

**VIRGINIA:**

At a regular meeting of the King George County Board of Supervisors, held on Tuesday, the 21<sup>st</sup> of February 2023 at 6:30 p.m. in the Revercomb Building Board Room at 10459 Courthouse Drive, King George, Virginia:

**PRESENT:**

Richard Granger, Chairman  
TC Collins, Vice-Chairman  
Cathy Binder, Member  
Annie Cupka, Member  
Jeff Stonehill, Member  
Chris Miller, County Administrator  
Kelly Lackey, County Attorney

**0:01:18.3 Chairman:** I call to order this meeting of the King George County Board of Supervisors. We will open with an invocation from Ms. Cupka and the Pledge of Allegiance from Mr. Miller.

**0:01:30.7 Annie Cupka:** Dear Lord, thank you for bringing us all together here this evening to conduct the business of our community. I'd ask that you give special prayers to our first responder family, and particularly our fire department and those in our region, as they have suffered multiple losses over the course of the past week. Please watch over them. Their job is already difficult enough. I'd also ask that you watch over all who gather here this evening and that you grant us all travel mercies as we depart home at the end of the meeting. In your name we pray, amen.

**0:02:10.5 ALL:** I pledge allegiance to flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

**0:02:24.4 Chairman:** All right. Mr. Miller, do we have any amendments to the agenda?

**0:02:37.9 Chris Miller:** No, sir.

**0:02:39.0 Chairman:** Okay. Thank you. I will now open the floor for public comment. Comments will be limited to three minutes per person in order to afford everyone an opportunity to speak. If comments relate to a specific public hearing item, we ask that you offer those comments at that time of the public hearing. Anyone who would like to come up and provide public comment, please provide your name, your address, and you'll have your three minutes.

**0:03:06.6 Anna Maria Lovell:** Hi, my name is Anna Maria Lovell. I live in Presidential Lakes. I came tonight to thank you for the service to the community. The people of King George elected you

to make good decisions for the county, especially when it come to taxes and other problems. I want to ask the Supervisors to help Mrs. Cupka to get rid of the decal. It's okay to charge one-time fee because you need it for the landfill, but not over and over again. At the last meeting I heard that some company wanted to build a drug rehabilitation center in the middle of Dahlgren. These people don't care about anything but profit; they see this business as a gold mine. They want to bring people from Maryland and all those places. When I go to Walmart, I see many Maryland tags. They come to get cigarettes, groceries, even though Maryland doesn't have taxes on groceries. You keep telling us we need to bring more people and businesses to the community, but it puts more stress on the police, the schools and fire department. Last Friday, I couldn't get out of Presidential Lakes because the traffic was terrible on Route 3. I thought it was due to an accident, but it wasn't. The traffic in Route 3, 218, 301 are absolutely congested. It wasn't like that this before. Thank you.

**0:04:41.0 Chairman:** Anyone else?

**0:04:54.3 Debbie Fairfax:** Debbie Fairfax, 623 Kings Highway. Mr. Chairman and members of the board. In August, 2022, the first application for rezoning to include data centers came before us. As part of that initial application, a change to the comprehensive plan was requested to include my family's farmland, my mom's home, my sister's home and many neighbors' homes. Homes in farmland would be slated as desirous to the county to eventually be industrial. It's understandable why a reasonable person would advocate against a change like that, especially since the applicant asked for a huge rezoning of over 700 acres of agricultural land to industrial but provided no details. Thankfully, you all agreed. In the weeks that followed my husband and I spoke to you and listened to you. We came to understand the need for industrial tax revenue and about the other significant issues affecting the county. We began to advocate for a win-win situation, one where industry and citizenry could peacefully coexist and both thrive. We tried to be part of the solution. We saw the ordinance overhaul process as a godsend. We repeatedly stated that good ordinances with appropriate setbacks and noise limits would be essential, and it sounds like supervisors from other counties have echoed those remarks. Spotsylvania is trying to get some updated data center regs in place. From the Free Lance-Star of February 17th, Spotsy's staff recommended that quote, "The county require noise studies prior to permit approval and use building design rules, setbacks, and buffers to mitigate noise problems." If this has been unclear, let me make it clear. We understand that you need industrial revenue and the offers that come with it to solve other problems in the county. What we're asking is that you please make sure that the people living near there are protected. That's why we advocated for this special exception, since the current data center and battery storage ordinances are not fully fleshed out and we don't know when an applicant may return; we do greatly thank you for your votes for the change order. With regard to the establishment of a technology zone in King George, please note that, number one, Spotsy is updating their data center ordinances. Number two, the ordinance governing their technology zone specifically states in section 24-11 that the zoning ordinance is not affected; all zoning ordinances were made in full effect inside the technology zone. And number three, Stafford's Industrial Virginia Gateway lies between the Stafford Regional Airport and I-95. That's different than what we have in King George. Thank you.

**0:07:58.1 Chairman:** Anyone else would like to...

**0:08:05.6 Juliann Dertz:** Hello again, my name is Julianne, I live on Village Lane. First of all, I'd like to thank everybody. You guys did a wonderful job against Pyramid Healthcare. Thank you for letting us and you guys see the real thing. The real thing is, is that they are gonna have criminals in

this facility, they're gonna bust them from the jails, and we don't know what we're gonna do and how we're gonna keep them contained. You guys made a lot of really good points, but we need a couple of answers. After we spoke, Pyramid Healthcare was nice enough to stand in the hallway. We asked them how big the fence was gonna be; they're not gonna build a fence. We asked them where do they think the cabs and the Ubers are gonna come from when convicts or jail birds or incarcerated people leave the drug rehab facility. We don't have cabs in our side of town, we don't have Ubers, how are you guys gonna handle that? We still have a lot of questions and we were wondering if there were any answers. At the end of the night, we stood out there and I asked the four representatives from Pyramid Healthcare what they thought of the area that they're building. How did they expect us to feel when this healthcare building of a 100-bed unit was gonna be in our neighborhood. And you know what guys, they didn't even go to the job site; they didn't even look at this piece of land, but the guy was nice enough to tell me that they're gonna build a \$13 Million building, and you know who's gonna work it, my neighbors, the people in King George. Most of us already have jobs, there are hundreds of jobs available here in King George, how many people are qualified to work in a drug rehab? But that's not the main question, the main problem. I have information from the state residential treatment facility that says, "You don't really have to have that much education; you need to have a couple of classes and you need to know how to do CPR." They don't even have to have a doctor on premise, especially now with COVID, I can do a Teladoc, so they don't have to have a doctor on presence. If they have 100 people in that unit, they only have to... At night, they have to have one worker per 30 people. A couple of you guys have been police officers, what happens when you get inmates together? They plan. What happens if a couple of these guys get together and break out all together at the same time? What happens if this is at five o'clock in the morning or 5:30 in the morning when a lot of us are walking our dogs or at six o'clock when the kids are going on a school bus and it's dark? How are you guys gonna protect us and maintain our safety? That guy sure did talk about a lot a lot about human rights, but what about our rights? What about the citizen's rights already? How are you guys gonna make sure that we're protected? Can you force them to put up a fence? We already know that there's no security, there's no fence. They're gonna have a door alarm, they're gonna call the Sheriff's department when they need help. Can you guys help us make sure we're safe and secure?

**0:11:25.1 Kim Clark:** Good evening. Kim Clark, Fairfax Drive. I'm coming before you so I can make this public record. At the last meeting, I believe Mr. Collins asked Mr. Miller regards to whether or not the water would be adequate, if we had the adequate resources to support Pyramid in the neighborhood. Thursday, I called Mr. Miller asking him a copy of the report. His reply was yes. I asked for a copy of the report so I could analyze it to shore it up. To date, I have yet to receive that report. I made several phone calls, I even had Ms. Hall as well as Mr. Minor get in contact with Mr. Miller to ask him to forward me the report. I have yet to receive it to date. So I'm hoping, now that it is public record, I will get the report so I can analyze it. Thank you, have a great evening.

**0:12:35.2 Chairman:** Anyone else for public comment? Mr. Dines, do we have anyone online?

**0:12:44.9 Chris Dines:** No, Mr. Chairman.

**0:12:49.3 Chairman:** Did anyone receive any correspondence to be entered into the record? Okay. In that case, I will close public comments and we will move on to reports of the members of the board. Ms. Binder?

**0:13:04.9 Cathy Binder:** Yes, thank you very much. Over the last couple of weeks, I've attended

the healthy generations, our annual meeting. The senior population is growing in the area, and they're looking at the possibility of a regional senior center. The Dahlgren Heritage Museum has established a relationship with the museum in California that is working on with a battleship and they're trying to co-work so they could maybe learn from each other and cross-promote. I was very pleased that the King George Historical Society has more enthusiasm going on and is moving in a positive direction. There was a lot of people at the last meeting, so it's actually good to see that and that it is going in a positive direction. And then the last, I wanna thank everyone who has come to speak. And we had two things on the docket in the last meeting; we had all the folks who came with Pyramid, about Pyramid Healthcare, and the representatives from Pyramid Healthcare, and then right after that, Mr. Collins and I had a motion on looking at an ordinance for data centers, battery storage and solar.

And the biggest thing I wanna bring up again is to make sure that we do think about the citizens and all of our things and by right development and how that's not always the best thing sometimes in certain industries and certain businesses, 'cause you want to make sure the Is are dotted and the Ts crossed and to make sure our citizens are considered. And especially since we look at, there's been a lot in the last two weeks, in Warrington, in Spotsy, in Stafford, in Loudoun, in Fairfax, in Prince William counties about data centers and in other localities about solar farms, and we need to make sure that we protect our citizens and let the ordinance process go through to the end before we make any major decisions, because our citizens do matter. Industry matters because, yes, we do need the tax revenue so that we don't overburden our population, but we need to do it right and we need to learn from our neighbors in the North who maybe regret some of the choices they made, but they were to first to the line for the race. So we're in the back of the race right now and we're moving up closer to the line to start like a big marathon, and we gotta make sure we do it the right way and make sure that our citizens, we look out for them while still bringing in industry and revenue to help not burden the tax space. Thank you very much.

**0:15:28.1 Chairman:** Thank you, Ms. Binder. Mr. Collins?

**0:15:31.3 TC Collins:** Good evening and thanks everyone for coming. Ms. Lovell, last week on Route 3 I did notice that... I was sitting in traffic and the, I thought it was an accident also, but it was nothing. Hopefully, that's an anomaly because it's not normally that way, but it was that way last week for at least two days; I don't know what was going on. Ms. Fairfax, Ms. Julian, Ms. Clark, all of you are asking for the same thing, whether it be data centers, Pyramid Healthcare, whatever it is, you all ask us for one thing, and that is to protect us, that's what you said, and that's what our job is, is to protect you all. Though there's a lot of things in motion now and we will hopefully... Some of these things will, you'll see maybe in the future that that's exactly what we're trying to do. I can't speak out of line at this time, but we all have your best interest at heart. I've spoken to every one of the board members and the administrator, they all have your best interest at heart. How we get there could be a little messy, but that's what happens in government. Ms. Clark, was that a FOIA request for that report? No. So I was just trying to find out if it was a FOIA request or not.

[background conversation]

**0:17:23.7 TC Collins:** I am curious of why she didn't get the report.

**0:17:28.5 C. Miller:** You and I did speak. And I'm trying to make sure I got your email correct, [REDACTED]. I sent you an email on the 16th of this month. I know I sent the first one that didn't go through, but then I sent another one, [REDACTED], and I'm not disputing you, but if I didn't,

I'll send it again, the... Okay.

**0:18:00.2 TC Collins:** So maybe you can copy it and she'd be happy to pick it up.

**0:18:00.7 C. Miller:** I'll be happy to provide it. So if I can answer the question, the typical process of our community development is to have a development review committee look at these particular developments, this one was looked at by all the relevant departments. Water and Sewer Service Authority was asked, and I think you'll see in there. Unfortunately, I misspoke, there was never a formal written document that was prepared by the County Engineer, but I did point out in my email to you that there's 47,000 gallons a day water capacity in that area where this particular building would go. Their usage according to their proposal is 20,000 gallons, a day and that would leave still the availability for everybody in that area. So that is what was provided to me based on the report by the engineer for the company that was... I'm trying to remember the name of the company that Pyramid Health is using, but our engineer looked at those numbers and then determined that the capacity was sufficient, that's what I reported, I believe, to either Ms. Cupka or to Mr. Collins at the last meeting, but it was based on a legitimate review of that. There is no formalized report that is done, but I will assure you that that is the case. And I will be happy to send you another email if it didn't, but I did send an email and I will make sure that the board sees that email.

**0:19:46.7 TC Collins:** Thank you, Mr. Miller. I know sometimes I've heard the same thing about different county offices not responding in a timely manner, maybe they could... If they send an email, maybe they could say, "Could you respond that you received this email?" So therefore, there would be no miscommunication in regards to that. Just an idea. Finally, as I spoke to earlier, about this board, helping folks in the community, we listened and we asked questions to Pyramid and we got worse answers than I thought it was. So we'll see where that goes from here. And thank you everybody for coming out and staying on top of it. Thank you.

**0:20:42.4 Chairman:** Thank you, Mr. Collins. Ms. Cupka?

**0:20:46.3 A. Cupka:** Thank you, Mr. Chair. Wanna start by thanking everyone who came out this evening for the meeting. Whether you spoke or not, we appreciate your participation. Ms. Lovell, I will again, endeavor this year to try during the budget cycle to eliminate the \$23 vehicle license fee that is imposed every year in the June bill. I think that towards the end of the cycle last year we have a suggested plan that would allow us to do so if we prorate. So there was a proposal brought forward by the commissioner of the Revenue and the treasurer, and I think I saw the commissioner is here this evening. Hopefully, we'll be able to get that across the finish line this year. Ms. Fairfax, thank you as always for coming out and providing your comment and remaining engaged. Thank you so much for that. Ms. Dertz, so I move to seek consensus of the board to direct staff to draft correspondence to Pyramid Healthcare with regard to the questions she asked this evening that remain unanswered.

Thank you. Thank you for that. And Ms. Clark, hopefully it sounds like your issue is going to be resolved here in short order but thank you as well for coming out and expressing your comments regarding the same matter. So on that Pyramid matter, for those who did not make it to the end of the meeting, the board did reconvene in public at the end of the last meeting and direct staff to draft a text amendment, so basically change the local ordinance so that going forward a hospital would not be a by right use, it would require a special exception permit, so it would have to go through an application process. So we are absolutely hearing you and looking out for you and doing what we can in the short term as well to address the situation.

I wanna congratulate the members of the King George High School Boys and Girls Swim Teams who competed at the state tournament last weekend. I wanna congratulate the King George High School Wrestling Team who also competed at States last weekend. Special congratulations to Aiden Enzana, who is the class 4B runner-up state champion for his weight class. Good luck to the King George High School Boys Basketball Team; they are playing right now at home in the regional quarter final at the high school. I wanna let everyone know King George Department of Social Services is hosting a family day in conjunction with the King George VFW, Sergeant Nicholas C. Mason, post 12202 at their second annual post birthday celebration on May 6th, 2023 at Silestone Sports Complex. From 2:00 to 7:00 PM, the public is invited, and you may also sign up to be a vendor or a sponsor. And as I mentioned it in the prayer this evening, please do keep our Department of Fire Rescue and Emergency Services family in your prayers. You may have noticed the Black Bunting at Company One. It will remain there longer. In the past week, they have now lost three lifetime members of their volunteer squad. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

**0:24:30.0 Chairman:** Thank you, Ms. Cupka. Mr. Stonehill?

**0:24:34.3 J. Stonehill:** Thank you. Good evening. Everybody just thanks for coming out. It's good to hear everybody's comments and stuff. And as Mr. Collins said, we definitely have everybody's best interest at heart when we do really any of these, anything that goes on in the county; sometimes we feel like the little Dutch boy and the dyke, we get these holes and you gotta keep reaching around and plugging all these different holes when these new situations come up that we just don't have on our books. So we're trying our best up here and it's kind of a work in progress. And Julianne, I've talked to a lot of people throughout the county in the last couple of weeks about Pyramid and there are some things going on and nobody, the county, the Board of Supervisors are very excited about this. So we'll see what we can do to lessen the impact on the folks of Dahlgren for sure. So definitely know we're working on that. And that's, I don't really have a report, but I also just need to reappoint someone to the Building Code Board of Appeals for the Dahlgren area. Ms. Leslie Jordan, her term is expired and I'd like to reappoint her to the Building Code Board of Appeals.

**0:26:18.7 TC Collins:** Second.

**0:26:18.8 J. Stonehill:** Is that the BZA? Okay. Well, a recommendation to the Circuit Court to have her reappointed to the Code Board of Appeals for a five-year term.

**0:26:35.8 TC Collins:** Still second.

**0:26:35.9 Chairman:** Okay. We have motion properly seconded. All in favor... Any discussion? All in favor say aye.

**0:26:38.0 C. Binder:** Aye.

**0:26:39.4 TC Collins:** Aye.

**0:26:40.7 A. Cupka:** Aye.

**0:26:41.4 J. Stonehill:** Aye.

**0:26:42.0 Chairman:** Any opposed? Chair votes aye. Motion carries.

**0:26:45.1 J. Stonehill:** Thank you. And that's all I have.

**0:26:46.1 Chairman:** Thank you, Mr. Stonehill. Okay. Everyone thank you so much for coming out. I appreciate the comments that you have provided. Some of my colleagues have mentioned it; we continue to investigate what can be done in regards to the Pyramid situation. I can't go into any details, but we are actively trying to figure out what could be done and hopefully we'll be able to provide a solution; can't make any promises though. Other than that, we did have the opportunity on Monday, February 13th, we had an FRE meeting, it was hosted at NSWCCD, Naval Surface Warfare Center Dahlgren Division, for those who maybe aren't familiar. I'd like to say thank you to Captain Menarski and Mr. Dale Sisson who provided a tour beforehand. And then they're continuing... One of the big things is the tech transfer, trying to get the patents off of the base and into businesses to be developed commercially. So continuing to work those processes, make the quad charts and get those out to try to get them into businesses hands so that they can hopefully set up business locally and generate revenue for us by being a business that would then take that forth and bring it to customers and to the population.

**0:27:58.5 Chairman:** That's all I had. So I will end members of the board's reports and we will move on to the consent agenda.

**0:28:06.1 TC Chairman:** Mr. Chairman, motion, please. I approve the consent agenda as presented.

**0:28:11.9 C. Binder:** Second.

**0:28:13.4 Chairman:** We have a motion properly seconded. Any discussion? All in favor say aye.

**0:28:15.0 C. Binder:** Aye.

**0:28:16.4 TC Collins:** Aye.

**0:28:17.5 A. Cupka:** Aye.

**0:28:18.0 J. Stonehill:** Aye.

**0:28:19.4 Chairman:** Any opposed? Chair votes aye. Motion carries. All right. We'll move on to Constitutional Officers. Report from Ms. Hart.

**0:28:41.2 Judy Hart:** Good evening, everyone. The total packs of cigarettes sold in King George in December of 2022 is 332,292. From July 1 of '22 to present day, the total revenue for the stamps sold is \$1,164,040.

**0:29:11.2 Chairman:** Thank you, Ms. Hart. Did anyone have any questions for Ms. Hart? Thank you so much Ms. Hart? Sheriff?

**0:29:28.7 Sheriff Chris Giles:** Good evening, members of the board. As far as for the investigative part of it, on February 3rd, 2023 Deanna and Deputy Simon conducted inspections of all the stores

in King George County that are authorized to sell cigarettes. All the stores were in compliance with the King George County regulations as it relates to the tax stamp. They'll be conducting another round of inspections here soon, and I will certainly keep you informed. I'll entertain any questions if you have any right now.

**0:30:00.7 Chairman:** Thanks so much, Sheriff Giles. Did anyone have any questions for the Sheriff? I think we're good but thank so much.

**0:30:07.9 Sheriff Chris Giles:** Thank you.

**0:30:08.5 Chairman:** Awesome. Any other Constitutional? No. Okay. Report from the County Attorney.

**0:30:18.1 Kelly Lackey:** As I updated the Service Authority board, there's been some interest in some companies getting antennas placed in the county. There's one site that's proposed that is at a site with a water tower, but the site is actually owned by the county. When I explained that to the possibly interested party a few months ago, that it would require approval of both boards, a longer process, and they had possibly anticipated, they backed off, but they've re-approached me again. So I have direction from the Service Authority Board to review that proposal and it would require the approval of both boards if it does come to fruition. So I just wanted you all to be aware of that. Also, I wanted to give a brief update. There was a citizen who came during public comment a few months back and had concerns about hunting dogs on their property. I wanted to give the board an update that there was a case pending in Henrico County concerning that very matter. In the fall the Henrico Circuit Court said that the state law was not subject to challenge in the way that that plaintiff was challenging the law that allows people to retrieve their dogs from private property, and so the Circuit Court found in favor of that law, however, it is on appeal to the court of appeals. So I'll give you further updates as I have them available.

**0:31:54.9 Chairman:** Thank you so much, Ms. Lackey. Did anyone have any questions for Ms. Lackey? I'll start with Ms. Binder.

**0:32:00.5 C. Binder:** I just wanna thank you because that was from my district, and then there's quite a few residents in the Shiloh District that do get impacted by that. So thank you for keeping abreast of it.

**0:32:09.0 Chairman:** Mr. Collins?

**0:32:11.1 TC Collins:** Thank you, Ms. Lackey. Could you send me the case number when you get a chance? And secondly, the antennas would go on the water towers or separately?

**0:32:27.0 K. Lackey:** So the one proposal, that it would involve property that's owned by the Board Of Supervisors; there's a water tower on the site, but there's also an antenna that's privately operated, and my understanding is that they would have placement on the antenna with some... Some ground space would also be required and that would also require some coordination with the Sheriff's Office because we wouldn't want any conflicts with their radio improvements project.

**0:32:58.9 TC Collins:** So that would be a separate antenna or one attached to it, a Pre' 16 antenna?



**0:33:05.2 K. Lackey:** My understanding would be that it would be an additional attachment. My current understanding would be it would be an antenna attachment and then there would be some kind of small ground space for... It's beyond my expertise, but I think for some of the electrical components and things like that.

**0:33:22.4 TC Collins:** The other one is attached to a water tower.

**0:33:25.3 K. Lackey:** And then in my report to the Service Authority Board, there's two other proposals that have come up recently, they are for a water tower location. As I understand, it would be to affix antenna to a water tower on sites that are fully owned by the Service Authority, so that wouldn't require action by this board at this time. I believe there's also, a proposal might be a little premature to mention, but there's also interest in a parks and rec site for a possible antenna. So that's also something, there's a lot of activity in that area at the moment. So I'll continue to update you on those, how those develop.

**0:34:08.5 TC Collins:** Thank you.

**0:34:09.0 Chairman:** Anyone else have questions for Ms. Lackey? Okay. Thank you so much, Ms. Lackey. We will move on; we have no public hearings presentations. We have a Tri-County Soil and Water Conservation District Clean Water Award presentation.

**0:34:36.6 Dan Kossler:** Mr. Chairman, fellow board members, thank you for letting us... I'm a little tall. Thank you. Thank you for letting us come out and speak tonight for our special little moment. We're with the Tri-County City Soil and Water District, and Janet Harris is a board director for us. One of my bosses, she's your King George rep. Our mission is to protect natural resources by promoting soil and water conservation and preventing non-point source pollution that can cause harm to Virginia's waterways. King George is one of four localities that we cover. We cover Stafford, Spotsylvania Counties and the City of Fredericksburg. So you guys generously fund us every year, and we wanna let you know that we do give back to King George. Our 2022 cost share assistance to the county totaled up at \$92,000 and we actually have a livestock practice finishing up that's gonna add another \$93,000 to that. So for 2022 \$185,000 was given back to the county in practices to save the waterways. This year so far, our board has approved \$230,000 to come back to King George. So again, thank you very much for your generosity and your... That way, we can provide this technical assistance. Every year we give out a Clean Water Farm Award to a farmer that follows practices and has been working with us for a while. And this year we kind of... Well, we're giving to a King George Farmer, Dr. Frank Moore. He farms roughly 500 acres in King George. What's different about him winning the award too is he's an all grain farmer; most of these awards tend to go to livestock producers for fencing cattle out of the water streams. But Mr., or Dr. Moore, has been practicing continuous no-till for years, which we preach to a lot of people, and he practices better fertilizer timing and placement. He also continuously uses our cover crop practices to reduce his soil erosion and movement of nutrients into waterways. We've never had any issues with Dr. Moore following any of our practices or not following them. He passes verifications with these every year and we'd like to extend this award to Dr. Moore.

**0:37:11.6 A. Cupka:** Mr. Chair, would you indulge a formal picture of the presentation in front of the board, please?

**0:37:16.3 Chairman:** Absolutely. I'd just like to say thank you for the presentation and recognizing

one of our citizens. Dr. Moore, thank you for the effort you put into being conscientious in your farming activities. So thank you. All right, we'll move on to the Branch Build New Courthouse presentation.

**0:38:32.4 Abe Sancari:** All right. Good evening everyone. I'm Abe Sancari. I'm the project manager on this job, this project. So I'm here to give you an update. I'm not gonna give you what's the project. I think you know all about it, right? So our substantial completion... We started in November 6th last year, and our substantial completion, I mean, next year, February 6th, and final completion, 60 days after that, April 6th. And we're tracking to finish the job on time. So what we accomplished for now, we have the office on-site and we start having our meetings with all our trade partners, of course, you're welcome to come and see how the scheduling going. And we have site camera, you can see the update every day. It takes one picture a day, an hour, so you'll see what's going on.

Site clear; We completed the site clear and we have the salt fence. Now we started at a lower level. It's three levels, the project. The lower level is now on grade and we started foundations. We started storm structure as well from 11 to 21. Actually, we had more done but the thing is, we slowed down because of the weather, we had some rain, but we're still on the schedule. Now the retaining wall, that's one of our critical path on this job. It's 90% done, foundations for the retaining wall, all done. Here's some pictures. That's for the foundation of the retaining wall and that's the retaining wall itself. We still have two pours, concrete pours and that should be done this week, early next week. And that's on the end of here... Let me go back. It should be on the end, right end and left end. That's the last pour we're gonna have.

All right. Some four weeks ahead. So we're gonna keep going on the storm structures and retaining wall, as I told you, we're gonna finish this week. Then we start also foundations level, the lower level. The goal is to finish all the foundations before the steel comes. The steel is coming end of March, and that's on the schedule as well, no delays. And also the waterproofing started today on the retaining wall, so we can't backfill. See this area, that's all gonna be backfilled once we've done the waterproofing for this wall. If you have any questions now, ask me about what we're doing as well. Here's some rain record we had, and that's just slowing a little bit our foundations, but it's not that bad this year, but we're expecting to get more rain probably this month and following month. Now the building sequence is seven... That's for the sea structure, that's starting end of March. We have seven sequences, sequence one is the lower level and that should start, as I said, end of March, and we'll finish the whole skeleton of the building end of May. Now the critical part, as I said, the retaining wall and then the follow up, the steel structure. And I'll give you an update once we start... Once we finish the steel structure, we're gonna have a different critical part after that. Now here's the video, what we have accomplished. I don't know if you have seen that before.

[pause]

**0:43:53.3 A. Sancari:** So the schedule is showing 17% done, of the project, 'cause all what we've done is critical for now, and we still already started fabrication, so that's a good thing as well. All right, if you have any questions, let me know.

**0:44:18.3 C. Binder:** Thank you. You said 17% is done.

**0:44:21.3 A. Sancari:** It's about 17%, yes.

**0:44:23.5 C. Binder:** And is there... As you stated, the rain really hasn't slowed you down too

much.

**0:44:28.1 A. Sancari:** We had some slow days, but the thing is the critical part is the structural steel. If I finished all the foundations before the structural steel, I'm still on a good... No delays. But if I don't finish the foundations before the steel, that's where the delay is gonna start. But for now, we're on the schedule.

**0:44:51.0 C. Binder:** And it's April 6th, I saw, correct, is the completion date?

**0:44:54.6 A. Sancari:** Yes.

**0:44:55.0 C. Binder:** Or move-in date.

**0:44:56.2 A. Sancari:** Move-in date is after substantial completion. Once I have the occupancy permit, that's where the substantial completion, you can start moving. But I have 60 days for all the punch list, or any item not done. Yeah.

**0:45:12.6 C. Binder:** Okay. Thank you.

**0:45:14.5 A. Sancari:** No problem.

**0:45:19.5 Chairman:** Thank you so much, sir. Appreciate it. All right, move on to Mr. Minor, economic development incentive zone presentation.

**0:45:31.5 Nick Minor:** Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good evening, Mr. Chair, and members of the board. Tonight I'm gonna be giving a presentation on economic development incentive zones. I'm gonna go over the types and the creation of an incentive zone so you can... There are four incentive zones that a locality can put in. The other one is for a green zone, which incentivizes the development of an environmentally friendly building. In this case we're just gonna look at the ones that are involved with economic development projects. For this case, we're looking at local technology zones, defense production zones and tourism zones.

They can be created by the locality, by ordinance. You can create one or more of these development incentive zones, and each locality may grant tax incentives and provide certain regulatory flexibility in that zone. It is a discretionary incentive; what that means is that it does not have to be granted to the business. It is at the board's discretion whether or not they want to do so. These are some of the existing economic development zones in our region. King George is the only locality that does not have them. Right now this is an example of Spotsylvania County where they've designated essentially their entire Route 1 and I-95 corridor an incentive zone, mostly in what they call the urban urbanized areas. They've also put one in...

They put a technology zone and I believe a tourism zone next to Lake Anna, where they're trying to develop the more western part of the locality. Another thing to point out is just the thresholds that each locality has. Obviously, the more urbanized or the suburban localities in our region have a lower threshold for the incentive. City of Fredericksburg, lower Caroline, Royal locality higher and Stafford County has a bit of a... It's higher, but the way that they've written there is a little different. And so I would expect if the board wants to move forward, that we would do something in that range of Caroline County and Stafford County if we were to consider. It gives the board of supervisors another economic development tool.

We've worked together to do the abandoned school revitalization zone. We work together to do the

regional industrial facility authority. Consider this as another economic development tool in the toolbox. So for a technology zone, and this is what I'm just recommending this go around, is just the technology zone or defense production zone? The zones are essentially the same thing. The only difference is one provides a 10-year incentive period; the defense production zone provides a 20-year incentive period. I did some research, I do not know why they extended the defense production zone to 20 and while they did technology a 10.

The industries are essentially similar. Maybe it's based off of federal contracts or maybe it's based off of some degree of what that industry does or how they invest their money. I'm not sure. But it's essentially the same, just one provides double the incentive period. So it gives the board's regulatory flexibility if needed. Special zoning for that district, permit process reform, exemption from ordinances, any other incentive adopted by ordinance which shall be binding upon the locality for a period of up to 10 or 20 years in the case of a defense production zone. Excludes ordinances or provisions that are adopted pursuant to the requirements of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act, Erosion Settlement Control Act and the Virginia Storm Water Management Act. What it's saying is that you can exempt all ordinances except for these that are state regulated and controlled, well, enforced by the locality, so it gives the county a targeted economic development incentive strategy. It's predetermined sites and areas. For example, I do not market anything without the permission of a landowner and that's number one.

And then I do not market anything that I don't think is gonna be attractive to an industry. Predetermined industries. With the technology zone or the defense production zone, we can specifically go after industries that we want, in this case, high tech, high capital investment and low impacts. Locating near existing or future utilities. I'm identifying sites where the utilities are already in place, the power of the internet, the water and sewer, or they will be in place based off of future development plans for that locality. This is a business-friendly approach. We're doing this because we wanna be seen as a business friendly locality, and this is one way we could do that, by either offering these incentives or having them there and not getting any pushback from the board of supervisors or any of our regulatory processes because they're going where we want them to be. This is, again, community-controlled development, so this can prevent haphazard economic development growth. So the concern of an industry coming into the locality and just spreading throughout the locality honestly shouldn't be a concern because of the current zoning of the locality, but what we're doing is incentivizing them to go where we want them to be. So the proposed areas are the Route 3 West settlement area, the Dahlgren settlement area, the Route 3 and 301 settlement area. However, for the Route 3 and 301 settlement area, unless directed by... Unless recommended by the board or directed by the county administrator, we'll look at the second business park parcels. And then for the Clay Del settlement area only include parcel 1772 and 1724, which are part of the Clay Del property assemblage, which the county has been marketing for economic development for over 20 years, but there's just some challenges to that site.

So here's the current zoning of the county here on the left-hand side, and I know it's hard to see because of the colors, but the proposed areas are here in the Route 3 West settlement area. The second business park parcels, this is the Clay Del Parcel and all of the Dahlgren settlement area. So highlighted in green is also the Service Authority territories that are, we have public utilities so you can see where we have them. The only place we really don't have it is the second business park and then Clay Del, it is connected to the courthouse system; there's an existing water tower there, but the system that makes the most sense for us to extend to it at a much later date, obviously it would probably be the Dahlgren system.

So this is a look at the Route 3 West zoning for that settlement area. This red line, I know it's hard to see, is where that settlement area is located. So we will be looking at placing those zones, defense production zone and technology zone on these areas. These other purple here are industrial sites, but

these are undevelopable sites with the exception of this one here, which is on the Polyvale property closer to the Rappahannock River. This is undevelopable, this is also a gravel pit. Here's what it looks like when it's not under zoning. So what we're considering, or what I would ask the board to consider is the Taylor assemblage, the Birchwood power plant, because those are all the most developable sites in that settlement area. The Reed Farm, there's a lot of wetlands and RPAs, you cannot develop on this parcel. This parcel here, I forget the name of it, but you cannot develop on this parcel here given the location and the RPAs that run through this parcel. Taylor has an RPA that runs through the back of it, you cannot develop on it. The only thing you can develop on is this flat frontage. Again, a gorgeous piece of property, but in total you're probably looking at about 250 acres that's developable.

The EDA owns everything in the back here, but it's currently under option by Green Chip and then the EDA has control mostly of this industrial park that's under option or sold already. So this is the proposal for the second business part. This is the property that the business, that the business, that the county owns, the next three parcels that are just South of it. I'm talking to each and every one of these landowners. I have their permission to market these sites and to work with them on the second business part. Again, I do not market anything unless I have permission by a landowner to do so. Right? So the reason why is because we control this parcel and there's already some commercial and industrial properties located next here, so this is ideal for that next expansion. Looking at the Cleydael. So Cleydael, what you can't see in this presentation is a tough topography of this site; it's really tough.

From a developable acreage standpoint, I'll take a guess at about 100, out of the 400 or 500 acres, maybe 100 to 150 acres may be developable. This is a hard ravine that this goes down into and then it comes up onto a plateau. So anything developed will be developed at a elevation on this property here. There's an existing water tower here on the South side of it, and what we're looking at here is a collection of Cleydael and ET and then there's a huge buffer between the next neighborhood and that developable acreage, so it drops off in the back. There's a water buffer and obviously a tree buffer to that neighborhood. And, again, the only reason why I would consider this is because it already has an industrial parcel on it.

This is actually mix zoned. There's a whole story behind that that we don't have time to go through tonight. But it's a mix zone for like R2, R3 industrial, commercial and then another industrial pad. And just let me back up just really quick and just... So these purple lines here is a 230KV which runs through the center of the county down Route 3. Here's another 230KV that runs down the North and South side of the county that's owned by Dominion. The Cleydael property is not served by Dominion. It is served by the Northern Neck Electric co-op. And so, and I point all this out because any industry that we're going after that would need to be incentivized is gonna need that power line, number one, or at least three phase power. So the sites that are identified are sites where we can actually be competitive.

They're not identified haphazardly. I'm literally looking at where's my infrastructure from a power standpoint, a broadband standpoint, water and sewer standpoint and saying this is where we can be the most competitive moving into the future. This is not a five-year fly by night plan; this is, I think, more so 10, 15, 20 years, what we're gonna be marketing down the road for King George County and how we can stay competitive. So moving on to the Dahlgren settlement area, again, the majority of the settlement area is already zoned commercial. There's a nice little light industrial patch that runs right by that Dahlgren substation here for that 230KV, again, another ideal location for economic development projects, mostly considering in this district, which is in the comp plan, just to look at light industrial zoning for, honestly just R and D projects that compliment the base. Not looking for anything heavy as in data centers or anything that can be considered super heavy in that Dahlgren District. We're only looking at office, we're only looking at R and D projects that

compliment the base, that compliment the community.

So zoning for economic development projects. So I just wanted to give the board and the community just an understanding of the total acreage of the county. So it's 115,000 acres, 102,000 of that is agricultural. Industrial we currently have 1,500. Again, that's skewed by the mining operations. Mining operations, they're not... One, they're not ideal to develop on. Two, it costs way too much money to reclaim. They are not ideal locations for economic development projects. I've never met a... I have never seen a project come in and say we wanna be located on a mining operation. The other part of that is that mining operations, if I can back up to this large part. So you're looking at this parcel here, one that is on, I believe that's in the, near the Belle Plains or Port Conway sub, Port Conway Road. There's no infrastructure back here to support any major economic development project. It would never be located back there unless there has been significant investment into reclaiming that property and making it something that somebody would want to develop on. These mining operations are essentially a no-go, they're not worth the time. It's not worth the money to pursue. So again, the development areas or the proposed economic development zones that I'm looking at are obviously the most ideal locations right now in the community.

So, again, total acreage for this is about 1,500, skewed by about 900 acres of mining.

Commercially, we're at 2,715 or 3%. The only light industrial acres we have in the county is 16 acres, and that's located in the Doron Tech Park. So it's already there, about six acres is developable of that 16. And then what I'm asking for as a proposal, what could potentially be rezoned, not that it will be, but about 970 acres total going from agriculture to either commercial, light industrial and potentially industrial. So as a comparison, just to look at Stafford County, what they have as far as industrial manufacturing or commercial, obviously way higher than ours. Agriculture, they're at 54,000 acres. Even if we rezoned at 970, we would still be way above 80. Well, I wouldn't say 85%, but 83, 82% zoned Ag in the county.

We would remain a rural community for the next 10, 15 years. So the proposed targeted industries under consideration, I'm gonna try to speed this up here. You don't have a long board meeting. Commercial uses, light industrial. I've already mentioned high tech. And then for commercial, we're looking at secure space for development. Secure space as, and I'm not gonna say skiff, but secret to that secure space that your contractors can move into; government contractors that are in that software engineering, cybersecurity modeling and simulation, again, all compliments to Dahlgren. Light industrial, only for R&D and low level, or I should say soft impact manufacturing. So prototyping, unmanned autonomous systems. Chip manufacturing. For example, for a chip manufacturer... I'm not talking about a massive semiconductor manufacturer. Currently working a quantum computing project where they make quantum computing chips, right?

That doesn't necessarily fit in our zoning right now, but they will be considered a manufacturer. That's a \$20 to \$30 Million project, 20 jobs. Each job is a PhD. These are the type of jobs that we wanna recruit here. We currently can't do that 'cause we don't have the sites to do so, we don't have the incentive set up to do so. So robotics, computers and custom metal manufacturing, and then obviously in industrial you have your fitting under your technology zone, your data centers, your manufacturers, again, ship, robotics, computer and custom metal manufacturing. So last slide here. So the recommendation. So the county should move to adopt formal incentives by ordinance in the form of technology and defense production zones. Target specific industries that compliment our rural character by diversifying our tax base and then prevent speculation and doubt about where our future economic development projects will be located and create a business friendly environment for expanding businesses. So I'm here to take any questions, discuss this openly with the board here this evening.

**1:01:30.5 Chairman:** Mr. Collins?

**1:01:38.1 TC Collins:** Yes, sir.

**1:01:38.5 Chairman:** Go ahead.

**1:01:39.5 TC Collins:** So to the Route 3 industrial one, can you bring that map back up?

**1:01:44.8 N. Minor:** Sure. Can you help me out, please? Thank you.

**1:02:00.9 TC Collins:** There was one that had a little green spot in it on Route 301 of that parcel.

**1:02:08.2 N. Minor:** 301 or Route 3?

**1:02:11.8 TC Collins:** I thought it was route...

**1:02:14.2 N. Minor:** This is the Route 3 West settlement.

**1:02:15.8 TC Collins:** Oh no, I'm talking about 301.

**1:02:17.7 N. Minor:** Okay.

**1:02:18.0 TC Collins:** The new...

**1:02:19.3 C. Miller:** Like that one?

**1:02:19.8 N. Minor:** This one here, second industrial, this part?

**1:02:21.6 TC Collins:** Yeah. Right there.

**1:02:22.3 N. Minor:** Yep. This here.

**1:02:23.0 TC Collins:** What's that?

**1:02:25.4 N. Minor:** So I just followed the curvature of the property. In front of this here, you have one abandoned house. Another gentleman, I believe he either rents or owns, I'm not entirely sure, and then you have one renter here. So in this entire 200 and so 88 acres, you probably have one to two residents, maybe three.

**1:02:47.4 TC Collins:** Okay. So that green one is somebody's house?

**1:02:51.0 N. Minor:** Right here. And then right here they rent.

**1:02:54.6 TC Collins:** Okay. And the Cleydael one, what does ET mean?

**1:03:00.3 N. Minor:** ET is just what... ET property owners. It's just the name of the LOC.

**1:03:03.6 TC Collins:** Okay.

**1:03:04.1 N. Minor:** Across the property.

**1:03:07.5 TC Collins:** And it says that even if we formally adopted these, we wouldn't be held... We wouldn't have to actually do it if we didn't want to.

**1:03:20.3 N. Minor:** Exactly. So say for instance a project comes in they really want to be in the county, but there's either something that is making you non-competitive, this removes that and makes you competitive if the board of supervisors wants it. That's the simplest way to put it. It doesn't necessarily mean... I can't tell you specifically what ordinance is gonna be that's gonna make you non-competitive. We gotta think 15, 20 years in the future. Right? What industry is there gonna be out there that's either not in our, currently in our ordinance or we just haven't thought of yet, or what part of our process may slow us down where we need to be sped up in some cases in order to win. This will give you that flexibility to stay competitive. It's all about just being competitive.

**1:04:06.7 TC Collins:** Thank you. I understand that, but I don't always wanna speed up. I always wanna take our time and do it right. My question was if we don't wanna... If we adopt these, we still don't have to follow them. Right?

**1:04:25.5 N. Minor:** That's correct.

**1:04:26.8 TC Collins:** Okay. Thank you.

**1:04:28.3 N. Minor:** You're welcome.

**1:04:30.2 Chairman:** Ms. Binder?

**1:04:31.4 C. Binder:** Yes, Mr. Minor, thank you for putting this together. Some of this is in the Shiloh District and I understand where the high tension power line goes, go past my house when they go down to that Cleydael property and that property, I've been on it many times.

**1:04:44.2 N. Minor:** Correct.

**1:04:44.8 C. Binder:** Motocross, cross-country fundraisers. And it is a challenging property.

**1:04:49.2 N. Minor:** It is.

**1:04:50.0 C. Binder:** And it is in a good location. And I understand about bringing in the stem and everything that goes with the base, but I'm gonna be a broken record on one part. I'm not for waving ordinances to speed up the process, that's my big thing, especially seeing that there's some question, I would like a little more information about some of these industries. So I would take a little more cautious approach, but understanding that it is a good presentation and that I understand why, it's just I really am not a big fan of blanket rezoning. Thank you.

**1:05:25.2 N. Minor:** Understood. Thank you, ma'am.

**1:05:26.4 Chairman:** So the board would want to move forward with it. This would not be adopting anything tonight. This would be bringing forth a proposal to amend the ordinance and



have a public hearing to then vote on it at some future date.

**1:05:37.3 N. Minor:** Right. So currently, well, currently to draft an incentive zone ordinance for the tech zone defense production zone, and then with the approval of the board move to have it adopted at a public hearing.

**1:05:50.2 C. Miller:** Thank you, Chair. I would add that there may be multiple ordinances that come out of something like this that you would have to consider, I would think, because you're amending other ordinances or you possibly would be amending other ordinances. So we wanted to just get this in front of you because I think it is something that other communities, other counties are doing where they have some kind of a pathway, I guess, when you start considering these particular types of industries, what is the county prepared to work through on these things. And I think that's why we wanted to get this in front of you, let you know that this is what Nick is wanting to pursue and obviously the next step would be to have Ms. Lackey prepare whatever legal aspects of this so that it would be in place, what other things you guys want to have in place.

**1:06:47.8 Chairman:** Mr. Collins?

**1:06:49.7 TC Collins:** Yes, sir. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. So in having conversations with the base commander, he says he's outta space in his base and he's outta space outside of his base he said he needs more places... So since COVID some of the restrictions have changed on their sensitive work, but they said he doesn't have any place outside the base. He needs places outside the base in order to do their work, so keep that in mind. And I don't mean to be the bad guy, but I don't really care about Stafford. I don't really care about Spotsylvania. Only one I care is about King George, and I don't wanna look like Stafford and I don't wanna look like Spotsylvania. I understand the business incentives. I'm not against the business incentives and I'm not against the plan of these locations, but I am against making us try to match up to some other localities, just like the schools, Stafford raises teacher salaries and Prince William did, Fairfax. It's all downhill when it comes to King George. So it's just like this, keep following behind these counties. I think that we should stop following behind and be who we are. Thank you.

**1:08:15.0 Chairman:** Go Ahead Ms. Binder?

**1:08:19.4 C. Binder:** Thank you, Mr. Chair. The only thing I wanna say again, and being a broken record again, is that we go through our ordinance process before we start changing ordinances and all that and understand we probably need a comp plan review because it is correct that we are up this year or is it next year, for comp plan? Yeah, so it would be next year. So this would go all in line. So just you mindful of that.

**1:08:42.7 Chairman:** Mr. Stonehill or Mr. Cupka, either of you have... Go ahead.

**1:08:50.4 A. Cupka:** Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you, Mr. Minor, for the presentation. So just so we're clear, the slide... Mr. Dines, can you go back to page 13? So that the acreage of proposed land would need to be rezoned from agricultural?

**1:09:14.6 N. Minor:** Correct.

**1:09:15.3 C. Binder:** That is not tied to the development of the ordinances for the incentive zones,

correct? That would need to be a separate process, am I understanding that correctly? Because those would require rezoning of specific parcels.

**1:09:33.1 N. Minor:** Correct. So what I'm trying to provide is... What I'm proposing...

**1:09:36.5 A. Cupka:** A framework.

**1:09:37.3 N. Minor:** Exactly, what I'm proposing...

**1:09:38.6 A. Cupka:** To offer incentive packages.

**1:09:41.1 N. Minor:** So if we were to move forward with what the proposed area that's drawn out, in those proposed areas, 970 acres of it is zoned Ag, so 340 of it is just a tailor assemblage alone. The ET property is about 300 acres as well of zoned Ag. So with those two properties alone, you're already at 600 acres. The other Ag is probably within the Dahlgren District, there might be another... Well, then there's 288 of it that's in the... Well, not 288 but about 188 of it that's in the second proposed business part.

**1:10:16.7 A. Cupka:** So what you're really just maybe looking for tonight is direction from the board with regard to, do you continue developing these incentive zones or not? Because a rezoning would be a completely separate requirement.

**1:10:33.5 N. Minor:** Right, we're just looking at ordinances, we're not looking at... We're not asking for any type of rezoning for anything; this is just to have a formal incentive process for the county targeted at industries, thresholds, so that that business that comes in, they know what they have to do in order to just qualify for these incentive zones. Once they qualify, the board can decide how they want to incentivize, and it could be either through Regulatory Flexibility or through incentives that has to do with reduction in fees or personal property tax rebates, just however the board wants to incentivize that business.

**1:11:10.4 A. Cupka:** Thank you.

**1:11:14.1 Chairman:** So I think it would make sense to bring forth something and to work on crafting some kind of ordinance to be entertained by the Board Of Supervisors. But that would be just one person's side, I'll leave it to my colleagues to make a decision if they would like to pursue something like this or not.

**1:11:28.4 J. Stonehill:** Mr. Chair? Nick, thanks for bringing this up, some really good information. But, yeah, I mean, I definitely think that we definitely gotta have... There are a lot of incentives that are out there for businesses, all different kinds of businesses that come in and we need to get out and start giving some of these incentives out to the businesses, this could be a good way to start doing it. But I would be... I would be all in favor of continuing working on developing that.

**1:12:06.1 TC Collins:** So in regards to the incentives, is there time periods on these? In other words, do they last with the building? In other words, do you give 5% off their personal property for the life of their building, the life of their business, or is it for five years or three years?

**1:12:27.1 N. Minor:** Right. So you're capped at 10 for the tech zone and you're capped at 20 for the

defense production zone.

**1:12:33.6 TC Collins:** What do you mean you're capped at... Sorry?

**1:12:35.6 N. Minor:** So you can only provide those incentives for that business.

**1:12:38.4 TC Collins:** For that time?

**1:12:38.9 N. Minor:** For that 10-year period, that's if you so choose. I mean, depending on the project...

**1:12:44.3 TC Collins:** So you can do less, though?

**1:12:45.9 N. Minor:** Absolutely. You don't have to do any.

**1:12:49.8 TC Collins:** Okay, thank you.

**1:12:52.7 C. Binder:** Mr. Chair, I'm just gonna bring it up again; I am not for putting something up to be a changed ordinance. I know rezoning and ordinances are different. But once again, we're putting the cart before the horse. So I agree that this is really good, because when I was on the HB-206 committee, numerous times the solar developers and the developers said, we just need to have a plan so everybody's treated the same and that it's all mapped out. And I agree with that. But I'm not for changing the process, because we need to not put the cart constantly before a horse just because we're trying to attract people here. We need to let the process go to its completion. Thank you.

**1:13:27.3 TC Collins:** So is this not more about incentives financially than anything else? So the ordinances, when that word came up, Ms. Binder and I both, it red flagged us, but the other stuff didn't red flag me, the incentives financially. So are you saying that the ordinance would be changed? What incentive of the ordinance can be changed for a developer, a manufacturer to come here?

**1:14:08.7 N. Minor:** So, Mr. Collins, I don't know if I can answer that question because every case is different. It's honestly just giving you the power to help if you so choose. So if there is an ordinance within the county that is blocking the project, that is killing the project, this gives the board that ability to help in some form or fashion. That's what that's in there for. To give you a specific case study, I would have to do a lot of research to find something that, in the Commonwealth where a locality has used that.

**1:14:40.9 TC Collins:** So if the ordinance says, "A business needs a certain setback," we can say... We can disregard that ordinance?

**1:14:47.7 N. Minor:** Correct. Or some form or fashion. That might be something that you might want to talk to Ms. Lackey about before the board chooses to do so.

**1:14:58.3 TC Collins:** Yeah, I think that would be... I'm all for the financial incentives, but I'm not so much for some of that stuff.

**1:15:06.5 J. Stonehill:** Mr. Chair.

**1:15:08.0 Chairman:** Ms. Cupka?

**1:15:09.8 A. Cupka:** Thank you, Mr. Chair. So I would just point out, this is not particularly different than what this board did earlier, or last year, I'm sorry, in adopting and a revitalization district in our ordinance as well as an abandoned school revitalization zone, correct?

**1:15:31.3 N. Minor:** Correct.

**1:15:31.4 C. Binder:** We did not change the zoning of either of those two parcels relative to that zone, we just made an incentive tool for you and your staff to be able to use to market those properties.

**1:15:49.0 N. Minor:** Correct.

**1:15:49.9 C. Binder:** Thank you.

**1:15:51.6 J. Stonehill:** I was just gonna say, I think Mr. Miller has something to add to help.

**1:15:57.0 Chairman:** Thank you, Mr. Stonehill. Mr. Miller?

**1:16:00.2 C. Miller:** Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Stonehill. So again, I think that it is important. There is nothing about this that says, "We're gonna go in and blanket rezone something." There's too much involved in the land use development process, the rights of citizens in the area or adjacent property owners. So that's not what this ordinance was proposing. What this ordinance or these incentive ideas is to kind of try to essentially give Nick another marketing tool. I think that's one way that you could look at it. It then would have some parameters that could work with the right direction from the board. It's not meant to try to sidestep anything that legally exists right now. I mean, you're going through a major rezoning process; it's not meant to undermine that process. It is meant to basically say, you're open for business, you encourage this particular type of business to come to your community and you are going to work with those particular businesses who might be interested in your community, to work through the process. So I think that's what, the really the most important thing. I think Mr. Collins is right there, that it has some financial incentives that we would be interested in talking to them about. You're not locked in at the 10 and the 20, that's the ceiling, if you will. You would, as a board, negotiate whatever agreement you would have with a particular company. If a company came in and they wanted some incentives, you would get it on a case-by-case basis. But this kind of helps to put them into a pipeline, if you will, or to give them an understanding. That's always important, I think. When economic development prospects are coming, they like to know, what's the playing field that they're entering? Are they gonna have issues with these kind of things? Are they gonna have challenges in terms of getting permits done? Are they gonna have challenges in terms of the incentives that you might give back to them? Those are things that have to be handled case by case, but this will give Nick a vehicle by which to do it. So that's, I think, what we were looking at. And I don't know if you wanna ask Ms. Lackey specifically about any of the legal process of this, 'cause I realize that that is a concern that you have.

**1:18:32.1 TC Collins:** So as far as leveling the playing field, I would think that keeping the ordinances and not making a step around to the ordinance for a big company would be what we

would be more about. I would think that. We just heard some problems with some of that stuff. So why can't we just not have the ordinance thing in there? You can still have these zones, but to change some of the ordinances to make it more... They can see what the ordinances are, they can come here and this is what... We could offer them an incentive, whatever company. One might be another, 2% off their personal properties or whatever it is, defer something to another. But why do we have to have change to the ordinance other than putting those zones in there? Why do we have to change any other... Why do we have to make a decision on... Come in front of the board for us to make a decision because big boy, big, whatever it is, some big company wants our ordinance changed so they can do what they want? Why would we change a ordinance for a specific company? Why would we do that?

**1:20:09.8 Chairman:** As Mr. Minor was showing there, there might be certain industries that we would prefer to see in certain areas 'cause it may maybe make sense. And at the end of the day if we're bringing a business in, it's not because I want the business... It's great, businesses succeed, I have nothing against them. What I'm interested in is the positive impact they can have on our community. And if someone can have a large revenue impact that is an aspect to consider in regards to helping to offset tax requirements to the citizens in order to meet the needs of our sheriff, our fire and rescue, our schools. So, yes, I would look at certain ones and say, "Hey, this is in the best interest of all of the citizens of our county" and it might make sense to maybe have certain industries that would be more attractive because it is not as high of an impact to our community from a negative perspective, but it would have a lot of positive impacts that would be able to help us out, I think. But Mr. Minor, I don't know if you have anything further to put in on that.

**1:21:11.4 N. Minor:** From the regulatory standpoint, I can't tell you how it will be used in the future; I can just tell you that it's probably better to have it than to not have it moving into the future. I don't know what other project may come where the site may be perfect for what they wanna do or there's something in our ordinance that's preventing it, and it could be a setback, honestly, it could be anything that we have in there that is not necessarily outdated, but because of one regulatory requirement that we have that may have no impact on the neighbors, that may have no impact on transportation, that may have no impact on anybody outside of the boundaries of that property, but it's impacting their ability to develop that site. I'm just giving you a scenario that could happen. Anything could happen or it could be nothing. But I'm at... I work for the county administrator who works for the board. This ordinance at the end of the day will be adopted or not adopted, but be considered by the board to be adopted, so we can craft this however the board would like.

**1:22:24.3 Chairman:** I'll just ask my colleagues, how do you feel? Are you interested in having... I have a yes from Ms. Cupka and Mr. Stonehill.

**1:22:31.2 J. Stonehill:** Yes. I think it's definitely something we need and to help attract to these locations that Nick has identified so they're not popping up right across the street here in our backyards. These are the working areas that he wants to incentivize, to get incentive packages for businesses in those areas so it keeps it... It's not gonna be sprawled all over. So yes, I'm in favor of it.

**1:22:57.9 Chairman:** I believe, I know Mr. Collins and Ms. Binder has... But I'll ask.

**1:23:01.4 C. Binder:** I keep hearing the word ordinance and that's still bothering me. Because even

though it's an incentive package and some of it really does make sense, especially to attract business, I keep hearing the word ordinance and we're spending all this money to redo our ordinances, but once again, here we go, we're changing ordinance before we're done. Why did we even pay for it?

**1:23:21.4 Chairman:** Is this the change to our zoning ordinance?

**1:23:22.1 C. Binder:** I keep hearing the word.

**1:23:22.4 A. Cupka:** Mr. Chair?

**1:23:23.3 Chairman:** We have lots of different ordinances. We're redoing our zoning ordinance; that's what we're paying to have investigated and developed, is a redo of all of our zoning ordinance. There's a number of other ordinances in our code as well, and so this would be outside of the zoning ordinance.

**1:23:38.9 C. Binder:** Well, I disagree with that. Thank you.

**1:23:41.0 A. Cupka:** Mr. Chair, may I read the Code of Virginia? Article Nine, Local technology zone. "Creation of local technology zones. Any city, county or town may establish by ordinance one or more technology zones. Each locality may grant tax incentives and provide certain regulatory flexibility in a technology zone." So if we want to do this, the Code of Virginia says you must adopt a local ordinance to do. Then if you look at the abandoned school revitalization zone, I'll go back to that again, that is not in the zoning, nor is it in the subdivision ordinance; it is under chapter 14, taxation. So that would be where that would belong in the local ordinance, not in the zoning nor the subdivision ordinance. Thank you.

**1:24:41.7 C. Binder:** Ms. Lackey, can I have those two codes sent to me tomorrow? Thank you very much.

**1:24:50.1 Chairman:** Okay. I know Mr. Stonehill and Ms. Cupka said they were in favor. I'm a 100% sure Ms. Binder is against. Mr. Collins?

**1:25:00.4 TC Collins:** There does seem to be some... This would be in a tax ordinance?

**1:25:10.9 N. Minor:** How we classify it, certain localities classify it differently. We can classify it as tax. I've seen other localities classify it as economic development ordinances. It's however our locality wants to classify.

**1:25:25.0 TC Collins:** And so it doesn't affect the zoning ordinance?

**1:25:30.0 N. Minor:** No. You gotta be specific. Does it affect the zoning ordinance? It can if the board chooses. It does not take away from any regulatory authority that's currently in the zoning. You can only adjust that authority if the board chooses to use that as an incentive to recruit that project or to attract that business. You do not have to use it.

**1:25:54.8 Chairman:** But it won't modify the zoning ordinance or the subdivision ordinance code?

**1:25:58.9 N. Minor:** No.

**1:26:00.0 TC Collins:** I would be interested in an ordinance and maybe the tax section for economic development for these zones for the purpose, financially; I would wanna target these industries to diversify our tax base but for financial incentives. I'd like to just keep that in that narrow scope. That would be my...

**1:26:27.0 Chairman:** Understood. I'm in favor of moving forward with the ordinance and crafting something that could be brought back to the board to be considered. I believe we have, I think three who are just in favor. I know Mr. Collins would like to see more scoped, which we could continue to discuss once it's brought forth to the board. I think you have direction to continue working on it.

**1:26:48.0 N. Minor:** Thank you, sir.

**1:26:48.4 Chairman:** Yes, sir. Thank you. Okay. Move on to action items. Mr. Minor, the budget for the Go Virginia Young Entrepreneurs Program Grant Award.

**1:27:04.0 N. Minor:** Yep. Thank you, Mr. Chair. On December 20th, of 2022 the Economic Development and Tourism Department received notification of a grant award in the amount of \$98,000 from the Go Virginia Young Entrepreneurs Program. The grant funds will be used to support the development of prospective young entrepreneurs locally and regionally. Staff recommends acceptance of the Go Virginia Young Entrepreneurship Grant Award.

**1:27:31.1 Chairman:** Does anyone have any questions for Mr. Minor?

**1:27:32.5 TC Collins:** Is there a local match?

**1:27:36.2 N. Minor:** The only local match that's required is in-kind contribution, that's in the form of staff time, marketing, staff time by the schools, any materials used by the schools, any materials used by my department, that's where that match is required.

**1:27:52.6 TC Collins:** Thank you.

**1:27:54.8 Chairman:** Any other questions? I'll entertain a motion if anyone would like to make one.

**1:28:00.6 C. Binder:** I'll make a motion for the acceptance of the Go Virginia... It's Go Virginia, correct?

**1:28:03.2 N. Minor:** Correct.

**1:28:04.2 C. Binder:** Young Entrepreneurs Program Grant Award.

**1:28:06.7 TC Collins:** Second.

**1:28:07.1 Chairman:** All right, we have a motion properly seconded. Any discussion? All in favor say aye.

**1:28:11.0 C. Binder:** Aye.

**1:28:12.5 TC Collins:** Aye.

**1:28:13.2 A. Cupka:** Aye.

**1:28:14.7 J. Stonehill:** Aye.

**1:28:15.2 Chairman:** Any opposed? Chair votes aye, motion carries. All right. I believe Mr. Minor again.

**1:28:19.5 N. Minor:** Okay. Thank you, sir. On February 8th of 2023, the Economic Development and Tourism Department received notification of a grant award from the Virginia Tourism Corporation in the amount of \$30,000. The grant award will be used for the overall promotion of King George County and the installation of a Native American Trail. Staff recommendation is to accept the Virginia Tourism Corporation ARPA award in the amount of \$30,000.

**1:28:44.1 Chairman:** Any questions?

**1:28:45.2 C. Binder:** I just wanna thank Mr. Minor, 'cause I know you've been working on this for a while. And the UMW students were part of this, correct?

**1:28:50.9 N. Minor:** That's correct, yes.

**1:28:52.3 C. Binder:** Thank you. And I'll recommend acceptance of the Virginia Tourism Corporation ARPA award in the amount of \$30,000 as a motion.

**1:29:01.5 Chairman:** Motion?

**1:29:03.0 TC Collins:** Second the motion.

**1:29:04.1 Chairman:** Second? All right. Motion properly seconded. Any other discussion?

**1:29:07.3 TC Collins:** Is there a local match?

**1:29:08.8 N. Minor:** No match. This is ARPA funding.

**1:29:10.6 TC Collins:** Thank you.

**1:29:11.9 N. Minor:** So the requirement...

**1:29:12.8 TC Collins:** Thank you.

**1:29:13.8 N. Minor:** Yep.

**1:29:14.5 Chairman:** All right. Any other discussion or questions? All right. All in favor say aye.

**1:29:19.4 C. Binder:** Aye.



**1:29:20.8 TC Collins:** Aye.

**1:29:21.5 A. Cupka:** Aye.

**1:29:22.7 J. Stonehill:** Aye.

**1:29:23.6 Chairman:** Any opposed? Chair votes Aye. Motion carries.

**1:29:24.4 N. Minor:** Thank you, sir.

**1:29:25.5 Chairman:** Thank you, Mr. Minor. All right. We move on to Department of Fire and Rescue, FEMA assistant to Firefighter Grants. Mr. Lind.

**1:29:37.9 Steve Lynd:** Good evening, Mr. Chairman. Tonight we bring before you at the February 7th, 2023 board meeting, the AFG grant was presented. There was an error in the share amounts listed on the board report. Report incorrectly listed at a federal share is \$163,363.36 and a local share of \$16,363.34. The actual correct share is \$163,636.36, and the correct local share is \$16,363.64. The grant total remains 180,000 with a federal share of 90,000 and a local share of 10% grant is to purchase four ZOLL Monitor defibrillators. We bring this back to the board so the federal cost share and the locality share match is set up correctly in the financial system. At the February 7th meeting, the board accepted the grant and authorized the county administrator to execute a PO to ZOLL Medical. Due to the contract pricing and trade-in values, the department can purchase the additional fifth defibrillator using this grant award. The trade-in value reduces the county's local share to \$7,363.64 incorrectly listed on the February 7th Board report as \$7,363.34.

**1:31:06.9 Chairman:** Does anyone have any questions? All right.

**1:31:12.4 C. Binder:** I'll make a motion for the acceptance of the FEMA AFG award with corrected federal and local share amounts.

**1:31:21.0 TC Collins:** Second.

**1:31:21.1 Chairman:** All right. We have a motion properly seconded. Any discussion? All in favor say aye.

**1:31:26.7 C. Binder:** Aye.

**1:31:27.7 TC Collins:** Aye.

**1:31:28.9 A. Cupka:** Aye.

**1:31:29.3 J. Stonehill:** Aye.

**1:31:30.0 Chairman:** Any opposed? Chair votes Aye. Motion carries. Thank you, sir.

**1:31:32.2 S. Lynd:** All right.

**1:31:33.6 Chairman:** We got...yes. The FEMA Disaster Public Assistance Funds.

**1:31:42.4 S. Lynd:** Mr. Chairman and Board, we wanted to bring tonight to you a report of the work that we've been doing with the other county departments on the winter storm, the January 3rd, 2022, winter storm and the COVID-19 pandemic public assistance, disaster and reimbursement process. Very briefly, a presentation just showing some of the highlights. Just wanted to point out some of the strengths of how the county came together and support to respond to the winter storm. Obviously, the teamwork was very important, also the leadership, from the county administrator and the board to bring all the departments together to meet the needs of the citizens in that event. And also the partnerships with our outside partners; had great communication with VDOT, Virginia State Police, Dahlgren Navy Base, VDOM and also our electrical utilities, Dominion and Northern Neck in reporting their process and their outage restoration and keeping us informed the best they could.

Also, the investment that the board's made in past, in critical infrastructure in our county, the generators, the ability to operate shelters and the, some of the technology that we use, we were able to bring together that in support of that event. For the winter storm, just some high level numbers just to, you know, show the citizens how big of an event it was. Looking back at the records, in support of the power outages that the county experienced, the county logged over 2,100 hours of generator time. Most of that was for Service Authority, but also, Fairview Beach was out of power for a long time.

So the Fairview Beach Firehouse was on Generator Power. Also, looking at all the staff time, over 1,100 hours of staff time was attributed to the Winter Storm event, debris, management we grinded about 4,200 cubic yards of storm related debris that citizens brought to the Sealston Convenience Center. And tracking vehicle time between Fire and Rescue, Sheriff's Office, General Properties and Service Authority; we tracked over 600 hours of county vehicle time in support of that event. Some of the costs that we were able to submit to FEMA, obviously, over \$76,000 in generator time, \$9700 in snow removal costs, general properties, and also Service Authority in order to maintain new generators. The debris pile grind was 13,000, almost \$14,000. Sheriff's Office had about \$21,000 of storm related expenses overtime, vehicle time. Fire and rescue, we had \$36,000 storm related expense vehicle time, staff time. And the warming shelter that we opened up at the Citizen Center; Parks and Rec and Department of Social Services, their staff operated at the center, so they had about just over \$5,000 worth of staff time to support that. Of all that submitted to FEMA, 91% of it is gonna be eligible for reimbursements and brings the county total to about \$149,192.98. And we broke that down, for the Service Authority cost, and that's gonna be \$66,082.03 of that, funding, the 149,000 that would go back to the Service Authority, their costs for generator time and stuff like that, leaving about 83,000 would be, back to the county departments for county operation and us, Fire and Rescue, Sheriff's Office, General Properties and the grind, and also to support the warming center.

So the board report breaks it down. King George breaks it down, and also with the COVID expenses, and COVID was different. COVID actually was funded 100% reimbursement from the federal government for our expenses, and during the total response to that event we calculated about \$38,000 worth of expenses; a lot of it was spent back in April of, when it first started, April of 2020, in that spring and that ended up being at \$28,000. So a lot of PPE, the social distancing and the barrier devices that were put up in the county buildings in order to keep the county workforce safe and the citizens can still conduct their county business.

And we got a big portion of that money back in April last year and we also got about another \$10,000, so we're still waiting for reimbursement. And that's been expenses over the last two years or so, mainly PPE, masks, disinfectant, COVID test kits and stuff for fire and rescue. So we've got

those expenses reimbursement come back. And in the board report, just showing that for the COVID-19 pandemic, a total of \$38,000 and with the recommendation of how it'd be deposited, then also for the January Winter Storm of \$149,192.98 includes a Service Authority reimbursement of \$66,082.03 to be exact and with the recommendations on how that money should be deposited. I'd be happy to answer any questions.

**1:37:46.8 Chairman:** Does anyone have any questions? I'll entertain a motion if anyone would like to make one.

**1:38:07.5 A. Cupka:** I'll move to accept the FEMA Disaster Public Assistance funds for COVID-19 pandemic and January 3rd, 2022 Winter Storm to reimburse expenses previously paid through operating budgets and the funds should be deposited in the General fund revenues as presented in the summary.

**1:38:27.1 C. Binder:** Second.

**1:38:27.6 Chairman:** We have a motion properly seconded. Do we have any discussion? All in favor say aye.

**1:38:32.1 TC Collins:** Aye.

**1:38:33.1 C. Binder:** Aye.

**1:38:34.2 A. Cupka:** Aye.

**1:38:35.0 Chairman:** Aye.

**1:38:36.1 A. Cupka:** Any opposed? Chair votes aye. Motion carries. Thank you, sir.

**1:38:37.4 S. Lynd:** Thank you.

**1:38:41.1 Chairman:** All right, I believe that was the last of our action items, so we'll move on to the County Administrator's report.

**1:38:52.7 C. Miller:** We'll wait for this to be pulled up. I know that Ms. Fish introduced herself to everybody, but Jaci Fish is our new Executive Assistant. She'll be working with you all and I just would like to publicly thank Lauren Petruskie for her efforts; she was doing double duties and she was doing a great job in both roles and I'm sure she's happy to get back to the administrative assistant role. I'm a hard boss, I guess. I don't know, but I know Ms. Fish is going to do great things for us and so I'm very pleased. She came through a very competitive process. I think I indicated we had over 55 applications for this position. And you all may see her as a familiar face because she has been the recording secretary for the Planning Commission.

So she's in on a lot of the Planning Commission activities. She probably is more intimately knowledgeable about it than myself because she sits through with those. But to-do list updates. So if you remember the last meeting we kind of got towards, what, 11 o'clock, I think it was, in the evening. And I indicated that if you would like, we can go through this a little bit more in depth if there's some questions. The same standard that we provide to the Service Authority on their to-dos, the items in red are the updates and the items in yellow or brown are the items that have been

completed, and I think the direction was you wanted to see the completed items on there for like, I think four months, three months, something like that, was that correct, Mr. Collins?

So we will continue to attract those. But you can see some of the things that are on there, a lot of capital projects. I think that was one of the things I really wanted to emphasize. We have a lot of capital balls in the air right now, departments are doing a great job of managing those things. And then of course we have some other things that Ms. Lackey is obviously tracking with some of the things that you all have asked of her, in terms of different ordinances, different things as they apply to existing ordinances, like the 21st amendment with the, for the landfill agreement, she's working through that with Mr. Newchok. So we are obviously tracking a lot of things, and I'm happy to go through these, if you would like, or if there's any specific questions about some of these.

**1:41:34.6 C. Binder:** Mr. Chair, I just have one, I'm looking through these real quickly. Have we not gotten All Points Broadband to...

**1:41:41.5 C. Miller:** They are, yes, I can provide an update, they're gonna... They indicated they could be here on the 21st of March. We tried to get them earlier, and this was the best they could give us. So I'm sorry, I had stressed that as something that, back as far as January and they... Anyway. So I know there are lots of questions about where they are, what's their timeline and we have committed, we have passed that information to them that there's a lot of concerns about not just board members but constituents, about where are we, what's going on and... So the 21st, Mr. Ennis has committed to me that he will be here.

**1:42:24.0 C. Binder:** Great, thank you very much.

**1:42:25.9 C. Miller:** In person, not on the phone.

**1:42:26.2 C. Binder:** That's the best part in person, thank you.

**1:42:30.0 C. Miller:** Are there any other...

**1:42:32.3 Chairman:** Anyone else have any questions for Mr. Miller?

**1:42:35.8 C. Miller:** Budget schedule. I guess I wanted to get clarification, we wanna... I'm pretty certain that we're gonna be starting here in... It may not be the week of the 7th, of March, but it will be very much right behind that. And I know Mr. Collins has indicated again the request for no Wednesday meetings, and I think that you all had accommodated that, so I just wanted to clarify that. 'Cause I do need to notify all the committee members, the advisory committee members of the schedule. But were you interested in doing Tuesdays, Thursdays? I know obviously board meetings you can't do that, and then if you're gonna do Tuesdays, the planning commission meetings, sometimes they're in here and that would require like going to the fire station or the Sheriff's office, so I think it's just a matter of preference. Obviously, there's some hard and fast dates that I don't believe the state is messing with this year because it's a short schedule this year, but I know May 15th is when you have to notify the schools that you've accepted their budget or your whatever. And then they don't have until, I think it's the end of March, when they officially don't have to present that. I know in conversations with Mr. Boyd and in conversations with Ms. Binder, they are interested in the possibility of being a little earlier in the process and we can work that as part of that work session, to get them in here and talk about any highlights that they might have and things

like that. So...

**1:44:24.2 Chairman:** So when are you looking to have the... When's staff gonna be ready for the first meeting?

**1:44:28.8 C. Miller:** So we're wrapping up our stuff internally. I wish Ms. Hahn is not here, but I believe... I'm gonna put her on the spot. I think we could have something that week of the 7th where you could have a... Again, it's the process of kind of that formation meeting, where you guys kind of tell us if there's specific departments that you wanna have here. We present things like any personnel requests, increases or additions, if you will. And then we wanted to talk about where we stand on some of our existing projects and the possibility of having some things put in this year's budget as opposed to carrying over to the next year. So that's something that, that organizational type meeting, we could commit to having something that week of the...

**1:45:28.0 Chairman:** 7th.

**1:45:28.2 C. Miller:** 7th...

**1:45:28.4 Chairman:** 7th would not be the right date.

**1:45:30.0 C. Miller:** Right, so maybe the 9th or something like that.

**1:45:32.1 Chairman:** So I'll ask my colleagues, the week, that week, is there any days that are no good for someone?

**1:45:37.6 TC Collins:** So the 9th works great. I'll be gone after the 10th for a little while. Thank you for considering not doing it on Wednesdays; I'm still in that same Bible study. I would suggest that we have the big three come first, which is school, fire and rescue and the sheriff's office.

**1:46:03.4 Chairman:** So I think we'll probably discuss that as well at the first meeting, the organizational one, 'cause the first one we're not gonna have anyone. It'll just be kind of the kickoff, it'll be one of our shortest work sessions, but we can discuss that and certainly see if the rest of the board is interested in that as well. The 9th, does that work for my colleagues? Mr. Stonehill, Ms. Binder? 9th will work, okay.

**1:46:25.2 C. Miller:** And to clarify the, so we are working the capital budget right in behind as close as we can, but you realize that the capital budget has to first be presented to The Planning Commission and so I believe Ms. Hahn has asked that it be presented possibly on the night of the 14th of March, so that would allow us then to track really closely behind so that you can see it as part of your operating/capital 'cause I know that was the request.

**1:46:55.9 Chairman:** Tracking makes sense to me. Everyone else amenable with that? Oh, good question, what time...

**1:47:01.3 C. Miller:** What time would you guys like to do it? You wanna do it a little earlier, or?

**1:47:06.0 Chairman:** I'm available earlier than 6:30; I'll leave it to my colleagues though, if they have other...

**1:47:12.3 TC Collins:** I'm available all day.

**1:47:14.1 A. Cupka:** The earliest I can do is 5:30.

**1:47:16.0 C. Binder:** That's what I was gonna say, and just please send a calendar invite.

**1:47:19.8 Chairman:** Mr. Stonehill, would you be able to do 5:30, or is that too early?

**1:47:23.9 J. Stonehill:** Maybe.

**1:47:24.3 Chairman:** 6:00? Okay. 6:00.

**1:47:27.7 C. Miller:** 6:00 PM on the 9th, we will set it up and we'll do a calendar invite.

**1:47:32.3 Chairman:** Thank you, Mr. Miller.

**1:47:35.6 C. Miller:** Another item that I have is to track for your schedule; this is in regards to the rezoning and that process with looking at the battery storage, power plants and the noise that the Berkeley Group is gonna do for us. They've indicated that they are available to do a joint meeting the 25th of April and also the 30th of May. Now the 30th of May is the Tuesday after Memorial Day, so you may not be interested in doing that, but that was what they indicated they could come back and focus on things that you guys wanted them to focus on.

**1:48:22.3 Chairman:** 25th of April?

**1:48:24.7 C. Miller:** And the 30th of May.

**1:48:26.0 Chairman:** I'll ask my colleagues, we'll start with the 25th of April. Does that work for everyone?

**1:48:30.2 TC Collins:** Better earlier than May.

**1:48:33.0 Chairman:** I agree, I would like to not sit on it. Ms. Binder?

**1:48:37.4 C. Binder:** I'm just trying to find my calendar...

**1:49:05.9 Chairman:** I'll reach out to... Mr. Stonehill and Ms. Cupka? I should check my own as well. Okay. While Ms. Binder's looking to see if that will work, is there anything else you had for us, Mr. Miller?

**1:49:13.1 C. Miller:** The only couple things, the Anthem Mary Washington agreement, you're aware that they came to an agreement. Now Anthem is the primary insurer for county employees. So there was Derek Messer, who comes from that hospital world, was watching it and was very much aware and informing our employees. I know we had several personnel that were worried and some people that had actual surgery scheduled that they were tracking. And, of course, they've signed an agreement, so that's good news from a county employee standpoint. But we were watching it all along and we were prepared to provide you with an update, if they didn't get the

agreement, about how we were gonna work with our employees to make sure they got care and all taken care of.

Christmas tree update. As you know, we've had the Christmas tree that exists at the courthouse here. I think, as you may know, that it is diseased, it is not in good shape and the General Property staff and the Parks and Rec staff have come to me and said it's time for us to do something. We had the Stafford arborist come, and she assessed it, it has got a fungus. She spelled out specifically how you're to get rid of the tree. And so when you cut the tree up, you're supposed to bag it immediately; don't allow it to spread or anything, the fungus to spread. Knowing that this is a major community event that we have every year, my recommendation is, myself and Mr. Muncie and Mr. Clarke and any board member who might want to be a part of it, help us with the selection of the next tree. I know the estimate that Mr. Muncie had given me for, I can't remember the exact tree, but it was in the realm of like \$500 for like a 12-foot tree, and I said, I think you ought to go bigger if we can get it. But I do think that it would merit somebody's participation. We're happy to bring back some items or some choices, if you will, of trees because I know that it's a symbol of community pride and everybody really enjoys that event. Don't necessarily need direction right now, but if anybody's got an interest, a burning desire to be on that, we can...

**1:52:02.0 Chairman:** I'll ask colleagues, did anyone wanna be a part of that? If no one does, I don't mind being part of it.

**1:52:09.6 A. Cupka:** If you wanna do it, that's fine, if not, I'll do it.

**1:52:12.5 C. Miller:** Sure, sure.

**1:52:15.4 Chairman:** I'll do it.

**1:52:15.6 C. Miller:** Okay, thank you. And I'll get with those guys and we'll set up... Employee anniversaries and new hires, a lot of them. Mr. Lynd, congratulations, 21 years. We have a number, see if we have anybody else in here. We have Ms. Petruskie who's listening online, two years with the county. Charlie Clark, Deputy County Commonwealth Attorney, nine years, Sherry Newton in finance, 11 years. As you can just see that we try to recognize all these folks. That's what our folks are doing in February; good hire date, I guess. And that is it.

**1:53:15.9 Chairman:** Thank you, Mr. Miller. I'm first gonna ask Ms. Binder, did you...

**1:53:19.9 C. Binder:** Yes, I did. It was April 25th, right?

**1:53:21.7 Chairman:** Yes.

**1:53:22.0 C. Binder:** That's fine.

**1:53:22.6 Chairman:** Okay, so I think we are all in favor of April 25th. I'm imagining timeframes would be similar in regards to what would be, board's availability, so six, probably? Okay. Six? Okay. Did anyone have any questions for Mr. Miller? All right. And Mr. Miller, you said that was the end of your administrative report?

**1:53:48.4 C. Miller:** That's it.

**1:53:53.7 Chairman:** Okay, thank you. In that case, I believe we have a closed session, so I'll entertain a motion.

**1:53:53.9 TC Collins:** I move that the King George County Board of Supervisors convene in a closed meeting for (1) discussion of the Sedgewick Crossing litigation pursuant to State Code Section 2.2-3711(A)(7) for consultation or briefing with legal counsel regarding actual litigation and State Code Section 2.2-3711(A)(8) for consultation with legal counsel regarding specific legal matters; and (2) discussion of disposition of surplus properties or potential property acquisitions pursuant to Va. Code Section 2.2-3711(A)(3) for discussion or consideration of the acquisition of real property for a public purpose, or of the disposition of publicly held real property, where discussion in an open meeting would adversely affect the bargaining position or negotiating strategy of the public body.

**1:54:49.3 C. Binder:** Second.

**1:54:49.8 Chairman:** All right, we have a motion properly Seconded. Do we have any discussion? All in favor say aye.

**1:54:54.1 C. Binder:** Aye.

**1:54:55.5 TC Collins:** Aye.

**1:54:56.1 A. Cupka:** Aye.

**1:54:57.7 J. Stonehill:** Aye.

**1:54:58.9 Chairman:** Any opposed? Chair votes aye. We are in closed session.

[Pause]

**1:56:29.9 TC Collins:** I move that the King George County Board Of Supervisors return to public meeting and certify by a vote only public business matters exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law and only such business matters as were identified in the motion convened in the closed meeting. The closed meeting were heard, discussed were considered during close meeting.

**1:56:49.9 C. Binder:** Second.

**1:56:50.5 Chairman:** We have a motion properly seconded. Ms. Binder do you certify?

**1:56:53.4 C. Binder:** So certify.

**1:56:55.8 Chairman:** Mr. Collins?

**1:56:55.9 TC Collins:** So certify.

**1:56:55.6 Chairman:** Ms. Cupka?



**1:56:56.4 A. Cupka:** So certify.

**1:56:58.6 Chairman:** Mr. Stonehill?

**1:56:58.6 J. Stonehill:** So certify.

**1:57:00.4 Chairman:** Chair so certifies, we're back in open session. I will entertain a motion.

**1:57:37.0 C. Binder:** I make a motion to adjourn to March 7th, 2023 at 6:00pm in the boardroom. Is it 6:30? I was just reading the bottom of the...

**1:57:40.0 Chairman:** It says 6:00 on there, it should be 6:30.

**1:57:43.1 C. Binder:** Yes it does say 6:00.

**1:57:44.6 Chairman:** Alright. So...Would you mind making an amendment?

**1:57:47.5 C. Binder:** Yes I will make an amendment. I will make a second motion to adjourn to March 7th, 2023 at 6:30pm in the boardroom.

**1:57:51.2 TC Collins:** Second.

**1:57:53.6 Chairman:** Motion properly seconded, any discussion? Seeing none, all in favor say aye.

**1:57:55.6 C. Binder:** Aye.

**1:57:56.5 TC Collins:** Aye.

**1:57:57.7 A. Cupka:** Aye.

**1:57:58.6 J. Stonehill:** Aye.

**1:57:59.7 Chairman:** Any opposed? Chair votes aye. Motion carries. We are adjourned.