-ticket ordinance.

Mr. Stonehill: Second.

Chairman: Second. Any discussion? All those in favor.

Group: Aye.

Chairman: Any nays? Chair votes aye, motion carries. Thank you. County Attorney’s report.

Mr. Gregory: Yes, Madam Chair. Thank you, Madam Chair. Madam Chair, members of the board, as you recall at the last meeting on January 21st, you authorized staffed or you approved a resolution prepared by staff to express your support of legislation to authorize Virginia counties to exercise additional taxing authority equal to that of cities and towns. More precisely, that would authorize counties that do not presently have the authority to impose and collect taxes for sales on cigarettes, admissions taxes, transient room rentals, meals taxes and travel campgrounds without limitation on the rate that may be imposed.

At present, there are five bills before the General Assembly addressing in various ways those policy proposals. The most broad is House Bill 785 and that would address all of those authorities, would allow counties to have all of that taxing authority similar to cities and towns. The sister bill to that is in the Senate at Senate Bill 484. Both of those bills are presently in their respective committees and they are probably going to be... well one of them is already being recommended to be reported to the full body. The other one is likely to be reported. Ultimately, those bills are similar so they’d probably be rolled together.

Another bill was continued to 2021. That probably will become moot because I believe if you read the news reports and the intelligence reports that we get from the General Assembly, this legislation is likely going to be approved. Now, I've got a caveat that you never know what might happen down there but it's heading towards likely approval. We're cautiously optimistic about that at this point.
Senate Bill 852 was passed by indefinitely so that bill failed but that's okay because the other bills cover that ground. And there's another bill. Senate Bill 921 that is presently in committee. That would only authorize counties to impose the cigarette tax. So if for whatever reason the General Assembly didn't want to go as far as granting that same tax authority, that tax parity to these cities and towns for counties across the board and all those categories, that might be a fallback bill where they could authorize that tax parity just for the cigarette tax.

So we're monitoring legislation. We are actively working with VACo and VML and supported that legislation for King George County and for other clients. So I want you to be aware of that. Your resolution was received well by VACo and very much appreciated. What I might suggest is to follow through on that is to send that resolution directly to your legislative delegation with a letter to accompany that similar to the letter on that same topic that was sent to them in 2019 around the same time.

So you have that before you, the 2019 letter, if so authorized. I could work with Neiman to form up that same letter basically in substance to submit with your resolution to the legislative delegation just so they know what your position is on those various bills.

Chairman: What do you guys think?

Mr. Granger: I'm amendable to that, yes.

Chairman: And that would be with the corrections with the correct bills and everything on it.

Mr. Gregory: Yes, ma'am. Yeah. We wouldn't submit... yeah, it would be formulated.

Chairman: Just making sure.

Mr. Gregory: Yes, ma'am.

Chairman: All right. Do we need a motion on that?

Mr. Gregory: Yes, ma'am. Please.

Mr. Granger: So moved as presented. Thank you.

Chairman: Second?
Ms. Cupka: Second.

Chairman: Any discussion? All those in favor?

Group: Aye.

Chairman: Any nays? Chair votes aye, motion carries. Thank you.

Mr. Gregory: One more. Thank you, Madam Chair. One more item. I am delighted to report that House Joint Resolution Number 135 carried by Delegate Ransone which celebrates the 300th anniversary of King George County was approved by both the House and the Senate. And so that is moving forward and is done. So congratulations.

Chairman: Thank you.

Mr. Gregory: Thank you. That concludes my report, Madam Chair. Thank you.

Chairman: Thank you, as always. All right. Do we have presentations and reports? Sheetz-to-Sheetz run presentation by Mr. Chris Chalkley. Did I get that right?

Mr. Chalkley: Close, Chris Chalkley.

Chairman: Sorry about that.

Mr. Chalkley: Good evening. I was here a few months ago and I gave you an informational briefing on the 2019 Sheetz-to-Sheetz run. So I'm back tonight with an important and specific request related to this year's event. So I'll get to that in just a second.

But first let me bring you up to date on the changes this year. Next slide please. Or am I controlling it? Okay, so the Sheetz-to-Sheetz run is scheduled for March 7th, and just like it sounds, it starts at the Sheetz right here right down the road. Runners go down now Route 3 about a mile, a mile and a half. They connect to the Dahlgren Railroad Heritage Trail. And then from there it's all the way to the Dahlgren Sheetz. So it's a total distance of 14 miles so just over a half marathon. It's a one way race. So once you get there, if you don't provide your own transportation, I give the option of shuttling you back to the parking area which is at King George Middle School and that's an important point. So I'll come back to that in a second.
The event itself it’s not competitive. It’s not timed. It’s really just a fun laid back event intended to get people outdoors, to get people enjoying the trail many times or the first time. Many people have never been on the trail before. It is also a charity benefit event. Last year, we benefited Ainsley’s Angels.

This year, we are benefiting primarily Sheetz for the Kids but also the rail trail. So Sheetz for the Kids, I’ve committed to donate 50% of the race proceeds to this charity. So this charity is even though it’s the corporate charity for Sheetz, it’s a large charity. It has a very local feel. So what they do is every Sheetz store will sponsor 16 children from that immediate area. So with three stores in King George that's 48 local disadvantaged children that benefit, and they benefit primarily through they receive clothing, toys and basic necessities especially around the holiday time. So that's where most of the charitable donation is going.

Also supporting the friends of the DRHT. Of course they're our host for most of this race. We appreciate that. So we’ll give a donation there in support of trail maintenance and their trail programs.

So a quick history. This is the third year. In 2018, it was really just a meet up, a word of mouth sort of event. Twenty four people showed up. Last year, we promoted it a little bit, that little bit of advertising as an Ainsley’s Angels fundraiser. Again very successful, over double the participants with 60 runners and we raised over $1,500. Now this year again, change it up a little bit, made it more of a professional event. Meaning we have a new website, sponsorships, that sort of thing. And it immediately sold out within three days before I could even advertise. So that was 100 runners and it’s capped at 100 for a couple of reasons.

So the limiting factors are one is the amount of swag of free stuff that Sheetz can give to the finishers and it’s a pretty generous package. And then the other big constraint of course is the transportation. How many runners we have to get back to the starting line. And it's sold out right away and already have over 90 people on the waiting list. That light blue box, by the way, at the top. We'll talk of that in just a second. But if I'm able to add 50 more, open it up to 50 more runners, then then we're talking a 150 total this year.

So where are these people coming from? Every dot on the map shows one of the 100 participants. So not surprising you see some in King George. It's only about 8 to 10 and the King George Colonial Beach Area,
not surprisingly there's a large contingent from Fredericksburg area. And surprising to me at least new this year is just went crazy in Northern Virginia. It got mentioned in a few running groups and certain Facebook groups and just took off in the Northern Virginia area. So we've got runners ranging from Baltimore all the way down to Wilmington, North Carolina coming to this event.

So that's the background. Now why am I here? So with that overwhelming support and you saw the map of where everybody's coming from, and because they're coming from out of the area this year, my transportation is insufficient. So I've spent countless hours exploring every avenue I can in the county. What I've ended up with is Dr. Cottrell's van and Shiloh Baptist Church's van, and that's about it. And that's not going to cut it with right now 100, maybe 150 runners. And most of those runners are 80% on my sign up we're opting for my transportation.

So those are some of the avenues I've explored. Now the obvious solution I believe would be a school bus. So I've had a school bus. I rented a school bus that would solve those problems. I did speak with Dr. Benson and he was in favor of the idea. His only concern was the setting a precedent. You can't just rent school buses to anybody who has an event or who wants a school bus. Now he said if it is an official county sanctioned event, if it's for example one of the 300th anniversary celebration events or Parks and Rec event or whatever would take, that he would be onboard. But it has to be an official county event. So that's why I'm here. I could rent a charter bus. I mean that is an option. You see the numbers there for $600. But if you look at my budget, you see that that's not really an option. This is really a community outreach event. Certainly not a money making venture. So because I keep the costs so low to attract more people and because I'm donating half of it to charity, there's really not much left. So the charter bus route is not a viable option.

So I believe that if this event itself especially partnering with the school system really benefits King George in many ways. If I had the school bus it would give me the capacity back to that chart with the blue part at the top, I would be able to add 50 more runners to the event. So that's a 50% increase. So I would bring 150 runners now to King George and to King George's restaurants and to King Georgia's gas stations and hotels and that would be 50 more race centuries which means more charitable donations.
So with that, my estimate is if I had a 150 participants, then doing the math my donation to Sheetz for the Kids would be upwards of $2,000 and then also an additional $200 to the Dahlgren Railroad Heritage Trail. Speaking of the trail, other benefits. I work closely with the Friends of the DRHT and Chris Clarke with the Parks and Rec. We promote each other's events quite a bit. So this event itself, so when the finisher bag for example people have information on the trail of course on the upcoming the 50K this summer. It'll be done by then. But the upcoming, the annual Dahlgren half.

We also raise awareness of Ainsley’s Angels and their mission of inclusion. So joining us at the start line this year, we'll have two wheelchair bound athletes. So we'll have a young lady from Washington D.C. and we'll have a I believe a 9 year old boy from Stafford and for both it'll be the first time that they've been able to go on the trail. So they're joining in in the race just like with 98 others. Of course, it raises awareness through social media of 300th anniversary. It gets the youth involved. We'll have members from Boy Scout Troop 191. You've got members from the high school cheer squad and the track team that are all volunteering at various stages on this event.

And then the last point is just a reminder to emphasize that I'm not asking for a free ride here. Literally, I'm asking to rent a bus. I did talk with the transportation supervisor. I believe she quoted I think it was $35 an hour. It was whatever the standard amount was, very reasonable so that the schools would be compensated. And so that's it. That's what I'm bringing to you. Again, I'm seeking your consideration to endorse this event as a county event whether that means a 300th anniversary event or whatever it takes so that I'd be able to rent a school bus for this. Thank you for your time and I'll take any questions you may have.

**Chairman:** Thank you. Any discussion?

**Mr. Bueche:** Madam Chair, we've heard outbriefs from the Sheetz-to-Sheetz run the last few years. It's something that has been growing. I think it adds a lot to our county. It's one of those things that makes our small community unique that we're able to do something like this on the heritage trail that we have. It promotes one of our assets. I would like to endorse this as a county event. However, I don't want to stretch too far and say that this would be a 300th anniversary event because we have an advisory board for that. We have a committee that's working on that and I don't
want to overstep on that. But as far as from a county view, I believe, I'd like to recommend or recommend it to be adopted as a county event. I would stop short of going further though.

As far as the school bus and how that gets worked out, that's a school board issue and they can continue to have their conversation with Dr. Benson and the school board. But if what they need from us is to endorse it as a county event, I'm all in favor of that.

Chairman: Mr. Granger.

Mr. Granger: I just have a couple of questions about the logistics in regards to using one of the buses, would you be looking to use one of the bus drivers in the county? Do you have someone that has a license that could operate the bus?

Mr. Chalkley: My understanding that that would come... that includes a licensed driver.

Mr. Granger: Okay.

Mr. Chalkley: I was speaking with the transportation supervisor and I was asking things like would I compensate the driver in addition or is that cover that? Other than giving the driver one of the finisher bags that everybody else gets for running the race, that would be all that would take.

Mr. Granger: Okay. That's all I have at the moment.

Chairman: Mr. Stonehill.

Mr. Stonehill: I think I missed the date.

Mr. Chalkley: March 7th.

Mr. Stonehill: What time did it start?

Mr. Chalkley: 7:00 a.m.

Mr. Stonehill: I agree with Mr. Bueche. I would be behind this wholeheartedly and to have this as a county sponsored event. There are people coming from all over the East Coast, it looked like. I don't know if that's something that can be used for TAC money or whatever. It's definitely tourism.
People coming from North Carolina and everything. But however, it works out, I mean I would be all for it as well.

Ms. Cupka: Yeah. So, I just had a couple questions and I would point out our Parks and Recreation Department already has an MOU in place to use the trail. So, we already have a county department that has a firm partnership with the trail and your friends of the trail. Definitely tourism no doubt about that. You have the data to prove it here tonight for us. So, we appreciate that. King George County School buses already are used for fall festival. I know technically that is a county official event but that’s the Fall Festival Committee, their own separate nonprofit that runs that. We, the county, don’t run that. So there sort of already is a precedent that it would be acceptable to do it for this sort of thing. So I just have one question. I’m assuming your participant sign a liability waiver of some sort to participate in the event.

Mr. Chalkley: Yes. Two things. Everybody signs a waiver and also worked with Farm Bureau to get event insurance much like the Fall Festival.

Ms. Cupka: Yeah. My other question is do you have liability insurance? And then if we can just run that by the county attorney, Mr. Gregory, as to form to make sure that King George County and King George County schools are free of liability.

Mr. Chalkley: Yes, ma’am.

Ms. Cupka: I think it’s a wonderful idea. Thank you for coming tonight to talk to us about it.

Mr. Chalkley: Thanks for your time.

Chairman: That was my question too. So, do we need a motion on this? Just consensus?

Mr. Gregory: Consensus is fine.

Chairman: We have a consensus then? We’re good. Thank you for presenting. Next up is Roseland Road property presentation by Mr. Chris Clarke, Director of Parks and Recreation. Before Mr. Clarke says something, I want to point out that this is the start of a discussion. It’s not a decision. It’s a discussion. Mr. Clarke, the floor is all yours.
Mr. Clarke: Thank you, ma'am. Tonight, I come before you to discuss the property that we have acquired at 3321 Roseland Road as part of the Wayside Park mitigation process. Just a summary, with the mitigation process Wayside Park and Martinsville Park were both originally obtained through the Federal Lands through Parks Program where they must be used for public use and they came at a cost to the county. Actually, every way I could say, I did see that we paid $1 long long time ago. Due to the very nice Thomas Mack Milton 301 bridge expansion parts of Wayside Barnesfield and the Dahlgren Heritage Museum properties are projected to be lost. Replacement lands had to be found by Maryland Department of Transportation and VDOT.

In that process King George County asks for like property including water access, and VDOT through their process would only look at available properties listed on the open market for sale. So at the end, this was their best and final offer were these two properties and we took advantage of the offer that we had. The properties in question 1013A at the bottom is the current Barnesfield Park. 1013C is the Dahlgren Heritage Museum. Wayside Park is on the far right, 1013B. The two properties we're obtaining; 1014 is 166 acres that we are not discussing tonight. The parcel we're talking about, 3321 Roseland Road is 10-1-3 on the far right against the water.

That property is 2,006 acres. It has 190 feet approximately of waterfront which is bulkheaded. The property has above-ground utilities with private well and septic and the home was built in 2004. The house itself is just over 3,000 square feet on two levels, 2,400 square foot basement with a lock up staircase outside. It's three bedrooms with two and one half baths, has two art studios. The former owner was an artist and so the two studios are there. A covered porch, a screened in porch and a two car garage.

That's our first floor plan showing the bedrooms on the right. The family room and dining area is very open. The area that squares off the dining room are actually columns and open archways so it's not a separate room. And then the two studios on the left. Upstairs, the master suite. Both pictures, the very top of the screen is actually what faces the Potomac River. So the master bedroom is the top that is all windows.

But why we're here tonight. On January 15th, we had an exploratory meeting between the Dahlgren Heritage Museum, the Ralph Bunche Alumni Association and the King George Historical Society to discuss the
possibility of utilizing this property as a museum where all three entities would have ability to display works. Part of that would need to renovate the house for public use. It is currently a residential property so it doesn't meet ADA codes. Then we would need to work directly with community development to make sure we met those codes and changing bathrooms possibly adjusting hallways and adding ramps.

We would look to partner with the three entities; Heritage Museum, the Alumni Association and the Historical Society to have displays displaying our history and we would need to create a plan of supervision with these partners to keep the museum open on a regular schedule. One of the promises that we have talked about with the residents of that area is that we will have a staff presence there as regularly as possible to make sure that we keep the neighborhood as safe as possible. That staffing schedule might involve volunteers from the partner associations or county staff.

Additionally, on the property, it being water access point, we would have the ability to do kayak or stand up paddle board rentals. There would be some need to do some improvements to the water access points to get those kayaks and send the paddle boards in. That picture shows a little bit. The access is beyond those three trees and it's not the easiest walk down even by foot to do it with a kayak or stand up paddle board or canoe would be a little more challenging, so we'd need to work on that. And then depending on the facility space rating to be able to host small instructional classes for Parks and Recreation or meetings.

Tonight, the request would be to have you as the board advertise a special town hall, special meeting of the Board of Supervisors preferably at University of Maryland Washington Dahlgren so that we are in the neighborhood. We'd use that meeting to collect and gather public feedback on the proposal. In addition to collecting other ideas for the use of the property. And then following that town hall public meeting special meeting, allow the staff hopefully to work with the Dahlgren Heritage Museum, the Ralph Bunche Alumni Association and the Historical Society on either an MOU or an MOA for use of the facility.

**Chairman:** Before I give information and ask questions, colleagues, do you have any questions? Mr. Clarke, any thoughts, concerns? Who wants to go first? Mr. Bueche, I know you want to go.
Mr. Bueche: Thank you, Mr. Clarke, for coming here tonight. So first off, I'd like to think, Miss Binder for addressing this head on earlier that this is an opportunity or discussion. With that discussion, when we settled on this property, the reason that we were adamant as a board, previous board, that we have water access for recreation purposes was because that was a direct finding from multiple town halls that were held when we did our economic development strategic plan. That was the idea behind obtaining waterfront property.

But when the board came to the conclusion that this was the property that was going to be settled on and we had those talks, the direction that was given was that this would be referred to Parks and Recreations Advisory Committee to come up with multiple options of like use, like use being recreational purposes. The only thing I saw on the presentation was a sidebar discussion about paddle boards and canoes. There were other ideas that were thrown out by the previous board but the presentation tonight even with the last slide talking about a memorandum of understanding, I think we're putting the cart a little ahead of the horse.

The plan was to get a recommendation on multiple options from the advisory committee to bring to the full board of supervisors in a work session environment and for us to have a discussion and come to a conclusion of how we would best utilize the property having that input from the advisory committee. I think we're getting ahead of it. Now we're scheduling another town hall. We have the data. It needs to be for recreational purposes. Something like that is not going to be held in a town hall environment. I think we need to do our due diligence with the committee we have, get their options for uses. We all have our own ideas of what that use may be.

I'm not saying this is a bad idea. I'm saying this might be something that comes into play if other things don't pan out. But I think we need to at least come to some kind of discussion so that a town hall isn't chaotic with people throwing things out there saying, "Well, we have a plan. We have a plan." People have ideas but you don't have a plan unless you know how to pay for it. If this thing were a museum, let's say, it's not being rented out. How are you going to pay for maintenance and costs? This is now a county asset. Eventually it's going to need shingles, plumbing, electrical, yard maintenance, all that thing. All those things cost money.
And another question is the Dahlgren Heritage Museum that's out by Wayside, is that building still going to be intact when this bridge is completed? I know the initial thoughts were that it was not, that we were losing that property, but then after things got worked out it looks like we're retaining that. Is that correct?

**Mr. Clarke:** It is remaining in place, sir.

**Mr. Bueche:** So we would still have Dahlgren Heritage Museum which would be closer to 301. I appreciate the presentation but I would like for us to stick to the original format of Parks and Rec Advisory Committee bringing us options on multiple recreational uses and having a work session that's accessible to the public and the board of supervisors discussing what we would use this property for. That's my opinion.

**Chairman:** Next.

**Mr. Stonehill:** Thanks for coming out for your presentation. I think I've made this known that this whole process has given me really terrible heartburn over this piece of property since that's the district that I represent now. Every single person that I've spoken to has been against this whole piece of property taking in this neighborhood. The families have been on that road for hundreds of years and now they're going to have a county park right in the middle of their neighborhood. I don't like anything about it. I don't like the way that it came about. I don't know how much time you've spent down at Wayside or Barnesfield or on the river at that part of time, especially in the summertime when the prevailing winds are out of the south. So the wind starts blowing at Blackstone Island and blows all the way up. So it's fetches all the way up and it basically hits the shoreline over there. It's not what we're looking for a piece of waterfront access property.

I guess we have it. So my whole concern would be to lessen the impact on that whole neighborhood however we do it. I don't know how to do it. But my whole goal right there is not to have that whole neighborhood just be in an uproar because of what we've done there. The museum, I think that's a lesser impact I think than having it open as just a place to go hang out and party. I just don't think that a lot of people have spent as much time down there as the park foreman or the Karabots that live right next door or some of the other families that have been there for years and years and years including myself that
sees what goes on down there. So my whole goal is to lessen the impact on that neighborhood. We need to come up with more ideas on it.

Ms. Cupka: Thank you, Mr. Clarke, for your presentation. I would say I would like to hear from your advisory committee because that is part of their responsibility is to advise you and advise us. So I think it's premature to move forward with the other steps here before we really hear something from them. I agree with Mr. Stonehill. My family has used Wayside Park for a number of years. I helped plan a school field trip a couple years ago and those kids collected 27 bags trash that day. So I think inviting that into that neighborhood, we need to be very cautious about how we go about doing this. And frankly Mr. Stonehill and I inherited this. We weren't on this board to make this decision but we're stuck with it. So I think we need to slow down and press pause. So I do want to hear from your advisory committee before we move forward with anything further. Thank you.

Mr. Clarke: Yes, ma'am. And I can tell you today they have not. We have discussed this at multiple meetings since the idea first came on board in August and they have not come to a consensus.

Ms. Cupka: Okay. And then the other thing is I walked around the property on Sunday just to get a lay of the land but I have not seen the inside. So I am looking forward to meeting with you next week to have a tour of the actual building. Thank you.

Mr. Granger: Mr. Clarke, thank you for coming out. I appreciate it. So you said the Parks and Recreation Committee has discussed it. You just haven't come to consensus. Did they share that they were interested in holding a town hall to generate ideas from the community or was this kind of your idea saying, "Hey, we don't seem to get a lot of traction, see if we can go a different route to try to get some ideas."

Mr. Clarke: When we had Ms. Binder's last town hall at UMW Dahlgren, the discussion was made that night about having a distinct town hall in each election district. And so in discussions leading up to this project was the idea of possibly just doing one in that.

Mr. Granger: In that case, with the committee having looked into this not come to a decision on what to bring, I don't think it's necessarily a bad idea to hold a town hall to generate feedback from the community because the community is the one this is going to be benefiting. And I mean Mr.
Bush brought up the MOU, but that's not until after you have the town hall and staff works with the associations to generate an actual plan to bring forth and then bring an MOU. So I don't think that we're looking to do an MOU like tonight. I think we're just really trying to come up with trying to help this committee to generate ideas. Everyone up here seemed to have some concern and I can appreciate the sensitivity of this issue. And so it might be helpful to have the public come out and provide a range of ideas. I'm sure we'll get some good ones and we'll get some that just won't work because you know... but it's important throw everything we can at the wall and see what sticks. That's my take.

Chairman:

Thank you so much and I appreciate all your comments. I know what came up was sort of this idea to have a special meeting. I had the town hall on December 10th, and the whole point was to go in, I promise the community when we had we could talk to them about what happened with the land mitigation, we would. I made a promise to all of those residents that night that we would involve them in the process. This was my attempt to involve them in the process. We have a special meeting in a town hall with all the residents in the community and all the citizens of King George can come and give their ideas and their feedback on this is just one of many ideas.

And the other point is there's a lot of misunderstanding and a lot of opinion that we could do a lot of things with this property that we cannot. When that land we acquired in 1972, there were covenants on the property. I did say that correctly, Mr. Gregory. And those covenants, once the land was finally transferred this month over to the bridge project when we got the new property, those covenants transferred from Barnesfield property and Wayside Park to the house in the other piece of property.

So those covenants still remain and the covenants are pretty restrictive. We cannot sell the house. We cannot move the house. All ideas that have been put out there. The house has to be allowed to be used by the general public, the house and the property. It has to be a recreation area or outdoor use or historical value which museums come in. I mean, for one thing that we could do for outdoor recreation with the museums is we have fourth grade students that learn about Virginia history, and what an amazing area and all the history that has occurred in that area. We could bring those students there to have interactive and living histories on the property to show [01:22:15] point, the Barnesfield plantation, the Indian tribes that were there. It's just a
unique piece of property and the museum is such a good low impact to the community. I mean, nothing’s perfect. As I said, I wish I could wave a magic wand and make it all go away and not happen what happened, but we can’t.

I thought this is a way to start the discussion to have everybody involved in it. Now that we officially... the property has been transferred, correct? We now could have our Parks and Rec committee and the museums now be able to go in the house and look it over and come up with ideas where we couldn't before because we did not own the house.

One other thing that was discussed in this collaboration agreements, because all the museums minus this property, want to work together to promote King George history together as unity. They mentioned that as a unit and as a group, they can apply for more grants and they can get grants to help renovate the interior of that house and to maybe finish the basement to be a climate controlled storage because all of our museums and the artifacts that are being dug up at the Barnesfield site, we have no climate control to house these items. So they could be taken from everyone’s houses and wherever they're located and brought to one site and stored and have our history preserved.

I know I’m on a soap box right now but as you all know I'm very passionate about history. Mr. Clarke also mentioned to me that he has talked to them and some people from the national park service about agreements so that we could rent out the space to rent kayaks, have another group. You could probably could say it better than I am right now.

Mr. Clarke:

So the ability is to put concessionaires on property. So rather than the county entering into an... going out and purchasing a dozen kayaks and hiring a guide and the possibly having that operation go belly up because of whatever reason and we’re stuck with kayaks and oars and flotation devices, we could put out an RFP to hire a concessionaire, some sort of outfitter to come in and operate a concession. They would pay the county a fee to do so. If it went belly up, we’re not stuck with property that we don’t have. We could go and lease it to somebody else. Not the entire property but to at least like they would be able to store, for example, their kayaks in the garage and they would be the vendor on the property.
Ms. Cupka: Can I add to that? I happen to know there is such a provider or purveyor in Fredericksburg who set up Stafford County Parks and Recreation's program exactly that way. So it's something to discuss and explore.

Chairman: The last point I would like to make is another promise that we made at the town hall and I've heard from residents, "Are you just going to leave it abandoned like other buildings in King George?" And this is a way to make sure that that building is maintained and it has a presence and there's not a lot of people just loitering around. I don't know how we'd do that. We'd have to come up with discussions. That is why I thought it was a good idea to have a special meeting town hall, whatever you want to call it, where everyone in the community can get together and talk about it. That's what I'm just putting out there.

Mr. Bueche: Thank you for bringing up... you're talking, expanding a little on the kayaks. However, I understand Mr. Stonehill's point. He represents that district and nothing negative to say about what he said. He's doing his job. My job's a little different. I represent James Monroe District and I'm looking out for my citizens who want more recreational activities. With that, a lot was brought up about people loitering and after-hours. But whether it's a museum or whether it's a Park and Rec office, at night, that property is still going to be there on the water. So if somebody wants to walk over there, they don't care if it's museum property or Parks and Rec property, they're going to walk over there. If people are going to trash it, they don't care what type of activity is taking place there.

One of the ideas that was initially kicked around since we're starting the discussion was relocating Parks and Rec offices. Now, not scrapping the Citizen Center. We need that. Actually, the Citizen Center brings in a lot of revenue to the county and funds a lot of Parks and Rec activities, but your offices are there. If we took the offices out of there, Parks and Rec has a problem with storage. At least that was brought up previously. You'll free up storage at the Citizen Center, so we don't need to lease new space or get Conex boxes. The storage could be utilized at the Citizen Center and you can base your operations out of that house. It could be fitted for office space. That way, we have a guarantee that it's manned during the day. There's always a county official presence there.

You could rent out the area for people that want to host family reunions, that want to have crab boils or something in the backyard, and still seasonally run the canoes. But we would always have that
presence there, and by renting it out, we'll be bringing revenue in to Parks and Rec and that could pay to maintain that property, because there will be maintenance cost associated with that. There are other ideas. It's not that I'm absolutely opposed to the museum idea, I just think there's other uses that can work to the benefit for the whole county. That's why I would like to see that discussion continued and maybe hit pause a little bit. That's where I'm at.

Chairman: Mr. Stonehill.

Mr. Stonehill: I just want to make sure no matter what we do when we have these town halls or other meetings that we get citizen input, period. That's my major, absolute major goal. Especially from the folks of Roseland Road. I mean, they're the ones who are most impacted by all these. We're stuck with this property. And I want to make sure that those guys are absolutely included in everything, bringing the ideas and bringing everything to the table, because like I said, they're the ones who are most impacted.

Mr. Bueche: I concur.

Ms. Cupka: What I'm hearing, we're not going to decide anything tonight, so why don't we have Mr. Clarke get his advisory committee's recommendations, suggestions, even though they don't have a consensus, come up with everything they could possibly see and they can be part of the presentation at the town hall. I'm not sure that we need five town halls to achieve this. I'm thinking maybe just one.

Chairman: It's a special meeting.

Ms. Cupka: Yeah, but we have them lead the discussion and we go from there. That way, the citizenry is allowed the opportunity to comment as well.

Chairman: That's the whole idea with this. This could be in a month, two months, I mean whatever you guys feel with that for the citizen advisory committee. How long would it take them to—

Ms. Cupka: Yes. I think my heartburn is the third step there, the third bullet point assumes that that's the direction we're going in and that's why I said let's press pause.
Chairman: That's what I said, just the top one I was talking about. Because you don’t want to leave the house vacant for a long period of time. The first one starts at... that could be... I don’t know how long it would take your advisory committee.

Mr. Clarke: The committee meets the third Wednesday of the month at 6:30 at the Citizen Center. And those meetings are open to the public, so we meet again two weeks from tomorrow. I don’t know that we would have all of our ideas gathered by then. We may need through the March meeting but...

Ms. Cupka: Can I suggest that the board not attend that meeting? We need to let your people do their thing and then bring it back.

Chairman: How about by April? Come with the report. April?

Mr. Granger: Yup.

Chairman: April will work?

Mr. Bueche: And then they bring their ideas and then we hold the town halls [01:30:40 crosstalk]. I'm amenable to that.

Chairman: That was just the start of the conversation.

Mr. Bueche: I'm amenable.

Chairman: First meeting in April, how's that?

Mr. Clarke: I'll bring back the recommendations first meeting in April and then we can go from there.

Chairman: All right. Thank you so much.

Mr. Clarke: You're welcome.

Chairman: All right, hold on. Let me flip over. Department of Community Development invitation for bid funding request abatement of public nuisances.

Mr. Hudson: Good evening, Madam Chair and members of the board. I'm here tonight to request funding for the invitation for bid for the abatement of three nuisance properties. They are 1370 Emory Lane in King George,
17289 Osprey Road, and 17316 Osprey Road. I did these jointly instead of in more of a proposal format. Somewhat like you might see from a procurement office. The county staff conducted site visits to each property. We placarded each property and sent required certified mailings and violations to the property owners of record. All three properties have been properly published in the Freelance Star as required by Chapter 4 Article 3 Unsafe Building Construction of the King George County Code.

The first property, 370 Emory Lane. No lights, oh, there we go. It’s in red. This is 218 headed towards Fredericksburg. This is the county line. This is Emory Lane, this drive here. This property is fire damaged. It was placarded by King George Fire Department in 2007. It’s remained in this condition since then. The house is abandoned. The property owners on record are deceased.

This part of the structure was an addition at one time. It’s not in danger of eminent collapse but it is deteriorating. This is another view of the property. What the county is seeking to do, county staff wants to request us to have this property boarded up and secured, not demolished.

The second two properties are on Osprey Road. They’re bisected by Osprey Road. It’s one property. It actually has two separate structures on it. This small corner here has an abandoned mobile home. This section of the property has an abandoned two-story home. This mobile home has been abandoned for years and years and years. That’s been a constant complaint from the residents of King George on the Potomac.

This is the debris that’s collected. It actually has an old privy outhouse on the property. In the bid packet, it’s been instructed they’ll have to go through the health department to properly abate that. The structure is not habitable at all.

This property is across the road on its second section. It’s an old house, two-story, what you can see of the front. That’s most of the back that has fallen in. It is in eminent danger of collapse at any time. A good wind storm would probably finish this one off. This is a separate structure on the property that’s also decayed and fallen in.

The Department of Community Development staff recommends the Board of Supervisors approve funding to remove, abate, and secure the
following; 370 Emory Lane, at a cost not to exceed $6,500. That would be to board up and secure the property. 17289 Osprey Road at a cost not to exceed $10,000. That would be removal of the abandoned mobile home, the debris, and the proper mitigation of the privy. 17317 Osprey Road at a cost not to exceed 15,000. That has multiple structures and has been used as a dumping site so there’s quite a bit of work there. The total funding request for all three projects is not to exceed $31,500. I’ll be glad to answer any questions you may have.

Mr. Bueche: So moved.

Chairman: No, I actually have... Mr. Stonehill, we talked about this. Can you ask that question you had asked me?

Mr. Stonehill: How do we recover these costs?

Mr. Hudson: Tax lien is placed on the property once we pay for it.

Mr. Stonehill: Like what they did with the medical building where they took that piece down?

Mr. Hudson: That’s how the ordinance is structured is once we pay for the service, I get all the receipts, the funding, all of our time, have the bill accurately depicted, forward it to Mr. Gregory. Mr. Gregory does a tax lien. It comes back to me. I take it across the street and record it immediately.

Mr. Stonehill: We’re sure to get these back? Cancel the property without a—

Mr. Hudson: I can’t answer that.

Mr. Stonehill: I mean, if we don’t get those back, do we seize the property?

Mr. Hudson: That would be a question for Mr. Gregory.

Mr. Gregory: Eventually that is a possibility. Yes, sir.

Chairman: It just has to go through the process, right?

Mr. Gregory: That’s correct.

Mr. Bueche: Which we outlined in the ordinance that we passed last year.

Mr. Gregory: That’s correct.
Chairman: Does anybody else have any other questions? Mr. Granger.

Mr. Granger: I assume it's all been vetted that we have the funds available to perform this action.

Dr. Young: Yes, sir. I'd be remiss and have Ms. Ward jump on my case if don’t advice the board that if you all are going to approve the recommendation, I also advice that you add language that you transfer $31,500 from contingency funds to the general fund and appropriate to the IFB funding request. Just language transferring money from contingency to general fund.

Chairman: Do we have a motion?

Mr. Granger: I'll move to approve as presented with the addition to transfer money from contingency to the general fund in the amount presented.

Mr. Stonehill: Second.

Chairman: Any discussion? All those in favor?

Group: Aye.

Chairman: Any nay? Chair votes aye, motion carries. Thank you.

Mr. Hudson: Thank you.

Chairman: Department of Parks and Recreation, Mr. Clarke, you’re back up again. Parks and Recreation policies.

Mr. Clarke: Thank you. Tonight, I bring you four policy updates from Parks and Recreation. The first is park use guidelines. These lay out rules and guidelines for the use of our parks including hours and operation and alcohol use, dumping of trash and parking. We looked back through 2017 on these and could not find where they had come to the board previously, so they may be an update from history but they may also be brand new.

The second could be a service project policy. It will set a framework for service projects including church groups, individuals, and scout projects. It'll allow the department to set a timeline for these projects and requires volunteers to follow and set a plan for their projects, which we've had issues with in the past.
The third is an affiliate manual. This affiliate manual allow us to create a formal plan for partner nonprofits that meet a need that the Parks and Recreation does not. For example, King George Little League and King George Youth Athletic Association offer two sports that we do not. It sets priority for facility reservations allowing those affiliates to fall in line after Parks and Recreation and county school programs. It creates a set reimbursement rate for those affiliates for their use of our facilities and parks.

The final one is athletic field use distribution and rental guidelines that will establish rules and regulations for governing our field rental by groups not covered by the affiliate policy. It sets field rental rates which will allow for improved maintenance of our fields which are currently not budgeted. It provides renters with specific guidelines of when not to use a field due to inclement weather as well.

Tonight I ask that the Board of Supervisors approve the following four policies for Parks and Recreation Department with the park use guidelines and the service project policies being effective immediately. In addition, I ask that the affiliate policy and the athletic field use guidelines be effective July 1st, 2020 in order to allow those groups to prepare for the cost associated with these new policies and guidelines.

**Chairman:** Do you have any questions for Mr. Clarke? Discussion?

**Mr. Stonehill:** I don’t know if this is the time to talk about maybe getting some type of local ordinances to address some of these problems that we’ve had done at the parks. Mostly of drinking or trash. I know when I read through those it was no alcohol, no alcohol, no trespassing, stuff like that. But that has never been addressed over the years. State law basically says if you’re an open container, if you’re drunk in public and you have to be arrested and taken to jail so I don’t know if there’s a way that— when I was reading through all these, is there a way to do a local ordinance for violation of Parks and Rec policy, you know, as opposed to dealing with state law which is much more stringent of what you can do. If somebody is in violation of the alcohol or the trespassing. My biggest thing would be alcohol in the trash. They go to Barnes Field all the time to the dumpster dumping household trash which is overflowing. There’s lots of signage, I don’t know if there’s anything in here about updating signage as well but there’s signs all over the place, “No alcohol, no alcohol” go there on a Monday morning and there’s you could fill a pickup truck with beer cans and beer bottles. I don’t know if we can do...
a local ordinance to address that. That could be just simply taking care of the summons.

Mr. Gregory:

Mr. Stonehill, I think we can look into that possibility the county does have the authority to regulate these kinds of things on its public health, safety and welfare, its police power. Many of the policies or the laws that you mention are already in place. It's just a matter of enforcement and requesting the sheriff to patrol those areas and enforce the state laws that are already on the books and also having a staff presence at those parks more frequently of course would help. That also is a funding issue, the board would have to consider but we can certainly look into local ordinances. I don't know if they're not enforced. Of course, the end result would likely be very much the same but we can certainly look into that. Absolutely.

Mr. Stonehill:

I mean the sheriff's office is stretched tell you right now and it's— but if you do, in the process right now, if you do arrest somebody down there for that violation, that person then has to go to Stafford and take them to jail. So, that's one person out of the county. So, I think a lot of times that's overlooked but if we had something that could be simply released on a citation locally, it would free them up and maybe put a little bit more teeth into some of these policies, as I was reading.

Mr. Gregory:

We'd be happy to look into that, work with you on that.

Mr. Stonehill:

And some more signs.

Mr. Clarke:

And part of that with the part of the user guidelines. We had an issue that a citizen caught on camera. She took a picture of someone who had dumped trash it, Cedell Brooks Jr. park over the New Year's holiday. When it was presented to me, we had a picture of a license plate and it was what do we and there was a process using a FOIA request that I could possibly get the information from the violator and send them a nasty letter but unfortunately we don't have a rule sign as we enter each park and so one of the things that I'm looking to develop with help of the advisory committee is once we get these passed that we can create a sign that many jurisdictions have when you drive into the park that states the specific rules no dumping, you know, the hours of the park and those things that will be much clearer and kind follow after the park entry signs so that we can— when we send it sheriff's officer in or we get somebody on video to say, “Hey you did this.” That we actually have something to stand behind because they passed a sign that has the rules on it.
**Ms. Cupka:**
I just want to thank you for taking the time to do this. This all these are much needed. I can tell you by being a first of Little League family and then a travel ball family, it’s needed because we have travel ball teams coming down from Stafford using Sealston on a regular and there should be a pecking order. Our county residents and our county teams should come first and so I really appreciate you putting this pulling this together, putting in writing so there are standards and no one can say, “Oh, you got treated better than me because...” So thank you.

**Mr. Granger:**
I move to approve the four policies as presented.

**Mr. Bueche:**
Second.

**Chairman:**
Any discussion? All those in favour.

**Participants:**
Aye.

**Chairman:**
Any nay? Chair votes aye, motion carries. Thank you once again. Next up, county administration 300th anniversary funding requests.

**Dr. Young:**
King George County has realized its 300th anniversary, in light of this the county is commemorating our trust’s internal, trust’s centennial through a series of monthly events that conclude in December 2020. The event planning is being executed to the 300th Anniversary Planning Committee and a formal commission comprised of members of the Tourism Advisory Committee. The county staff, private citizens and our third party contractor for the event planning. The committee as the board consider leveraging the fund for the celebration against the tourism fund. To date, the tourism fund balances $529,764.46 with the expectation to receive an additional $92,000 in revenue for the close of fiscal year in 1920. The Board of Supervisors requested that we host a celebration recognizing Mrs. Smoot. Planning for the event is complete and is now in need of funding. The budget request is for $5,399 and its detailed in the accompanying presentation. In keeping with state code, the tag to include his membership represent the hotel industry and other travel related fields has been consulted prior to making the funding request. I did not make a PowerPoint presentation because I thought that this one would probably be better for a conversation. So, the overview of the general activities that’s going to take place there will be actual evening meeting where we'll have a jazz band, we'll have some speakers, representatives from the Francis Library, of course Library staff and we’ll have a customized video that be made describing in detail the history of the library and the contribution that Miss Smoot has made to King George County.
And then that we’ll conclude with a social but simultaneously we’ll also be utilizing this as a fundraising opportunity for the friends of the School Library to generate more funds for the library. As the board is aware of the history of the conversations that’s taking place between the board supervisors and the library. We ask year after year after year, “Hey, increase your fundraising activities, increased fundraising activities.” And this year and this event is actually an opportunity that the Francis School Library is going to utilize to actually generate a more robust effort in fundraising for the school library. More importantly, conversations that I’ve had over the last two years with the library director is the facility itself.

We all know that the school library is one of the most beautiful buildings that is county owned in King George County and I’ve continually asked the librarian why do we not utilize that facility as a rental to, you know, utilize as a revenue opportunity, rent a facility out where people are renting facilities at UMW Dahlgren and at church facilities are even a citizen center. I think that the Smoot Library especially with the aesthetic amenities that it has offer certainly serve as a facility that could be rented out and generate more revenue for both the library and Francis School Library. So, we agreed that after this couple of years of conversation that this will be a great opportunity to actually proof that concept.

So, we deliberately hosting this event in the evening, moving some things around inside a library and actually going to see how does an evening event and the library work and that’s something that could be replicated but next time for revenue that can be dedicated to the school library. So, after conversating with the county staff and the librarians, everybody's excited about this event. So, with that being said, I’d recommend the board authorize $5,399 in expenditures against the tourism fund to fund the Smoot library celebration in support of King George County’s 300th Anniversary Celebration.

Mr. Granger: So moved.

Mr. Bueche: Second

Chairman: Any discussion? All those in favour.

Group: Aye.

Chairman: Any nay? Chair votes aye, motion carries. Sorry, did I? I messed up. Did I get a second?
Mr. Bueche: I second.

Chairman: Yeah, that's right, we're good. Thank you. Get me a—come on then. That’s alright. I have to be honest, I'll just be on the video because I'm going to the NACO legislative in the capital so if somebody else would like to go my place. I was asked to go and give a little speech and to be there. If somebody else could go my place, I would love it because I will be in D.C..

Ms. Cupka: I'll go.

Chairman: Okay, thank you. And thank you, Mr. Gravatt for filming my part for it. It should be a good night, Mrs. Smoot has given a lot to this community. A library and a park and it’s great to honour her contribution as a humanitarian especially for King George County. So, thank you for the tourism committee for doing that and Mr. Minor for facilitating that. Just doing a shout out to you, all right. Next up smart scale project discussion. Ready?

Mr. Minor: Yeah, of course. Good evening madam chairman, member of the board. So, this is just a continued discussion also to get you guys’ approval of the projects that were presented to the county by GWRC and VDOT. So, I believe you guys should have handouts?

Dr. Young: In the Board Packets?

Mr. Minor: Yup.

Ms. Cupka: From the last meeting.

Mr. Bueche: I know I’m looking at it on the screen.

Ms. Cupka: And it’s in the Packets.

Chairman: Ready?

Mr. Minor: I’m ready when you are.

Chairman: All right. So we had what—Can you go just a brief summary again of the options?

Mr. Minor: Yep, no problem. So, U.S. 301 route 207 corridor study beat out recommendations to remove the crossovers between—this is from Caroline 207 I believe, that’s what it is 20731 all the way up to the new bridge. The next one is a 301-ridge road median U-turn enhancements.
The 301206 Dahlgren Road, Quadrant Roadway Enhancements and the Indian Town Route 206, Dahlgren Road Roundabout Intersection.

Chairman: Let me clarify, we have five applications that we can submit as King George County, is it four or five?

Mr. Minor: Four.

Chairman: Four, okay. And one of the projects—

Ms. Cupka: Can I? I'm sorry.

Chairman: Yes, as you are—

Ms. Cupka: Technically we can file pre applications for five. They are only going to let four go through for applications.

Chairman: I know you have background in doing this before but the one for the 30127 corridor is GWRC sponsored and there are going to be the driver on that, am I my correct? I just want to clarify that.

Mr. Minor: Yes. So, it doesn't have to be. That's something that we can choose. I think what GWRCmb is looking for is a joint effort on that project with Caroline County. Now that's not something that we have elected to do. That's just an option.

Chairman: When we went to the meeting, the way I saw it is it's either Caroline or King George and I thought about narrowing and that's why I brought it back up again because we talked a lot about at the last meeting. Narrowing the study area from the 301 quarter to the 205301 intersection down, going down towards Dahlgren where the motels are because as some may know and some may not know that is a very heavily travelled area, it has a lot of residences and business in those turning areas are vital. There is not a lot of deceleration lanes or way. I mean, I know on my road and I know Mr. Richard had related a story to me tonight before the meeting about he had an experience with the fact of that inability to turn in in those turning lanes and getting bottled up just as, as a story but that they do a study concentrating on that area because that is a heavily trafficked area in that section.

To make sure that we don't eliminate lanes willy-nilly do we need to add deceleration zones? I just thought that would be more strong proposal because that is a need especially with the homes going in on in drive and the potential for the Ralph Bunche Humanities Center right at that intersection that's that whole quarter and if you start removing all the
ways for people to turn around or to get to their houses, I think people just don't realize how houses are off at those side roads that you think there is only one house but there's more than one house.

Mr. Minor:  Understood.

Ms. Cupka:  So, can I add something from the FAMPO meeting at GWRC FAMPO meeting? So, how it was presented in the GWRC meeting was in the executive director’s report. The statement was made that she had met and discussed with King George County and Caroline County prospects for a joint application. How it appeared in the slides, in the FAMPO meeting, was there were two sections bracketed off. One section would be a King George County only project for the area north of 205 on 301. The other section that was bracketed off, was a joint project GWRC, King George County and Caroline County. This only the section of 301 South of Route three to the county line and the entire corridor in Caroline County.

So, my guess is probably GWRC is looking at it as they have to do if they are filing this application as GWRC, they have to do what's best for the region which they're probably more inclined to do that and if they want to do that that's going to count against their applications. We don't need to count that as out one of our four which means if we go with your three original recommendations, I didn't have any heartburn about those. That means we have a fourth slot open or let's face it, we could do five per applications but why waste staff time if we know only four are going to...? Let's pick our four strongest.

Mr. Minor:  Right, understood.

Ms. Cupka:  So, we have an opportunity to pick a fourth project that was not previously identified. I have a few ideas, so, one if we could possibly pick something on 301 because there is a fresh study and that strengthens your score. It is a corridor of state-wide significance. So, that's going to strengthen our score because we are a rural community we are, weighted higher on the two factors of safety and economic development. So we want to pick a location that has higher incidence of crash so we'd want to consult the crash data and we also want to try if we can to find a location that has lots of commercial property available for purchase or in the process of development so that'll lend a higher score too.

Mr. Minor:  Yep. Agreed.
Ms. Cupka: I have an idea.

Mr. Minor: Shoot it.

Ms. Cupka: I don’t know what the crash data looks like but I was looking, thinking of 301 at southbound its Port Conway and northbound at Salem Church Road.

Mr. Minor: Yup, is that near the dealership?

Ms. Cupka: Yes. As you go south down 301 from Route three, there are for sale signs up all over the corridor down there.

Mr. Minor: Exactly, right.

Ms. Cupka: So, I think you’d have a strong application as far as economic development goes but like I said off the top of my head I didn’t look at the threads data too closely, so.

Mr. Minor: So, I think that’s a great idea. I’ve spoken with the dealership and I know that they are in expansion mode. So, as far as making that application stronger, I’m pretty sure we can work with them to figure out what they plan on doing there to help that application along.

Chairman: Any other thoughts?

Dr. Young: Okay. So, just make sure that we have consensus from the board going through the slide starting with the second page of the slide. The U.S. 301205 project that’s presented is the board... Do we have a consensus direct that application?

Chairman: Was that with these lanes here? I’m not in agreement with that one because, not being able to make that left hand turn I think would cause a lot of harder for everybody that travels down that way. Because instead of being able to turn left, that let like you now would have to make a right, go around, turn around and come back through I’m not for that one. As someone who travels that a lot. E-hmm. And that’s just my vote, I mean that’s up to you guys.

Mr. Bueche: I’m with Kathy on this one.

Chairman: I just think that’s going to cause more grief than...

Mr. Stonehill: I agree.

Chairman: Thank you.
Ms. Cupka: Can we ask VDOT for an alternate solution for the same intersection, I think might be an alternative. They've done that in the past for us where something was configured one way in the 301 study and we asked for variations.

Chairman: But it was a circle originally.

Ms. Cupka: Now bear in mind though that's going to have an impact on your level of service, your LOS. I mean you may not get the same desired effect but...

Chairman: I just don't see that one location as a problem right now. I mean, I might be wrong but...

Dr. Young: And just because we try to wrap our head around this one as well when we had our conversation with VDOT and the rationale is or what they wanted to stress is that yes, there's the existing current stoplight there, but since the crash data rate that's generated from that intersection and that specific stoplight most recently being that accident from the Westmoreland ambulance—

Chairman: The ambulance?

Dr. Young: -- killed that incoming traffic, they felt that it would seem counter intuitive to have three stoplights in these long U-turns with these stoplights are actually sync and they felt that that would help to streamline traffic and by having these right turns and not occurred in the left turns actually reduces crash rates from incoming traffic. So, that was the rationale behind it.

Chairman: I still have to disagree so I would not be in support of that.

Dr. Young: Okay.

Mr. Granger: I get the idea where you're saying those lights are all going to go basically when the main intersection goes red. You're going to have those go green for you doing at the U-turns. So, it's not as convenient necessary for someone who wants to turn left but I don't know, I see how that could help with reducing incidences.

Chairman: I also just say they'd have to make a really long U-turn lane there. And where are you going to put it? For the amount of traffic that makes a left there and I do it every day that would be a really long turn lane.
Mr. Bueche: My heartburn with this thing is one they want to move the flow of traffic. So you're going to take one red light and turn in three, doesn't make much sense.

Mr. Granger: That's not really what it's doing.

Mr. Bueche: But, they're talking about syncing. Supposedly the lights are sync over by Dahlgren road and 301. It's not working over there either. I don't see those lights synced.

Mr. Granger: Which lights? What do you mean?

Mr. Bueche: When you're going southbound on 301, all those lights leading up to that supposedly or are synced to move the traffic?

Dr. Young: No, no. Those aren't synced. There are times but they're not synced. When they say synced, like, these three lights... when one light showing green, all three light show green. When one light shows red, all three lights show red and that's not the setup that... it's not the same set-up—

Mr. Bueche: Supposedly the other ones are timed to move traffic, so time, sync, so thank you for the clarity. I really don't see it working over there so I really don't have much faith that this is going to work either. I can't support this one.

Ms. Cupka: Dr. Young VDOT, if I remember correctly, has a series of videos that came out when they did this 301 corridor. Could you find the link for us and forward it to the board members so they could see the video scenarios of how these intersections work to get a little better understanding of how the flow might possibly go?

Chairman: Oh, I know because I've seen that video and I've been on the, the descriptor they gave in Maryland on 301, I've been on those and that's an area that doesn't have a lot of traffic. If I'm thinking in the right area in Maryland on 301, it's right after you cross over the Bay Bridge and they have a lot of these. There's nothing there. So, it's really easy to make those turn-arounds. It's not the same as King George, and I have seen the videos because I went to the initial meeting about two years ago and I just don't see it in an area like ours. Different areas, it might be different like in Caroline County, I could see that in that long stretch of nothing but I just don't see it.

Dr. Young: So, I can get a hold of the video and also it seems that my understanding is the board consensus that you all want us to find a different alternative for this. The board doesn't like this alternative.
Ms. Cupka: So, we still want the intersection just not this design.

Dr. Young: Then that takes us to Route 206 which is the first slide presentation in Route 206, 301 intersection. What is the board’s consensus on this project? The logic behind this one again is the stoplights synchronization but more importantly they felt that this right-of-way would allow for more cars to be queued going into Dahlgren but that queue would be off of route 301 and therefore would accommodate more traffic getting out of that congestion area.

Mr. Granger: I don’t know if I agree with that assessment. The only reason I’d say that is because when you’re coming down Dahlgren Road, you still have the option to go straight so you can’t turn left and there’s a significant number of cars that turn left. So then if you’re going to say, All those are now going to start going straight or they’re going to turn right to turn left to turn left to turn right. I don’t know, I feel like you’re going to probably actually be hammering A gate a lot more and you’d also probably see a lot more cars on Owens because you’re going to have people who don’t want to go through this intersection then saying, “I’m going to go around down Owens to the intersection over by the sheets in the—

Mr. Bueche: And I will tell you, Owens cannot handle it, Okay? What they’re creating right here is three congestion points instead of one. I see them creating more, more backup.

Mr. Granger: I don’t, again, I think it’s synced. I don’t think it’s going to carry congestion. I just worry you’re going to have the mentality that people are going to look and say, “I can’t turn left there. I’m going to turn left at Owens.” and you’re going to get a lot more cars down at Owens.

Mr. Bueche: I think you’re going to have the backup coming out down to 206 but then you’re going to have in this little loop thing the sack or whatever they want to call it. You’re going to have that back and up and get it in a pinch point over here on 301. So you’re going to have two congestion points right there and people are going to try to miss that. So like you said, they’re going to ride up on Owens and Owens already cannot handle it.

Chairman: Do they had, in the studies they did, did they have anything to address B-gate or anything that we can substitute it for or not?

Dr. Young: No ma’am.
Mr. Minor: There is a different configuration.

Chairman: Was that the fly over? Because I remember in the original two years ago they had a fly over there. They might have scrapped that a long time ago.

Mr. Minor: Yeah, I'm not sure but there is a different configuration and essentially it is what you've seen for the 205 configuration now.

Chairman: I remember now that.

Mr. Minor: Basically that one. I have it here if you guys want to pass it around real quick.

Chairman: And I remember they have mentioned a flyover too but they probably scrapped that, right?

Mr. Granger: I do think it would help with flow. I just think you'd have a lot of mentality saying, "I'm going to try to avoid that intersection now." and it would increase traffic on Owens.

Chairman: And then in my question again you have to make some pretty big turn lanes and is there really anywhere there? I don't know how there's a solution. What is the consensus from the board?

Mr. Stonehill: I'd kind of like to see another option on this. I think we're I going from one congestion point to three and if we're headed for adding more traffic to go through that intersection from food lion to the gate is still just one lane of traffic. I mean, so you're putting a whole lot more. It looks like they're going to be putting a whole lot more flow onto a two lane road, you know, one lane either way. I can't see this doing anything except—

Mr. Bueche: And it's going to [00:27:00 inaudible] Potomac.

Mr. Granger: I wouldn't be against this. I'm going to ask, it's probably a terrible idea but I'm going to ask anyways, is there a way at Owens to make it so you can't go straight across Owens into B-gate? You'd have to turn could they...? With medians, I know that sounds probably... it's a terrible idea but...

Mr. Minor: I hear what you're saying, I'm not sure—

Mr. Granger: Because they know that's the real problem is you get a number of people flowing in who are going to go down Owens so they can cut across 301 to go in B-gate.
Chairman: I have another bridging off that I mean I know they have this idea here but can we put in for a study to have moral terms like Mr. Stonehill said to this and the B-gate, is that allowed under the smart scale?

Dr. Young: Well, smart scale is for improvement ma'am, you said for study?

Chairman: But for more in-depth to give us more ideas than just this.

Mr. Granger: Like I said, I don't think this is necessarily a bad idea from—

Chairman: I'm just putting it out there.

Mr. Granger: From flow—I just think it's going to generate another problem in another location, not even here. I think it's going to have a significant impact that B-gate and that crossing.

Mr. Bueche: I think no matter what we do, we're going to windup impacting A-gate or B-gate just because of what they are. B-gate especially, I mean, B-gate is right off of 301 and that's going to be impacted no matter what. I would like to see utilization and maybe this is a reach. They have that perimeter road that runs right inside that fence line that runs all the way from B-gate down to almost the river. I haven't driven on that road, okay, but I could see it from 301. That could be used as a staging point for traffic. They can make some reconfiguration with the gate and move that perimeter a little inside. There can be some things that can be done and utilize road that exists currently inside the base.

It's going to take a partnership and maybe we can work with VDOT to address some of the needs that the base may have and come up with something. Maybe that's an alternative that we the base and VDOT can work together on and actually solve the problem not just of their traffic flow concerns but our concerns of traffic backup during those key hours at the gate. Maybe we can kill two birds with one stone just an idea.

Dr. Young: So, it sounds to me that the board's consensus is they don't want this project. Should we return to VDOT to see if there another alternative because I'm assuming that you all don't like that alternative either.

Mr. Minor: Yeah, there is a second configuration.

Mr. Granger: That's again the no left. It would be similar to the—

Mr. Minor: Yeah, no left turn, you have to come back and make the U-turn in that 301 lane.
Mr. Granger: Again, I think that would cause a similar situation with increasing traffic in Owens. I might be wrong. I'm just giving, you know, trying to look at the human nature and how people think. I'm not opposed to trying to address it that way. I just don't know if there's a way to address than the B-gate intersection.

Chairman: There's a lot of concerns there and instead of just putting a band aid on it, we want to fix it or to the best of our ability.

Dr. Young: Okay, then that takes us into the third project which is the roundabout.

Mr. Granger: I like this one.

Dr. Young: This is on a roundabout.

Chairman: I did like that one a.

Mr. Granger: I like this one a lot.

Mr. Bueche: No brainer. Absolutely.

Dr. Young: Okay, we have the marching orders. We'll set up a meeting with Saluda.

Mr. Minor: So just to confirm, so it's going to be the GWRC joint project with Caroline, correct? The roundabout and then the additional project that we that we just discussed at the Port Conway and 301, correct? Okay.

Mr. Granger: And then if they could bring back any other alternative.

Mr. Minor: Yeah, for additional—

Chairman: For A and B-gate.

Mr. Minor: Additional configuration.

Mr. Granger: For both the 205, 301 intersection as well as the Dahlgren Road 301 intersection

Chairman: Thank you.

Mr. Minor: Thank you guys.

Chairman: All right. Next up, middle school expansion dug out project. What was that?

Dr. Young: Oh, I'm sorry, Okay. Give me one second. Let's see, okay, on December third 2019 the board, approved change orders number one through six except for line item CE number 012-RFP 004-softball dugouts. Ranch
construction initiated work on this line item under an owner’s request and the good faith that the company would be paid for services rendered. In light of the denial for the payment, the construction company has ceased work on the dugouts and the project remains incomplete. After a thorough review of the change of the process and the details of the dugout project as supported by the documents attached, both the county attorney and county administrator write it in the county’s best interests to approve the line item and complete the project. I recommend the Board of Supervisors approved line item CEO pound 012-RFP004-softballdugouts in amount of $37,425.71.

Mr. Granger: I know we discussed this before and the previous board did not want to approve this. I don’t necessarily disagree that I have a little bit of concern with the fact that this was push for in the first place. But in the same time, I mean, we do have an engineer there who’s involved in the process as well. So, from the perspective of other companies coming in to do construction here and the view of our county then saying, “Hey, we’re not going to back what our employees say that we’ve given them that authority to make those decisions.” I think it’s not in our best interest to not approve this. I think it should just be a discussion that maybe we should be a little more careful in the analysis from our employees to look at some of these things and verify that. It’s the appropriate thing to approve in the first place but I think it’s important to move on with this.

Ms. Cupka: I agree. At the end of the day, who suffers if we don’t this? 12 and 13 year old girls who plays softball for King George Middle school and the teams that they play against come and see, “What is this place?” no dugouts for the girls’ softball team but, “Hey, we have them for the boys’ baseball team.” I think it’s unfortunate how this played out, I think somewhere in Dr. Young’s change of command, someone authorized something that perhaps should not have been. I think that’s an administrative issue for Dr. Young do deal with. I don’t think we need to deal with that. I do agree with Mr. Granger, we need to move on and get the bill paid.

Chairman: Do you want to say anything Mr.---

Mr. Bueche: I concur, county staff was present, it was approved on site. We need to honour it and for clarity, this had nothing to do with the school board or their process. This was something totally separate that we wanted to look at but the county staff members did approve it so we need to pay it and move on.
Chairman: Mr. Stonehill, do you have anything?

Mr. Stonehill: We have a bill, we have to pay it and I think the county kind of got hosed on that. I just think that this is whoever agreed to this is—I don’t know, I just think that’s just an ungodly expensive for what we got and whoever went ahead and approve it, I mean, they should be spoken to. There are a lot of options but at the end of the day, pay the bill we have to pay it.

Chairman: I agree with that. Molly comment on this is because as I was very vocal about it but I’m not going to hurt kids. I was a coach for many years and I support all athletics. If we renovate any buildings from now on, we need to be more diligent up front to make sure we notice the cause and not just take the low bid and then all we get all this consent orders. That’s my biggest heart---change orders, I’m sorry. I apologize, I say that wrong. To make sure everything comes up front and we know what we’re getting in to and not all these surprises and that’s my biggest heartbreak about this because the material and everything was there and now it’s not there and now we’re paying a big price but those kids deserve that field, that dugout and a place to play. That’s why we should approve it.

Mr. Granger: Move to approve as presented.

Mr. Bueche: Second.

Chairman: Any discussion? All those in favour?

Participants: Aye.

Chairman: Any nay? Chair votes aye, motion carries. Alright, county administrators report.

Dr. Young: Yes ma’am, and I like to advice the board that the county attorney recruitment committee concluded interviews today and now they would like to move forward in the second portion of the process which will be interviews with the final list and the entire board. So, I’d like to ask the board for times that you all are available to conduct the interviews as a entire board and then request permission from the board of supervisors to advertise a special meeting of the board of supervisors.

Mr. Granger: How many interviews?

Dr. Young: It will be two final list.
Mr. Granger: Okay, we’re looking to do them back-to-back or?

Dr. Young: Yes sir. I’ll prefer you’ll be able to do them all in one day, back-to-back, prepare for about an hour a piece, so. I planned for two hours, two and half hours.

Mr. Granger: Okay, understood. How soon are we looking to hold this?

Dr. Young: At your all convenience, sir.

Mr. Granger: Everyone else wants--? Jeff I’m sure is probably a little more available at the moment than some of us, so. It’s not a bad thing isn’t it?

Chairman: Next week’s is pretty full for me. I mean there is Monday but...

Mr. Granger: I can do that.

Ms. Cupka: So Monday the 10th?

Chairman: Do we have something on that--?

Ms. Cupka: Is the CTE centennials --

Chairman: Yeah, never mind.

Ms. Cupka: -- school at the high school 5:30

Chairman: In the 11th we have a work session and on the 13th we have a work session.

Mr. Bueche: What about Monday at right after lunch? I mean we got a break and—

Mr. Granger: I can make that work if everyone else is available.

Chairman: At what time?

Mr. Bueche: Start it one o’clock. If he say it’s going to be—if Dr. Young say it’s going to be two hours, two and a half hours and start at one, that should bring us to about 3:30 and then we conclude in that we have event in that evening.

Mr. Granger: If anyone else is available, I can make that work.

Chairman: I can do that. Can anybody else make that? Can everyone else make that?

Ms. Cupka: I really can’t. I’ve already—I can do Wednesday evening next week but because of the travel at the end of this week for the county and other
Chairman: That’s fine.

Dr. Young: How about Friday?

Chairman: This Friday or?

Dr. Young: No, Friday the 14th.

Chairman: That’s Valentine’s Day.

Ms. Cupka: It is.

Chairman: Just saying, some people might get abused for that.

Mr. Bueche: It’s just a hallmark holiday ma’am.

Chairman: That’s true, some people say that. I mean, during the day its fine—

Mr. Bueche: Well I won’t tell my wife that—

Mr. Granger: I mean during the day. If we do the 14th, can we do it early in the morning?

Chairman: His wife is given her vote back there in the audience.

Mr. Granger: If we do the 14th, can we do it in the morning timeframe?

Dr. Young: If you all are available, yes sir.

Chairman: How about 10 o’clock on the 14th, would that be—?

Ms. Cupka: I would need to do it earlier that day. I need to be done by noon.

Chairman: I can’t do it until at least nine o’clock, so.

Mr. Granger: You said you can’t—

Chairman: Do it until nine o’clock, on the 14th.

Mr. Granger: You could start at nine?

Chairman: Yes.

Mr. Granger: Okay.

Mr. Bueche: Me too.
Mr. Granger: Mr. Stonehill? Let’s do that because it sounds like we should be done by... you need to be where you need to be at noon or you need to be done by noon?

Ms. Cupka: That works for me.

Mr. Bueche: 14th, 09:00.

Chairman: That works. Yes, here.

Dr. Young: And madam chair that concludes my report.

Mr. Bueche: So, you need a motion from the board to advertise—

Chairman: Oh yeah.

Dr. Young: Roger that.

Mr. Bueche: -- a special meeting.

Dr. Young: Yes sir.

Chairman: Would you like to make that motion?

Mr. Bueche: I move to authorize the county administrator to advertise a special meeting of the board of supervisors, February 14th at 09:00.

Mr. Granger: I Second.

Chairman: Any discussion? All those in favour?

Participants: Aye.

Chairman: Any Nay? Chair votes aye, motion carries. You have no more report?

Dr. Young: Yes ma’am.

Chairman: Do we have a motion to adjourn?

Mr. Granger: I move to adjourn until February 11th at six PM at company one.

Mr. Bueche: Second.

Chairman: Any discussion? All those in favour?

Participants: Aye.

Chairman: Any Nay? Chair votes aye, meeting is adjourned.

[END OF TRANSCRIPT]