

County Business

VIRGINIA:

At a regular meeting of the King George County Board of Supervisors, held on Tuesday, the 16th day of April 2019 at 6:30 p.m. in the Board Room of the Revercomb Building at 10459 Courthouse Drive, King George, Virginia:

PRESENT: Cathy Binder, Vice-Chairman
Richard A. Granger, Member
John E. Jenkins, Jr., Member
Ruby A. Brabo, Member
Neiman C. Young, County Administrator
Eric A. Gregory, County Attorney

Jeff Bueche, Chairman – Mr. Bueche participated remotely, following proper procedures for BOS policy for electronic communication from remote location.

Ms. Binder: I call to order this regular meeting of the King George Board of Supervisors. I have received a written request for Mr. Bueche to attend tonight's meeting remotely. Mr. Bueche will not be able to be here in person because he is currently on a family vacation. I would like to ask for a motion to accept Mr. Bueche's request to attend tonight's meeting remotely.

Mr. Granger: So moved.

Ms. Brabo: Second.

Ms. Binder: All those in favor?

Members: Aye.

Ms. Binder: Chair votes aye, motion carries.

Dr. Young: We have to actually see his face though.

Ms. Binder: There he is. Smile, wave.

Mr. Jenkins: Can we just minimize it?

Ms. Binder: We have to point him out. All right. We have our public comment. Comments will be limited to three minutes per person in order to afford everyone an opportunity to speak. If comments relate to a specific public hearing item, we ask that you offer those comments at the time. I know. I was just finishing the script and I fixed it. Sorry. This is my first time doing this one. We ask that you offer those comments at the time of the public hearing, and I missed the amendments to the agenda. Do we have any amendments to the agenda?

Dr. Young: Yes, ma'am. We have two. We'd like to restrike the TAC request from the agenda.

Mr. Granger: I move to remove the TAC request from the agenda.

Dr. Young: That's 04-15.

Ms. Brabo: Second.

Madam Chair: All those in favor?

Members: Aye.

Ms. Binder: Chair votes aye, motion carries. Is that the only amendment to that agenda?

Dr. Young: No, ma'am. We have a second one. Whereas 04-21. Since it's complete count committee as a discussion item, we have Mr. Milsaps here from the US Census Bureau. We originally put it as discussion item because he was providing their presentation in Caroline County and wasn't sure if he'd be at the meeting on time. He's obviously arrived, came from Caroline County, and then he has to depart to get back home. We would like to ask that item 04-21 be moved to 04-13 on the presentations and reports.

Mr. Granger: So moved.

Ms. Brabo: Second.

Ms. Binder: All in favor?

Members: Aye.

Ms. Binder: Chairs votes aye, motion carries. I move to public comment. I just ask for anybody who would like to come for the comment, please state your name, where you live, and three minutes please. Do we have anybody who would like to speak? Do we have anybody online? Since our gentleman here from the census, since he has to get home? Would it be okay if we moved it above to let him talk first, or...

Dr. Young: Yes, ma'am.

Ms. Binder: Yes, before the report.

Dr. Young: He had a slide, so we'll go ahead and pull those up.

Ms. Binder: Hold on. While he's waiting, can I do the consent agenda beforehand?

Dr. Young: Yes, ma'am.

Ms. Binder: Do I have a motion to approve the consent agenda?

Mr. Granger: So moved.

Ms. Brabo: Second.

Ms. Binder: All in favor?

Mr. Granger: Aye.

Ms. Binder: Chair votes aye, motion carries. We still need a second to bring it up?

Dr. Young: We can go to the Constitutional Officers and--

Ms. Binder: Yes, I'll go through it. Just bear with me. Sorry, guys. This is my first time to do this. Got to learn how to fly. Do we have a report from any Constitutional Officers?

Dr. Young: No, ma'am.

Ms. Binder: Report from the county attorney?

Mr. Gregory: Not at this time, ma'am. Thank you.

Ms. Binder: Thank you. We're good to go?

Dr. Milsaps:

Good evening. My name is Dr. George Milsaps. I'm a partnership specialist with the US Census. I appreciate you giving me some time to speak to you this evening. The US Census, why do we do it? The main reason is it's required by the Constitution. It says every ten years, we're going to count everybody that resides in the United States. Our goal is to count them, count them once, count them at the right place.

It helps apportion the US House of Representatives. It's also used by the state to apportion and redistrict within the state. One of the biggest things is it also helps distribute over \$675 billion of federal funds per year. Now, each county is different. Richmond did a study. They said it costs \$2,000 per person they undercount, which is \$20,000 overall because you're stuck with that number for ten years.

If you're a math major, that means 50 people is in \$1 million hit. In this county, two-tenths of 1% is what equates to a million dollars if you use their figures. It doesn't take much to get some real money involved in this. That's the reason we want you to pay attention to us tonight. It also provides statistical data, and a lot of businesses use our data to determine where they're going to locate and also used by other folks.

Our goal is, as I said, count everybody once, only once, and in the right spot. We're doing some new things this year. We are having online completing of the census that will start in March of this coming year rather than April. You can also call in. You can pick yourself one of 18 different languages to speak as you call in and we'll be able to hook you up on it. We also have that written in 18 different languages, and then we'll have the traditional mail out as well.

We hope people that we will do the online things to save the posts to be honest with you. It went that way. iTest for the day. 50 ways that the census data is used. I love saying this. There's only 48. I had to count them, sorry. It's government work. One of the things that's really important right now, there is some distrust in the government especially at the federal level. The bottom of that slide is the important thing.

There's no court in this nation, including the Supreme Court, that can require us to release the data, nor can the president get it, or anybody else outside the Census Bureau. All we can do is release aggregate information. If you want to know why, a little history quiz there. We did give it out in the 1940's. That's why it's no longer given out. That's how they determined where the Japanese-Americans lived. That was a boo-boo.

There was a big, strong, strong law written after that to make sure that doesn't happen again. We want everybody to know that your data is as safe as data can be with us. Our process, basically trying to find out where to count people, identify the households, then we get folks like you to help promote people and get them to fill out the census. We go out and count them if they know and then we give the present, a report, by December 31st, 2020. Then it will go to the Congress, then it will go to the states, then it's released to the public. That's our timeline.

If you look at it, we've already ramped up on some of our employment. We do have a lot of employees that we're going to do. The eight state region I'm a part of, Philadelphia Region, is going to be employing over a half a million people. Do the math, that's over 60,000 people per state, so we need a lot of folks. We want those folks that come from the communities that they are serving, each track.

We want people from those tracks counting the folks in the tracks because if I go up to a door and I say, "Hey, will you fill this out?" they'll say, "I don't know you." But if somebody that goes to their church, who goes to their school, or they see at the library, they'll say, "Yes. I trust that person. I'll fill this form out."

The second eye test of the evening. Caroline County from 2000 to 2010 did an excellent job at increasing the mailing response rate. That's cool. The thing is, you got to keep it up. What's interesting is this 675 billion is a competition. If you stay the same and somebody else does a better job, the money goes the other way. There are some states, the prime example is California, is putting \$90 million of state

funds into this process to try to get their count as high as possible because they know the Return on Investment.

If you're looking, "Gosh. We're going to have to take some staff time or it's going to take some of our volunteer time to do this," the money is there. It's worth it. As King George, the way this goes is the darker it is, the harder it is to count. Don't count the water because you really can't count the fish I guess. We look at the different areas. That's your worst area, 22%. We consider 25 really not so good a number, so you're not as bad as it could be but it still has a whole lot of room for improvement.

There's some areas that really make that hard to count. The more rural you are, the harder it is to count. The older you are, the harder it is to count. What I love the auditee is if the under five-years-old is the hardest to count, and we don't know why. People that are living in quarters they shouldn't be are hard to count, and people that might not think they're not supposed to be in this nation are hard to count. We want to make sure to count them because the way the Constitution is written, it's everybody that resides.

That also brings up an interesting point. If someone is in detainment facility, they're counted where that detainment facility is. If they're in a nursing home, that's where the nursing home is. We have group quarters, special project on that to help count those types of folks. What we want is we want your help with getting people. If we need to interview folks, it would be great to have some space to interview them, do training, that kind of stuff.

The biggest thing is Promotionals Board is to form a complete count committee. What is a complete count committee? Or, really why should you do it? Increase response rate. What is it? It's a group of folks from your community that you determine who should be on this committee that goes out and says, "Okay. We're going to try to help get the count up as high as possible." You can do all kind of cool things, like have a booth out of the Caroline County Fair, which by the way when I moved up, it was the first event I went to. Cool fair, a little thing for that.

Anyhow, this is obviously from a larger place because that's subcommittees they say you're going to have. Now, you don't need that many subcommittees, but you do want to look at those groups of people and make sure that you thought, "How am I going to make sure those folks complete the census?"

Next step. We would like you to do a year out event as soon as possible, some way to show the public that, "Hey, as a Board of Supervisors, we think this is important." One way to do that-- I know it says city; you are a county. My bad. Proclamation or resolution saying, "Yes. This is important. We want to do it." Some places form their complete count committees using a proclamation or a resolution, or you can just have a Census Day. Form the committee, coordinate and collaborate on a regional basis, and also be those trusted voices in King George County. That's me. What questions do you have?

Ms. Binder: Do we have any questions?

Dr. Milsaps: Did I do good on the time?

Ms. Binder: You did good. What is our way forward for this?

Dr. Young: By Executive Order Number 27, Governor Northam established the Virginia Complete Count Commission. The commission includes representatives from stakeholder organizations, leaders from various under-represented and traditionally hard-to-count communities, and such other members that may be appointed by the governor. The commission, using the local knowledge, expertise, and influence of these commission members, will develop and coordinate a census outreach program to increase awareness about the census and motivate residents to respond.

Response to the governor's actions, the George Washington Regional Commission, or GWRC, is working to establish a Regional Complete Count Commission. The Regional Commission will provide training and support the local committees established in the region. The GWRC has asked each locality to consider adopting the proclamation,

acknowledging the kickoff of its local census effort, and to establish a local complete count commission.

I recommend the Board of Supervisors adopt the attached proclamation dedicating May 1st as King George County Census Day and approve the establishment of the King George Complete Count Committee.

Mr. Granger:

So moved.

Ms. Brabo:

Second.

Ms. Binder:

Any discussion? Do we have a vote? Second. All in favor?

Members:

Aye.

Ms. Binder:

Motion carries.

Dr. Young:

For the Board's information, that committee planning and development is currently being led by Chris Clarke and supported by myself. We'll be putting together the recommended appointees to that commission and we'll bring that to the Board for approval.

Ms. Binder:

Thank you and thank you Mr. Milsaps for coming in, giving us a good presentation. I had skipped over this before, but I want to jump back up to reports from the Members of the Board. Mr. Granger.

Mr. Granger:

Yes, ma'am. Thank you. Thursday, April 11th in the morning, I had the opportunity to speak with Ms. Wido and Mr. Marte from KGI Comm. My assistant adviser, Ms. Woodring, had mentioned some concerns from their organization maybe feeling that the county was not maybe a good partner with them. I just want to speak with Ms. Wido to better understand what was going on.

Coming out of a discussion with her, I didn't take away that she felt like we're being bad partners, but she did speak to some of the processes that are bit onerous for spilling out the infrastructure for their internet access. In particular, the thing that I took away that Ms. Wido seemed to hit a couple of times was the engineering plants for the towers that they would like to install, so that was about \$3,000 each.

You have to get a professional engineer out and then you have to create the plant, so I'd mentioned to Dr. Young and Ryan Gandy, I know that we're looking at a Service Authority incentive plan for helping the economic development. I know internet access is a big thing in our county and not everyone has it, and so trying to maybe find a way for it to help infrastructure build out of telecommunications.

I saw in this wonderful small business development program, I would just... happen to notice it actually has the size by either employees or millions of dollars for organizations, and so it'd be interesting to look through that to see how that might play into it. Really, I just had one conversation. I'd like to maybe try to run down that road to see if there's anything we can do to help improve the internet connectivity to our citizens in the county.

Later in the day, on April 11th, I met with Mr. Gollahon and county staff which included Mr. Gregory, Dr. Young, Community Development, Brad Hudson, and Heather Hall. In particular, Mr. Gollahon as the developer of the Cedric Development. Cedric Road is currently owned by Mr. Gollahon. The plan originally ten years ago was for it to go into the state VDOT system.

At this point, some things had changed in the plan. It requires easement signatures, and so they're working to the last of those, spent several years getting those. I think there's two or three more from banks that they'd like to get for the lenders to sign off that the easements are allowed. We did ask explicitly Mr. Gollahon if he would commit to doing the road improvements after all the signatures were collected.

He would not commit to making those road improvements, which was disappointing to hear from him because obviously VDOT will not take it in. Going to the steps, getting the signatures is important and it has to be done, but the road improvements had to be done as well so that was disappointing to hear from him that he wasn't willing to make that commitment at this time. That's all I have. Thank you.

Ms. Binder:

Mr. Jenkins.

Mr. Jenkins:

Thank you. Just on April 15th, I attended the GWRC meeting. There are few things that occurred. One is that as a region, we will be participating in the affordable housing agreement with Virginia Housing Development Authority. Basically, it's a match grant from GWRC. We are not paying anything, which was always my first question. Basically, it's a match grant that allows our region to establish our plan regionally for affordable housing.

As everyone knows, it's a constant reference as far as needs for King George and really our region. There is a plan that's established, however, as was noted in the meeting. It's about ten years old, and about ten years ago, the housing market was slightly different. There were a few interesting things that were brought up, and I will pass along some of the slides that I got unless you have them already. That's just some examples that might be a little something that we can look into in the future as a county.

Mixed use was mentioned quite often as far as a way to assist in affordable housing. One thing that certainly stood out to me was... I believe it was the Alexandria or one of those big As. They actually funded a fire house or used the fire house in a mixed use environment, and they had apartments. I'm feeling maybe Ruby has brought this up before, but I could be wrong. Basically, they actually had apartments over the top of the fire house.

They agreed not to run the sirens about a mile and half outside of the building because obviously nobody wants to live on top of a fire house that they got sirens blazing. They had an agreement and allowed them to better fund the fire house, so I'll pass it along. I think one thing that also stood out to me about that study was one of the solutions that was proposed or something that we'd have to consider moving forward was in order to assist with affordable housing, we'd have to have a higher density.

I'm curious. Once we develop a plan, how King George needs are going to fit or so forth because I don't know a lot

of people in this area that want a higher density. I guess we'll see. The Rural Transportation Planning Work Program, also passed. This was... in particular, we have Caroline and King George Counties. Basically, the study, I'm going to read it so I don't mess this up to you too terribly.

It addresses Caroline and King George transportation study needs and links to economic development opportunities including opportunity zone tax incentives where possible. The one that was specific to King George is that it's a study to develop and prioritize King George County Transportation needs, for VDOT request to separately utilize the results of the recently completed US 301/Route 207 and Route 3 studies for these roadways where applicable.

Additionally, after we utilize results of the recently completed George Washington Regional Commission and we're along brink's plan and bicycle/pedestrian plan and account for new fiscal year 20 through 25 projects. The effort will make use of available data from VDOT, FAMPO, and GWRC to review transportation needs and prioritize projects using existing procedures from FAMPO and VDOT, blah, blah, blah.

All right, so I'm not going to bore you by anything else. Basically, it's taking the transportation needs that we have in relation to that study that we've referenced quite a bit on 301 corridor. Let's see. Also, FAMPO had a few things as they often do. They adopted the 2019 update of the congestion management process, keep remembering, process for GWRC region. They also had a SMART SCALE round three update. As we are aware, two of our three projects were approved for that.

The spring public meeting for the Fredericksburg district for VDOT will meet May 9th at 6:00 p.m. at James Monroe High School. They mentioned the revenue sharing program. The next application cycle for that would be May 5th, 2019. Something that is new this year is that the pre-application is due July 1st, 2019 if we wanted to use revenue sharing. Also, I need to follow-up on this.

FAMPO helped King George obtain \$2 million to fully fund the 206 and 218 right turn lane. It was previously submitted for the SMART SCALE and did not pass, but FAMPO provided funds for that. Is that accurate? I meant to ask, but it was a long meeting.

Dr. Young: FAMPO provided funds for the 206 and 218 turning lane?

Mr. Jenkins: \$2 million.

Dr. Young: I'm going to have to look into that.

Mr. Jenkins: I wanted to ask. It was 9:30 and past my bed time. I guess I forgot to follow-up, but I didn't see anything until that moment.

Dr. Young: That will be very interesting, but I'll look into that.

Mr. Jenkins: All right. Cool. Other than that, I got nothing. Thank you.

Ms. Binder: Thank you. Ms. Brabo.

Ms. Brabo: On the 3rd, I attended the Spotsylvania Regional Medical Hospital Board of Directors meeting. On the 10th, I participated in the Sheriff's Torch Run for Special Olympics with the organization ANC's Angels. I was honored to start the run carrying the torch. We ended at the high school football stadium for the kickoff of the annual meet in the middle event hosted by the schools.

That afternoon, I hosted the Local Government Advisory Committee for the Chesapeake Bay Watershed Round Table for the elected officials of the Middle Peninsula, Northern Neck, and George Washington Regional Commission Counties. It was very well-attended, and Deputy Secretary Ann Jennings for Natural Resources was with us in attendance as well. She definitely appreciated all the feedback that all elected officials provided. Mr. Bueche and Ms. Binder were in attendance. I thank both of you for being there. Like I said, it was really a good conversation.

On the 11th, I participated in the National Association of Counties Agriculture and Rural Affairs Conference Call. HR1331, Local Water Protection Act, the bill passed out of

the House, and the sister package is in the student committee for consideration. It amends the Pollution Control Act and reauthorizes grants to states to manage and control pollution from non-point source locations along with increasing the amount of money for funding. I will be following this going forward to see if there is an opportunity for our locality to take advantage of this.

The TestIT app update. The FCC currently requires providers to self-report twice a year, but obviously we know the data that is reported is either incomplete or inflated. Using the tested app, we are trying to provide an accurate picture by having users actually download the app and test the speeds. I was very pleased to see on social media that our citizens are starting to download it and utilize it and test it at different locations throughout the county. I continue to encourage more of you to do the same everywhere you go.

I use it in restaurants, movie theater, friends' houses. I encourage you to do the same. Even here, our wonderful high speed internet that we are all hooked into is below the FCC minimum and below the national average. We don't really have high speed internet here in the county building. Let's see. What is nice is that Measurement Labs, which is the company the National Association of Counties has partnered with, has an open source data platform. You can actually go to their website and you can view all of the information for our county that has been collected thus far, as well as any other county across the nation.

Let's see. What else? Really, I guess I don't need to comment on any of that other stuff. We are working with the USDA and Senator Bennett, trying to look at streamlining a lot of these different rural programs, just because there's so many different agencies and entities and different applications and different processes, trying to create at the federal level of one-stop shop to address all of this for rural counties.

We talked about the fact that rural counties lack the technical capacity to overcome these hurdles of all these different applications and understanding all the different grant

processes and everything. As part of this, we are proposing a two-year on-site technical assistant for any county that is awarded a federal grant through the USDA. We're hoping that that will get passed. That's all I have.

Ms. Binder: Thank you. Mr. Bueche, do you have anything?

Mr. Bueche: I'm trying to figure out this remote thing. Thank you guys for allowing me to participate remotely. I'm going to save my report for our following meeting. However, I do have an appointment I would like to make. I'd like to thank Mr. Doily Fulcher for his time serving on the Planning Commission. His term expired last year and he's been willing to serve in that spot until I was able to identify someone. Today, I'd like to appoint Mr. Donald "DJ" Watkins to the Planning Commission.

Mr. Granger: Second.

Ms. Binder: All those in favor?

Members: Aye.

Ms. Binder: Chairman votes aye, motion carries.

Mr. Bueche: Thank you very much. That's all I have.

Ms. Binder: Thank you. All right. Next, we go down to... yes, I'm sorry. I was moving on down. All right. I'll make mine quick. I participated in the Tappahannock Local Government Round Table on April 10th.

I also participated in the meet in the middle earlier that morning, great event put on. It was great to see... I always enjoy watching the kids. They seem so excited, the teenagers that are volunteering and the participants, and it's really nice to see a really positive event where everybody's happy and smiling and just having a good time being athletic and just sharing the meeting to each other. Also, today, I attended the Budget Work Session for the Service Authority and the agenda meeting last Thursday. Thank you. That's all my report.

Now, we'll go down to 04-12, which is the Notice of Public Hearing. The King George Board of Supervisors will now hold the public hearing to receive public input regarding the amendment to the King George County Code of Ordinances to establish a no-wake zone within upper Machodoc Creek. I'll ask for the staff report. I will then open the floor for public comment. I ask that you limit your comments to three minutes in order to afford everyone an opportunity to speak.

Upon completion of the public comment, I will bring the matter back to the Board for consideration. The Board may or may not take action tonight depending on information received during the public comment.

Dr. Young:

Thank you, ma'am. On February 5th, 2019, Machodoc Creek Marina conducted a presentation requesting the King George County Board of Supervisors to consider adopting an ordinance that will create a no-wake zone near their facility. A no-wake zone establishes speed limits and safety policies that are enforceable by law. The Department of Game and Inland Fisheries, or DGIF, is the approving authority for a no-wake zone. The DGIF requires a locality to first adopt an ordinance before it will grant and applicant's request to approve a no-wake zone.

The Board concluded the presentations with instructions for the county staff and county attorney to review Machodoc Creeks Marina's request. In response, we reviewed no-wake zone policies, spoke with DGIF, and asked the county attorney to draft an ordinance. The ordinance was then reviewed by both community development department and Dahlgren Naval Support Facility.

Both the staff and Dahlgren have found the requested zone to have no significant impact on the community or the basis mission. I recommend the Board of Supervisors approve an amendment to the County Code to establish a no-wake zone or open Machodoc Creek and just to give an item a reference, you will notice, this is a blown out view of the location of no-wake zone.

As you can see, this is Machodoc Creek Marina. If you zoom in, this will be the established no-waked zone. This is the area that is affected by that policy. That concludes my report.

Ms. Binder: Thank you. Do we have any written statements that were received? Do we have anybody who would like to speak... a public comment?

Ms. Brabo: I move to adopt the no-wake zone ordinance for upper Machodoc Creek.

Mr. Granger: Second.

Ms. Binder: All those in favor?

Members: Aye.

Ms. Binder: Chairman votes aye, motion carries. Next up is Dr. Young, the FY19-20 county budget presentation.

Dr. Young: Yes, ma'am. I would like to ask that the Citizen Budget Advisory Committee come forward to the table. You too, Roma. Good evening. This is our agenda. The fiscal year 19-20 current proposal calls for the budget to increase 4.23% from \$81.8 million to \$85.3 million. Under the current proposal, 56.4% of the budget would be dedicated to the schools with the remaining 43.6% being allocated to meet county needs.

If you all know that our non-school expenditures have increased to 3.1%. If we move forward with the current proposal, we'll realize a \$68,832 gap between projected revenue and our expenditures. The Board therefore faces two options to close the gap. One, tap our rate stabilization fund to address the gap, or two, raise the property tax for one cent. I stand by for the Board's consideration to guide us.

Ms. Brabo: If I may ask a question. We've talked about this in past years, and I keep coming back to, why do we always have to raise it by a whole? Other counties will raise it by 0.2. We only need \$68,000, why wouldn't you raise it by 0.25 or something to that nature?

Dr. Young: To be frank, ma'am, our accounting system is archaic and it just doesn't provide us the capability to do incremental numbers. We're basically limited to one cent options until we can update our accounting system and be able to financially plan in half cents.

Ms. Brabo: Is this in our capital improvement plan going forward?

Dr. Young: It's in our capital improvement plan.

Ms. Brabo: All right. Thank you for answering that question. If nobody else has any other comments, recognizing that there's no need to have the excess revenue from a whole cent tax increase, I would recommend we look at the stabilization fund, but I would like to know, what is our plan to pay that back since we are required to pay it back in the following year?

Dr. Young: Yes, ma'am. We'll consider that. I discussed that with Finance. As you all already know, we have a trend, multi-years of receiving surplus in our final budgets at the end of each fiscal year. We're quite confident especially since we budgeted this budget very conservatively that we're going to be able realize a surplus that will be able to return that \$68,000 back to the rate stabilization fund.

Ms. Brabo: Do we have any other comments, discussion?

Dr. Young: Well, not yet.

Ms. Binder: Do you guys have anything?

Dr. Young: On this slide, moving forward with officer one in the rate stabilization fund, I've captured the greatest effects to our citizens they're getting for the tax dollar. This includes the air mark for \$190,000 to apply to our debt mitigation fund. This will include the school's local funding increase of \$589,726. Again, after multiple conversations with the school's superintendent, this will be able to help the schools apply the 2.85% salary increase for all school's employees.

In addition, it will help fund the seven special education positions as requested by the schools and prioritized by the Board. In addition, we will be able to meet our mandated

help 12.5% increase to three accounting positions and/or conversations. A BOS selling increase of \$2015 a year and we'll be able to have a county-appointed salary increase.

Ms. Binder:

Do we have any discussion? Keep going.

Dr. Young:

With the Board's authorization, this will be the timeline moving forward. We'd request that the Board authorize us to advertise the public hearing with option one being the option that the Board wants to utilize for the proposal. We'd have that public hearing on 23rd of April, and then we'd have the budget adoption on the 30th of April.

Just to make sure that we're clear, I'd like to request permission from the Board to advertise public hearing on April 23rd, 2019 at 6:30 p.m. in fire rescue company number one. I request that the Board authorize us to advertise a special meeting for April 30th, 2019 at 6:30 p.m. in the Board Room for budget adoption.

Mr. Granger:

So moved.

Mr. Jenkins:

Second.

Ms. Binder:

All those in favor?

Members:

Aye.

Ms. Binder:

Chairman votes aye, motion carries. Thank you, Dr. Young, for your presentation. Thank you guys for serving on the committee and help out.

Dr. Young:

No problem, ma'am. That concludes my presentation.

Ms. Binder:

All right. Next up is the economic development incentive plan presentation by Mr. Ryan Gandy, Director of Economic Development. Mr. Gandy, the floor is yours.

Mr. Gandy:

Good evening. Thank you for having me. Are you going to put it there for me? At the last Board meeting, I was asked to bring back a policy proposal, so included in your Board packet, you have the actual proposal. I've also included what we would use for the qualification of this proposal, and that's the NASCS table of basically how they judge whether a business is small based on the industry classification.

Essentially, and this is just a little background on the incentive policies, the research I've done and some of the context that I've made and discussions that I've had, the principles of the incentive programs, they should include the following goals and objectives of economic development, our financial incentive tools and limitations, performance standards, and an evaluation process.

Some considerations to look at for any program, compare the cost of the incentive against the benefits, evaluate the impact on the tax base and the revenue, analyze the impact of a project on existing businesses, determine whether the project would proceed if the incentives were not provided, and remember local economic development incentives exist to you, induce private economic activity where it would not have otherwise occurred.

The policy statement that I came up with for this proposal, King George County is committed to supporting small business development. Our goal is for any incentives we provide to make logical economic sense for both the citizens of King George County and the businesses located in King George, existing or new. The primary considerations for incentives are the intrinsic value of the project to the industrial and commercial base in King George County, number of employees, average annual wages, total annual payroll, and amount of investment.

The process that I propose is the following: small businesses would be evaluated using the most recent North American Industry Classification System, table of Size Standards published by the Small Business Administration. Each fiscal year, the Economic Development Authority will set a target amount of grant funding available. The Economic Development Authority would front qualifying cost to qualifying small businesses. The Board of Supervisors would reimburse the Economic Development Authority through tax revenues collected from that qualifying small business over an agreed upon time frame.

Requirements through this: request must be made by registered business, not an individual, so you have to have a

federal tax ID number for that business. It must be located obviously within the boundaries of King George County. It must be able to secure all applicable permits to carry project through the completion. It must not be delinquent on any county taxes, must submit a budget with a complete list of expenses, and must not relocate business outside the county before agreed upon time frame.

The use of the proposed exclusions, any labors, social or political groups, specific individuals or families, payments for religious purposes, death, retirement. Obviously we wouldn't want to award retroactive funds for qualifying projects that were completed before this policy, and then any unpermitted uses as defined in the county zoning ordinance. That's, in short, my policy proposal. You do have the complete proposal in your packet. I stand ready to answer any questions.

Ms. Binder:

Go ahead.

Ms. Brabo:

You said in our packet, so it was not in our packet.

Mr. Gandy:

I'm sorry. Okay.

Ms. Brabo:

We received it tonight as we sat down.

Mr. Gandy:

Okay.

Ms. Brabo:

I will say this. It's a 45-page document that none of us have had a chance to really read. It would be difficult to... I don't want to ask a bunch of questions that maybe are answered in the document. I feel like maybe we should... rather than waste a lot of time is... thank you for your presentation. Give us the opportunity to actually digest the document, and we can email you questions or have a discussion at a further...

Mr. Granger:

Just to clarify, the 45-page document is the table of Small Business Size Standards. That is not the policy proposal that Mr. Gandy...

Mr. Gandy:

The first four pages or the three pages--

Mr. Granger:

Yes, that's the actual--

Mr. Gandy:

-- are the actual policy.

Mr. Granger: I mean if you want to review--

Ms. Brabo: Okay-- [0:48:18 crosstalk].

Mr. Granger: I'm just saying though that there's a lot of tables in there showing the sizes of what qualified through each--

Ms. Brabo: All right. I was like... but still, we have not had a chance--

Mr. Granger: That's fine--

Ms. Brabo: -- to read, but thank you for clarifying that because I was like, "What was this?"

Mr. Gandy: The proposal that I presented and what you have there, summarized here, most of it is background and foundation of incentive policies. The crux of it is the last part where I presented the process for the actual incentive proposal.

Ms. Brabo: We still haven't had a chance to read the document.

Ms. Binder: Do we have any other discussion? I would like to read the document more fully especially committing into this document without just skimming through. It wouldn't be doing due diligence.

Dr. Young: To be honest, I had a discussion with Ryan. I think that his intent tonight was not to ask for the Board to adopt the policy. We think that it's such an important policy that our work session, there's something needs to be dedicated to this. He just wanted to provide you all the opportunity to take this home, review it, and then... because we also had to reassign it with the staff and with the legal attorney and then we'll be coming back to the Board requesting a work session to discuss this in greater detail.

Ms. Binder: Okay.

Mr. Jenkins: It couldn't be presented in the next Board meeting? We need a special work session? Am I understanding that correctly? Or, was it to show the time frame for--

Mr. Gandy: The proposal stands ready. I mean if you want to take an action on it at the next meeting, that can be something that...

Mr. Jenkins: I just don't want--

Dr. Young: I just think that it is in need--

Mr. Jenkins: -- get down the road for--

Dr. Young: I just highly advice that you allow us to do a legal review of the policy before--

Mr. Jenkins: That's fine.

Dr. Young: -- the Board for adoption.

Members: [1:50:00 crosstalk].

Mr. Jenkins: What kind of time do you need for that?

Ms. Brabo: If any changes are made, then make sure we get an updated copy prior to a meeting to make a decision and have a discussion.

Mr. Gregory: That will be the plan, certainly. I just got this tonight so I don't know how much time it's necessary, but for instance, right off the bat, I don't believe you can restrict or exclude from programs like these religious organizations.

Mr. Gandy: Okay. With respect, County Attorney, this proposal, part of this was taken from Orange County, Virginia, and there are exclusions that can be provided. I would ask that if you could verify that for me, I would appreciate it.

Mr. Gregory: Right. I don't know when their policy was put in place and I'm not prepared to get into an argument with you right now but, for instance--

Mr. Gandy: No. I'm not arguing--

Mr. Gregory: -- just decided a case out of length as to Pennsylvania did address this particular issue. We want to vet that and take a look at that and have a mechanics of this policy would be implemented so we--

Ms. Brabo: It doesn't mean that they actually had their county attorney review it.

Mr. Gandy: Well, that's true. The intent here through is to just to... obviously, we're gearing this towards small business. Obviously, if there's exclusions that we can't have in here, great. That's fine. I just want to know so that going forward, if somebody approaches us and says, "Hey, we want to take advantage of this policy," that we have the justification to say yes or no.

Ms. Brabo: Okay. All right.

Mr. Bueche: I'd like to interject if I can.

Ms. Binder: Go ahead.

Mr. Bueche: I think it's very important, this policy, but I don't want us to be in a rush of failure situation. We need to make sure we get this right. This has a lot of things that can work for us and could probably work against this if we don't do it right.

Ms. Brabo: Correct.

Mr. Bueche: I'd rather take our time, have time to digest this, get the proper reviews, and bring it back. I think it's that important that we get it right. That's just my two cents.

Ms. Binder: I agree. All right. Consensus to further review it. Thank you so much for your presentation.

Mr. Jenkins: Thanks, Ryan.

Mr. Gandy: Thank you. Thanks.

Ms. Binder: Next up, we have a report for the county attorney, the King George... country administrator of King George County Service Authority preliminary engineering report funding.

Dr. Young: Yes, I'd like to invite Mr. Weakley up. The majority of you all do sit on the Board of Directors. He did advice that he was going to be coming to the Board of Supervisors asking for funding for the preliminary engineering report to bring us in class one compliance with DEQ. I did want to state that Mr. Weakly was absolutely correct. We did include the utility master study as a CIP project that we paid for, and this is a continuance of that utility master study.

We will be able to utilize capital funding if the Board so desires to approve this request, but I thought that there was a precedence set with us assisting with the capital improvement project. We can look at that as a precedence for your consideration to support this request to go and complete that study.

Ms. Binder:

All right. Thank you. Mr. Weakly.

Mr. Weakley:

Yes, thank you. Members of the Board of Supervisors, Dr. Young, thank you for covering that, sir. I will say it's not my MO to come before... is this on? Can you hear me okay? I just want to make sure it's not my MO to come before the Board of Supervisors month after month to request funds. I do respect where those funds come from, whether they're Service Authority ratepayers or they're taxpayers in this county, some of those are both.

We have reached a point, as Dr. Young mentioned, that utility master plan covered several things. However, it did not deliver the planning schedule to DEQ was requiring. I'm not sure why if that was covered in the scope of work. It doesn't appear to be, but we need to move forward with the compliance item with DEQ. We have laid out that expectation to Wiley & Wilson. They're already under contract, assist the continuation of the service that was attempted through the utility master plan.

We asked them for timelines that we can stick to because it's very important. We are dealing with regulatory bodies. You stick to timelines that you commit to. It's what you're saying. It's what they expect. You slip a timeline on DEQ and there are severe actions for that.

Where the meat lies in this PER is on the second page. Wiley & Wilson will be evaluating over a period of time 12 of the 31 non-compliant pump stations for the Service Authority. The other 19, we believe to be just have to do with power monitoring or alarms. Some of those we might apply for a waiver from DEQ. There's just test function. I don't mean to bore you, but it is a requirement on your pump stations. There has to be a direction moving forward.

That direction is outlined under the schedule, pending approval here tonight of the funding of it. I know the Board of Directors took action to approve the PER, that doesn't wrap it up for requesting a funding tonight respectfully. That schedule, there will be a kickoff meeting in May. That's under item one of the schedule. Item two would be deliver the PER draft in August 30th. Item three would be King George County Service Authority review and comment for September the 13th with a finalized report coming in 30 days of receiving comments on October the 11th.

The important item that DEQ cares about is in that body above that in that schedule which says, "They will receive the planning schedule in their hands December the 4th, 2019." It gives us roughly, I think in this PER, they're stating 120 days. It also gives us a 30-day window for review and comment. Now, I know this is a schedule that talks about King George County Service Authority reviews. I'm happy making a request for the Board to review this if they want to sit at the table too and have input on this. What they come back with, we welcome that, but it is a total of \$34,780 to move forward with this PER.

With that funding, if it is approved tonight, we will then issue that letter of commitment that the Service Authority Board of Directors authorized tonight so DEQ knows this is our plan forward. With that said, I'll be happy to take any questions from the members of the Board.

Ms. Binder:

Do we have any questions, discussion?

Mr. Granger:

Dr. Young, do we have the funds available to provide this?

Dr. Young:

Yes, sir, we do. Building off of that, sir, I would recommend that the Board allow for the transfer from county general fund contingency be transferred to the Service Authority professional services other 4-501-82900-3160 to cover the cost of the preliminary engineering report.

Mr. Granger:

So moved.

Ms. Brabo:

Second.

Dr. Young: I'm sorry.

Mr. Granger: Move to approve in the amount of \$34,780.

Ms. Brabo: Second.

Ms. Binder: Any discussion? All those in favor?

Members: Aye.

Ms. Binder: Chairman votes aye, motion carries.

Mr. Weakley: I want to thank you, members of the Board of Supervisors, very much.

Madame Chair: Thank you.

Dr. Young: Thank you, Wilma.

Ms. Binder: Thank you. Keeping this online. Next up is the Department of Economic Development Tourism Advisory Com-- sorry. I apologize. Department of Finance requests to issue a purchase order for \$85,947.55 to Playground Specialists Incorporated for King George Elementary School playground equipment in labor.

Ms. Cobb: All right. Good evening. Lavita Cobb on behalf of Finance. On March the 18th, 2019, the School Board approved the purchase and installation of the King George Elementary School playground at a cost of \$85,947.55. The written motion was attached to your Board packet. With that being said, I'm here this evening to recommend that the Board of Supervisors approve the purchase order for \$85,947.55 to be issued to Playground Specialists for the purchase of playground equipment at King George Elementary.

Ms. Brabo: So moved.

Mr. Granger: Second.

Ms. Binder: Any discussion? All those favor?

Members: Aye.

Ms. Binder: Chairman votes aye, motion carries. Thank you so much. Next up, Department of Finance, award of the construction

contract for the demolition of a structure located at 11463 Ridge Road, King George, Virginia.

Ms. Cobb:

Yes, ma'am. It's me again. At your June 19th, 2018 meeting, you all approved the amendments to the public nuisance and unsafe building abatement ordinance to address dilapidated and unsafe buildings in the county. On June 25th, 2018, the Office of Community Development received a complaint about the state of disrepair of the former Dominion Medical building at 11463 Ridge Road.

After a site visit, the staff determined that the complaint was valid, so on February 5th, 2019, Mr. Brad Hudson appeared before you all to present this location, and funding was approved up to \$25,000 to abate this unsafe structure. We issued an invitation for a bid on March the 26th, 2019. Bids were received and publicly opened for the demolition of this structure. A total of two bids were received and I did attach the bid tabulation report to your Board report.

I've listed the scope of work in your Board report so I won't read all of it, but I will just let you know that it did include the legal disposal and documentation of all assumed medical records as your request. The low-based bid was submitted by Prior Holding in the amount of \$28,567.50. As this bid exceeded the project construction budget, we commenced negotiations with Prior. As a result, they agreed to our base bid price of \$25,000.

We completed the review of the company and we have determined that they are qualified, responsible, and responsive contractor. I recommend that the Board of Supervisors authorize the county administrator to issue a notice of award to Prior Holding for the demolition of structure at 11463 Ridge Road in the amount of \$25,000 and authorize the County Administrator to execute a construction agreement with Prior Holding subject to approval of a construction agreement as to form by the county attorney.

Ms. Brabo:

So moved.

Mr. Granger:

Second.

Ms. Binder: Any discussion?

Ms. Brabo: Yes, Chair. My understanding when we implemented this policy is that there is a means of recouping those funds from the owner of the property, correct?

Mr. Gregory: There is a mechanism for that, and the ultimate option is to submit a lien for filing with the court records with the land records against the property. Then, if and when the property is sold, that's when the lien would be recouped and the county would recoup those costs.

Ms. Brabo: At what stage will we begin that process?

Mr. Gregory: That's unknown at this time. I can't answer that question. It's possible that the county could pursue auctioning acquisition of that property and auctioning it off, but until the property is transferred, that wouldn't be triggered. It would just be a lien on the property until it's sold.

Ms. Brabo: That's what I meant. When would we do the process to put the lien in place? At what point do we--

Mr. Gregory: Immediately after the project was completed, we would file a lien.

Ms. Brabo: When the project is completed. Okay.

Mr. Gregory: Correct.

Ms. Brabo: Then, that will be brought back to the Board to place the lien upon the property?

Mr. Gregory: I could bring it back to the Board if you want me to, or I would just submit it to CERC recorder.

Ms. Brabo: You're saying we do not need to be involved in that process?

Mr. Gregory: I don't believe that's necessary.

Ms. Brabo: Okay. All right. You'll just ensure that it is taken care of appropriately.

Mr. Gregory: Yes, ma'am.

Ms. Brabo: Okay. All right. Thank you.

Ms. Binder: That would just stay on there until they pay it, or...

Mr. Gregory: Until the property is sold and then a lien would act as a trigger. The property would be a cloud on the title and the property couldn't be sold, or wouldn't be--

Ms. Binder: Until it was--

Mr. Gregory: Nobody would provide a mortgage for the property until that was paid out of the proceeds of the sale.

Ms. Binder: Okay. Do we have any other discussion? All those in favor?

Members: Aye.

Ms. Binder: Chairman votes aye, motion carries. One more for it. No.

Dr. Young: If I may, the Citizen Budget Advisory Committee, we're complete if you all wanted to leave or you're more than welcome to stay at the table, but we don't want you to feel obligated staying at the table.

Mr. Jenkins: Get out of here.

Ms. Binder: Be nice. Thank you though for helping out in the process. All right. Department of Finance, Budget Transfer and Appropriation of Fund for the Development Fiscal Impact Profile Study.

Ms. Ward: Good evening. I think you all know who I am by now. I'd recommend that the Board appropriate \$10,000 from the contingency reserve to the county administrator line 4-100-1200-- no, 12110-3160 for the Development Fiscal Impact Profile Study, so we're asking for a transfer.

Mr. Granger: So moved.

Ms. Brabo: Second.

Ms. Binder: Any discussion?

Ms. Brabo: Yes. Remind me again what the study is? I was like--

Dr. Young: If you recall, we requested from the Board funding to provide a Development Fiscal Impact Study. Basically, we're trying to figure out a way or to create a product that quantifies the

impact that development will have on the community. How much does the house cost us? How much does a particular business cost us?

By conducting this impact profile, we'll actually be able to customize projects, take a look at how it impacts the community and bring that quantifiable data back to the Board to make even more informed decisions on development coming in to King George County.

Ms. Brabo: Thank you. That helps me as a reminder, but also helps the citizens understand because when you just read that without a description, it's like, "What are we paying for?" Thank you very much.

Ms. Binder: Any other discussion? All those in favor?

Members: Aye.

Ms. Binder: Chairman votes aye, the motion carries. Thank you very much. Next is the Dr. Young and county administrator request authorization to execute option agreement.

Dr. Young: The county pays \$4,000 annually to maintain option to purchase the Mountain View Property. This property is composed of vacant parcels adjacent to the King George County Industrial Park and a large acre that runs along Route 3. The county depends on this property to accommodate potential economic development projects. We have extended the option on an annual basis for the past several years. The landowner desires to maintain the option under the current terms. I recommend that Board authorize the county administrator and the county attorney to negotiate and execute an agreement to maintain the purchase option for the Mountain View Property.

Mr. Granger: So moved.

Ms. Brabo: Second.

Ms. Binder: Any discussion? All those in favor?

Members: Aye.

Ms. Binder: Chairman votes aye, motion carries. Thank you. Hold on a second. We have our discussion items, King George County Schools Human Resources Audit.

Dr. Young: Yes, ma'am. The county receives an annual audit to ensure that we maintain best practices in accounting and financial management. For the last six years, the auditors have warned the county about our process to handle HR and payroll functions for King George County schools. During the fiscal year 17-18 audit, this concern was captured in writing, had not addressed this year. We believe that this issue will be identified as a material weakness in the fiscal year 18-19 audit.

Currently, the county maintains an employee that manages both the HR functions and payroll processing for the schools. This causes a conflict of interest as there is no checks and balances to ensure that each employee process in the school system exists and is being accounted for. Without an additional layer of oversight, there is an ability for a single employee to create opportunities for embezzlement and/or fraud.

Both the auditor and the Finance Department have discussed this issue with the schools. In addition, I have held a conversation with the school's superintendent regarding this concern. We have yet to receive a response from the school to resolve this issue. I recommend that the Board authorize the staff to cease providing HR support to the schools after June 1st, 2019 and authorize the county administrator to send correspondence to the school's superintendent advising them of this policy change.

Ms. Brabo: So moved.

Mr. Granger: Second.

Ms. Binder: Any discussion?

Ms. Brabo: I just wanted-- go ahead.

Dr. Young: I'm sorry. I would like to give an update to... then, received a phone call from Dr. Benson today. He does believe that this

will place a hardship on the schools because cutting it off on June 1st, 2019, it doesn't feel we give them enough react time to figure out how the schools will accommodate the HR. I explained to him that I will still have this conversation with the Board and request permission in directive correspondence with the understanding that both Mr. Ward, Dr. Benson, and myself will be figuring out a viable way forward. If we need to request an extension to the June 1st date, then I'll bring it to the Board then, but I do want to have a conversation to show that this discussion is progressing forward.

Ms. Brabo: I would also like to know, sir, they currently have an HR Department. They've had one with I believe two and a half employees?

Dr. Young: I thought it was two and a half, but I was told today that it's two employees.

Ms. Brabo: Two, but still they have an HR Department. Currently, have had one and this is part of the duties that should be falling under that department anyway. It's not like they don't already have employees in place to take this on.

Ms. Binder: Is there any other discussion? I appreciate you, Dr. Young, reaching out at least if they need a little more time that we can give them a little more time to facilitate funding an employee for that.

Dr. Young: Yes, ma'am. I just ask that we move it to June 1st hard date, then if that needs to shift, we have plenty of time to bring that back to you all to give an extension.

Ms. Brabo: Well, I think it would also be prudent, sir, as you explained to me to explain to them why you selected the June 1st date.

Dr. Young: Yes, ma'am. The June 1st date is deliberate. The current county employee that's handling the school's payroll and the HR functions is actually retiring June 1st. We figured that if we went on and utilized that as the date to cease providing the HR support, not the payroll support but the HR support to the schools, it basically will provide a clean cut because then when we hire the replacement, the replacement will only

learn or be trained on payroll functions and her duty to move forward. The schools will then pick up HR functions. It was all basically dependent on the retirement of the employee that won't be doing the job after June 1st.

Ms. Binder: Okay. All those in favor?

Members: Aye.

Ms. Binder: Chairman votes aye, motion carries. All right. Now, we have county administrator... no, we did that one already. We're county administrators' report.

Dr. Young: The King George County triad is hosting an AARP Smart Driver course for citizens who are at least 50 years old. The course will allow our citizens to refresh their driving skills and related issues with a licensed trainer. It should be noted that the course is classroom-based, meaning no driving will be required. The class will take place on May 14th, 2019 from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. in the King George County Sheriff's Office.

Second, I receive correspondence from the Virginia Department of Transportation, or VDOT. VDOT would like to inform the King George County Board of Supervisors of the Commonwealth Transportation Board, or CTB, public meeting to present the fiscal year 2025, Six-Year Improvement Program, or SYIP. This meeting will serve as a platform for our elected officials and citizens to provide comments on projects and programs to be included in the SYIP to include highway, rail, and public transportation initiatives, the I-95 corridor improvement plan, as well as public hearing on the addition of the I-95 express lanes, Fredericksburg extensions to the SYIP.

The public meeting for citizens in our region will start at 6 PM on Thursday, May 9th, at James Monroe High School, which is located at 2300 Washington Avenue in the City of Fredericksburg.

Ms. Binder: Go ahead, Ms. Brabo.

Ms. Brabo:

Before we go in a closed session, if I may go back to Mr. Jenkins' report related to the George Washington Regional Commission Rural Transportation Plan. I had sent Dr. Milsaps an email and copied Dr. Young and Mr. Jenkins on it related to that funding, and then I had a conversation with Mr. Jenkins before our meeting this evening.

There appears to be a lot of questions related to how the plans are put in place. The email from Dr. Milsaps stated that here's a five-year plan of projects for Caroline County. The focus was going to be Caroline County going forward with no plan for projects for King George County, stating that King George County had reaped the benefit of the rural transportation funds for the last few years.

I responded in kind, great, I would like to know what those projects were because I'm not aware of how the rural transportation dollars were spent here since our projects have been funded through the SMART SCALE and our projects going forward are part of the SMART SCALE. I asked, where was the plan for projects going forward for King George County?

Neither of those has been provided in response to my request. On top of that, Mr. Jenkins stated that in his conversation with Ms. Long, who serves on the Caroline County Board of Supervisors, at the meeting, she looked at the list of projects for Caroline County and went, "Who came up with this list?" They're not even seeking the input of the elected officials, so I think this is problematic. I am requesting my colleagues to provide consensus that Dr. Young can send an email on our behalf listing our concern related to these matters and we feel that we should be provided answers.

Mr. Granger:

Agreed.

Mr. Jenkins:

Yes.

Ms. Binder:

There are going to be consensus? Yes. Dr. Young, can you make that happen?

Dr. Young:

Can do, ma'am.

Ms. Binder: Thank you. Anything else? You're up.

Mr. Jenkins: I move that King George County Board of Supervisors convene in closed meeting pursuant to State Code Section 2.2-3711(A)3 for discussion, consideration, and consultation with legal counsel concerning the potential acquisition of real property for public purposes and disposition of publicly held real property.

Where a discussion in an open meeting would adversely affect the bargaining position or negotiating strategy of the Board and the State Code Section 2.2-3711(A)8 for a consultation with legal counsel employed or retained by public body regarding specific legal matters requiring the provision of legal advice by such counsel. Invited to attend the closed meeting are the county administrator and county attorney because they are deemed necessary and their presence will reasonably aid the Board in its consideration of the topics to be discussed pursuant to Virginia in FOIA Section 2.2-3712(F).

Mr. Granger: Second.

Ms. Binder: Discussion? All those in favor?

Members: Aye.

Ms. Binder: Aye. We're in closed session.

Mr. Jenkins: I move that the King George Board of Supervisors return to an open meeting and certify that only public business matters lawfully exempted from FOIA's open meeting requirements by Virginia law and only such public business matters as were identified in the motion convening the closed meeting were heard, discussed, or considered during the closed meeting.

Mr. Granger: Second.

Ms. Binder: Any discussion?

Mr. Granger: That's for--

Ms. Binder: Now, we certify, right? All right. Mr. Granger, do you certify?

Mr. Granger: I certify.

Ms. Binder: Mr. Jenkins, do you certify?

Mr. Jenkins: I certify.

Ms. Binder: Ms. Brabo, do you certify?

Ms. Brabo: So certify.

Ms. Binder: I so certify myself. Do we have any other things to come before the Board of Supervisors?

Mr. Granger: I move to adjourn until April 23rd at 6:30 in Company One.

Ms. Brabo: Second.

Ms. Binder: Any discussion? All those in favor?

Members: Aye.

Ms. Binder: This meeting is concluded.

[END OF TRANSCRIPT]