

The King George County Board of Supervisors (KGCBOB) Meeting was called to order at 6:30 PM by Chairman Richard Granger in the Robert H. Combs Board Room of the Revercomb Building, located at 10459 Courthouse Drive, King George County, Virginia 22485.

Members Present:
Chairman Richard Granger
Vice Chairman T.C. Collins
Cathy Binder
Ann Cupka
Jeffery Stonehill

County Administrator: **Christopher Miller**

County Attorney: **Kelly Lackey**

Staff Present:
Jaci Fish, Executive Assistant
Donna Hahn, Director of Finance
Derrick Mestler, Director of Human Resources
Amy Southall, Communications & Engagement Officer
Richard Stuart, KGCD Senior Planner

Constitutional Officers:
Sheriff Chris Giles
Judy Hart

Call to Order and Invocation:

0:00:01.4 Chairman Richard Granger: I call to order this meeting of the King George County Board of Supervisors. I will open with an invocation and then we will have the Pledge of Allegiance from Mr. Miller. So, if everyone could please rise. Lord we come before you, this day. We thank you for the blessings you've given us. We thank you for watching over us and keeping us safe. We ask that you be with us this evening. We ask that you guide us, give us discernment and wisdom as we look into the business of this community. Just ask that you help us to make the right decision, the decisions that would be in the best interest of our citizens, as well as in the interest of your glory. Lord, just help us to make decisions that would bring glory to you through our actions. In your name we pray, Amen.

0:00:46.1 All: Amen.

Pledge of Allegiance:

0:00:50.5 All: "I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

Amendments to the Agenda:

0:01:02.4 Chairman Richard Granger: Thank you, Mr. Miller. Do we have any amendments to the agenda?

0:01:11.1 Chris Miller: we have an amendment and you have been presented that.

King George County Board of Supervisors
October 03, 2023
Meeting Minutes

0:01:16.4 Chairman Richard Granger: Okay. I don't see Ms. Hart in here at the moment, but my understanding she's here and she would like to provide some information about proration. So, if my colleagues be willing to entertain the, amending the agenda to include Ms. Hart as part of the Constitutional Officer's presentations, I would appreciate that.

0:01:29.9 Ann Cupka: So moved.

0:01:32.1 Jeffrey Stonehill: Second.

0:01:32.5 Chairman Richard Granger: We have a motion properly second. Do we have any discussion? All in favor say aye.

0:01:38.4 Cathy Binder: Aye.

0:01:38.7 Ann Cupka: Aye

0:01:38.8 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: Aye.

0:01:38.8 Jeffrey Stonehill: Aye.

0:01:38.7 Chairman Richard Granger: Any opposed? Chair votes. Aye. Motion carries. Alright, we will move on to public comments. Public comments will be limited to three minutes per person in order to afford everyone an opportunity to speak. If comments relate to a specific public hearing item, we ask that you offer those comments at the time of the public hearing. When you come up, please state your name and your address and the floor is open for public comment now.

Public Comment:

0:01:58.2 Anthony Staats: Good evening, Anthony Staats, 9007 Claire Street. We all just witnessed the, the Service Authority meeting. And I have a lot of concerns though. The Service Authority reports to the Board of Supervisors. There's a lot of things that went on in that meeting that were very concerning. First off, the amount of debt that the Service Authority is in, and they plan to mitigate that debt by putting the Service Authority under the Board of Supervisors as a department under the County. That is a horrible, horrible, horrible idea. And when the financial advisor for the County came up and said, well, this won't be put onto the taxpayers who are on well, who don't already pay into the Service Authority. This won't be taking out the general funds. We all heard this story before with landfill. Oh, we'll save \$2 million. Oh, this will be easier. I don't believe it for one second. There is no way putting this under the County as a department will save us a buck. Not only that, but I also foresee, in the near future, that the same Service Authority Board or a different one. What they will do is they will try to lower rates and they will try to balance it in the books of well payers and well users. That should be a red line in the sand. I think that should be a hard no, go on that. So, when the financial advisor comes up here and he said it three or four times, I wouldn't really trust him. Second thing I want to bring to the Board's attention is something that other counties do. It is a lame duck rule. It says that Supervisors who are not

King George County Board of Supervisors
October 03, 2023
Meeting Minutes

running for reelection should not be able to vote. Other counties in the Commonwealth and across the nation do it. It is very, very strong toward democracy to protect it for people who will not be accountable, who are voting on very consequential items such as maybe another data center, solar farms in King George. There are a lot of things in this County that're very important. And for Supervisors who are not running for reelection to have a say on that it's very, very dangerous. Considering already, for two seats on the Board. Currently, early voting is open and, well early voting all over King George is open but for those two seats in particular, the at large and Dahlgren, the Supervisors are currently not running for reelection. So that should put even a more strain on the fact that we need a lame duck rule in this County because of the fact that people in this County are already voting for their next Supervisors. And the Supervisors currently will not be held accountable for their actions that they vote. So, I ask the County to please consider that. Thank you.

0:04:32.3 Ana Maria Lovell: You're so funny. Hi, my name is Ana Maria Lovell. I live in Presidential Lakes. I want to thank you for your service to the County, and I hope that you make good decisions since you are part of the community. I also wanted to remind you about the elimination of the annual decal fee. Since our taxes last year were 40% higher, I don't remember our taxes ever been that high in 50 years that I've been living here. I also don't think people even realize that they are paying for decal because it's listed on their tax bill as a license fee, \$23 for each vehicle that they own in the past they give it out in actual decal. It's okay to pay onetime fee when people move into the County, but not year after year. In 1997, the Governor, Jim Gilmore wanted to eliminate the car tax or personal property tax imposed on vehicles ownership as it has long been highly unpopular in the state of Virginia. For example, for our 15 year and our one-year-old vehicle we pay over a thousand dollars a year. The thing is that we had to pay 46 a year for nothing. And these days people struggle to pay the bills. Everything is so high. People sometimes are already retired, and they have to come back to work because they cannot pay the bills. Everything is so high. Thank you.

0:06:27.0 Chairman Richard Granger: Mr. Dines, do we have anyone online?

0:06:49.4 Chris Dines: No. Mr. Chairman.

0:06:57.6 Claudette Jordan: Good evening, everyone. I'm Claudette Jordan. 11773 Big Bear Lane, Lusby, Maryland. On behalf of the Ralph Bunche Alumni Association and the Ralph Bunche Advisory Committee, I'm here tonight to thank you Supervisors for resurrecting the advisory committee. The two groups got together and put on one heck of an event last Saturday. And I want to give praise for praises due without Ann Cupka, Chris Miller, Renee Parker, and I'll throw myself in there. It would not have been possible. And I want to talk about Coach Binder, who got off the track and came in, assembled chairs and tables, and she rolled right back to disassemble chairs and tables. It takes a lot to put on an event like that. And we had support from the region and from locals. Bloomia, Mary Washington Foundation, Bennett Funeral Home Long and Foster, Ruby Brabo, a private LLC for STEM, Exit Realty. And then individual sponsors, Ann Cupka, Renee Parker, Ernestine Jefferson, Robert Stein. And there were people there from Philly, from New Jersey, Florida, as far away as Staunton, Virginia. So, there were bed nights and food and gas bringing revenue to the County. I alone

King George County Board of Supervisors
October 03, 2023
Meeting Minutes

put in two bed nights and ate like a horse. And I didn't drink booze, but I drank a lot of ginger ale and shopped at Walmart for the occasion. And it was dedicated to the museum. Night for the Museum that was the topic. I want you to know that the Ralph Bunche Alumni Association is really dedicated to upholding everything that's in the memorandum of understanding and the Ralph Bunche Advisory Committee. We're all endeavoring to fulfill our responsibilities for capital fundraising for the school and also for the museum. Because if you don't revitalize the school, you can't put a museum in. And the pledges are rolling in. People really appreciate it. There were a couple people there who attended the event. A good time I think was had by all. And you'll get a report. I think it's supposed to be now I don't know. But I'll go back and read to know when the report is due for you regarding the memorandum of understanding. And we are just so grateful for the relationship and how we're able to move forward. So once again, thank you one and all.

Chairman Granger closed the floor for Public Comment.

0:09:52.1 Chairman Richard Granger: Did anyone receive correspondence to be entered into the record? Okay. And I'll close public comment and we'll move on to reports from Members of the Board. Ms. Binder.

Reports from Members of the Board:

0:10:03.5 Cathy Binder: Thank you to everyone who came and spoke. Ms. Jordan, I got your flyer right here, night for the museum. It was a nice event. A lot of dancing was had. Ms. Cupka was out on the floor dancing. I am not good at dancing. So, I just saw the energy in the room and, and it was a good time. I had a, one of the coolest parts was seeing the class of 1965, which had said on one of the little, they had, pictures of each of the classes that graduated on each of the tables. And the class of '65 was the biggest. And when you think biggest. Ms. Jordan could tell me 20 people, 25 people, but I don't know. I'd have to say almost all of them were there and took a class photo and that was pretty cool to see all of them still there and talking to them and hearing some of their stories. And it was like a big class reunion, and it was quite a fun event. And it was a good time had by all. So, thank you all involved in it. So, Mr. Granger and I, it was the week before everything rolled around. We did so much over the week. We went and I had a nice tour with Mr. Innes from All Points Broadband. And it was about the initiative. So, I know I'm kind of a bear on Mr. Innes and All Points Broadband to make sure that they do what they say and provide service. But it was a very appreciative and interesting explanation of what have been the delays. And one of the biggest delays is Dominion Energy. They have to actually put in requests to, it's a complicated process, but they have to put in a lot of places new poles because there's quite a few things as we learned on the visit that go on a utility pole. And so, they have to get new poles and have to move the placements around. And so one of their biggest challenges is you put in an application for a utility pole to be either replaced or moved and then you have to wait a process. The process is about a hundred and some days, well, some of the applications have been in for over 300 and some days. So, part of the problem is getting those poles replaced and that process of the application moved along. So, if Dominion if you're hearing tonight or watching us, could you hurry it up? Because there's a lot of people in King George that would like the service and All Points is hoping to be able once the grant is completed.

King George County Board of Supervisors
 October 03, 2023
 Meeting Minutes

because not everyone can be covered under this grant. Once the grant is completed that they will be able to service all of the County because they're trying to lay the fiber everywhere so that when the grant expires and they're able to provide the service, they can provide the service to the whole County. And they're looking, hopefully if the poles get up because we saw them when we were out there, they were moving really fast to put the wires up on the poles. If they get those poles approved, it could be quick to get this process started and by the end of the year. So hopefully, and especially for the residents of Shiloh, I hope that happens so those that need internet can get it turned on. And we have a lot of people that work from home who would like that service. Now, one other thing I want to bring up to my Board Members is, as some may know, I'm on a state committee about solar regulations, but within it I've interacted a lot with DEQ, and they've been talking about their education program. They're trying to start up to try to help localities understand more about what DEQ does and the information they can provide to help us. And I've said basically since we have a turnover and election, it would be really great for the new Members to be able to ask questions. So, they're willing to come to us and bring some folks so we can ask them questions. So, what I need from my colleagues, and I already asked the Service Authority Board, what kind of topics would they like the Members of DEQ to come? Because when I talked to Ms. Susan Tripp who's part of air renewables, I forgot, it's a long title, but they just need to know who they can bring to help answer our questions. It'd be like a Q&A educational session. So, what topics I know I've already heard from the Service Authority Board, what topics folks would like, and once I get the topics to them, they'll coordinate with Mr. Miller and Mr. Granger, when they can come onto our agenda. So, are there any specific topics?

0:14:06.1 Chairman Richard Granger: Which ones did the Service Authority identify?

0:14:12.7 Cathy Binder: Groundwater, surface water, wastewater. I added in the air renewables because that has to do with data centers, solar because they use batteries and permitting. And then stormwater management.

0:14:23.8 Chairman Richard Granger: That was going to be the last one I was going to bring up. Water waste in general, just because I know we have a number of it and the impact it has on our ability to develop in those regions.

0:14:30.6 Cathy Binder: Right. Any others of which you can think? I'll send that email to them and then they'll give us some experts that can come, and they'll coordinate with Mr. Miller and yourself Mr. Granger. But that is the end of my report. Thank you very much.

0:14:48.2 Chairman Richard Granger: Thank you, Mrs. Binder. Mr. Collins.

0:14:51.4 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: Thank you. And good evening. Thank you everyone for coming. Thanks to those that are online. So, Ms. Jordan, good to see you. I'm glad that it went well. Ms. Lovell I know you're a fan of getting rid of the sticker tax. I think we're going to have some more presentations on that in a little while. Now. Back now to the Water Authority. So let me just give a little explanation about the Water Authority. So, the Water Authority started off in King George. All the Board of Supervisor's Members were on the

King George County Board of Supervisors
 October 03, 2023
 Meeting Minutes

Water Authority, but when they started off, all the private systems people wanted to sell them. King George said yes, paid millions of dollars. As soon as they got ahold of them, the state said, hey, you need to pay a million dollars to get up to speed. So, there's been debt since day one. Then anything that goes on, any repairs, any infrastructure needs, then the users pay for it. There are not enough users. You're talking about bringing the Water Authority under the County purview. The Water Authority hadn't worked before. Who expects it to work in the future? I would be more inclined to dissolve the Water Authority in that I don't want the Water Authority to make debt for the County and still be under the umbrella of the County. Also, the \$25 million in debt for the Water Authority. So Hopyard, that developer paid for their entire system and now their citizens are on the hook for half their bill, which is \$150. That's half, it's \$300. They're paying for debt service that they didn't incur. They didn't do that. So, they're spreading it out amongst all the users. So, when the argument comes, hey, I have a well, you do have a, well, so the argument comes the fire department. So yes, County taxes are paid for the fire department, but the County taxes are not paying for the water that they put in their trucks to take to the fire. So those on the Water Authority are paying for the infrastructure to get that water to the fire department to go put out a fire at your house, that has a well. So, if we're going to go that route, then maybe the folks that have a well, if they, in case in need of a fire, they'll have to pay a surcharge for that infrastructure to get that water to the fire department so they can use that water. You shouldn't have all the pain on the customers. The pains have been on the customers for 20 years. The debt is not really going down. If the County were to take over the Authority, then it should actually take over the debt, which is not a popular statement amongst those that have wells. So, my question also is, are the Authority Members going to be paying for future infrastructure needs First, economic development. So, the farm store and the County Board of Supervisors paid for that. But how about if the County Board of Supervisors decides they don't want to pay for some economic development? So, the users would have to pay for that and then they would incur more debt. So, I think before you make these decisions, and Mr. Stats says in this lame duck session, you're right, two of them are making decisions that are shoving things forward, including the data center and everything else. You see, everything's on the fast track because they're leaving and there's no repercussion to that. So, I don't mind saying it in public. There should be a lame duck rule. Thank you. And that concludes my comments.

0:19:10.8 Chairman Richard Granger: Thank you Mr. Collins. Mrs. Cupka.

0:19:13.5 Ann Cupka: Thank you Mr. Chair. Thank you everyone for joining us this evening. Thank you to all of you who provided comments in person and all of you listening online. So first, Mr. Staats, thank you so much for sharing your concerns with us this evening, about the Service Authority possibility of a merger about the data center. And with regard to your lame duck rule. So, I swore an oath in December of 2019. I swore an oath to serve four years, not three years, 10 months, and seven days, which is when the November election will occur. I am not marking time. I never will mark time. The County never sleeps. Ms. Lovell, thank you very much for your comments and, hopefully, we'll get some more information from Ms. Hart about her ability to provide us with some data. And Ms. Jordan, thank you so much for your kind words. It was a great event. I had a lot of fun. You could tell. It was just wonderful to hear the stories. And I want to give special thanks to everyone who volunteered their time

King George County Board of Supervisors
October 03, 2023
Meeting Minutes

and their financial resources to support the event. We had a special recorded message from Mr. Sherman Parker, one of the first two African American students to integrate King George High School. And he was slated to be an in-person guest and keynote speaker for us. And he had a wonderful family surprise occur wherein his grandchildren joined him for his birthday. And so, he did make the decision to stay home with his grandchildren. But I need to thank Mr. Chris Clarke for helping me. We found that out Thursday afternoon and Friday morning, he helped me coordinate a team meeting with Mr. Parker so that we could record his remarks and he could still greet our guests that evening. As good as being there in person. So, thanks to Mr. Clarke and thank you very much. That's all I have.

0:21:24.2 Chairman Richard Granger: Thank you, Mrs. Cupka. Mr. Stonehill.

0:21:27.2 Jeffrey Stonehill: Just want to say thanks to everybody that came out in person, folks online. I appreciate having people here for our meetings. And it seems like a few we're getting more and more as time goes on, so I appreciate that. And I don't really have a report, but I do need an appointment, which I have forgotten to do, to reappoint Jane Marvin to the Smoot Library Board as the Dahlgren representative.

0:22:00.0 Cathy Binder: Second.

0:22:00.7 Chairman Richard Granger: We have a motion properly seconded; do we have any discussion? All in favor say aye.

0:22:05.1 Cathy Binder: Aye.

0:22:06.0 Ann Cupka: Aye.

0:22:06.6 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: Aye.

0:22:09.2 Chairman Richard Granger: Any opposed? Chair votes aye. Motion carries.

0:22:09.5 Jeffrey Stonehill: Thank you all for that. And that's all I have. Thank you.

0:22:13.8 Chairman Richard Granger: Thank you, Mr. Stonehill. I'll just say thank you to everyone who did come out and provide comments. I do appreciate it. It's always good to hear from the citizens. So, on September 20th, there was a King George County Schools community stakeholder breakfast. Dr. Boyd came out and talked about the state of the schools in relation to the other localities that we're in currently, in regard to our certification level. So King George was actually at the highest level amongst all the regional localities, including Stafford, Spotsylvania, Fredericksburg, Caroline. And so that was encouraging to see there's still some challenges. And the biggest one that he identified was chronic absenteeism across all schools. And it sounds like that has been a problem even before COVID occurred. And so, trying to address those kinds of challenges in order to keep certification and, and make sure kids are being educated and prepared to become productive members of society. It's obviously a very important issue. And so, it's good to understand

King George County Board of Supervisors
 October 03, 2023
 Meeting Minutes

where it's right now. It's not, it didn't fall into the red, it was in the yellow where it's currently. Something to be addressed by the schools. September 21st, there was a Community Relations (COMREL) executive meeting. So where, this is where we set the agenda for the, the coming COMREL. The coming COMREL will actually be on November 14th, and it will be at UMW Dahlgren. And so, people are always invited to attend. I know all my colleagues are here, are always invited. If you're available, that evening we did have a joint work session with the Service Authority to discuss the merger, moving the Service Authority to a public works underneath the County. So, there was that discussion. I appreciate the Service Authority being there to speak with us. On September 22 as Ms. Binder brought up we did meet with Mr. Tom Innes. And so, I won't really belabor that anymore. She gave a pretty good overview of the challenges that they're facing, and it was nice to hear what they're dealing with and, yes, trying to poke Dominion. So, trying to find the right person in Dominion that will be able to move forward on getting those approvals for the pole installations is obviously something that would be very good to do. On September 25th, Ms. Terry Rinko hosted a King George Career Academy schools focus group. And so, the whole point of this was the schools have been working with The Youth Department of Education launched a Career Z Challenge to seek innovative ideas from the nation's K-12 school divisions for developing their dream work-based learning ecosystems. And King George County schools were selected as one of the 81, out of thousands nationwide to advance to the semi-final phase of the competition. And King George was one of only 17 rural, sub or rural divisions that was chosen. So ultimately there will be 10, so 10 of the 81, so one in eight. Ultimately will be selected to go into phase three with opportunity to receive \$150,000 award, grant award to help make those programs become a reality. They are currently working, and part of that program is to collect new partnerships from a variety of entities. They've formed 27 new ones, including business and industry, post-secondary stakeholders, local government, federal government, community organizations, nonprofits, and a union apprenticeship program. And they're actively seeking to add new partners. So, if anyone is interested from a business perspective we're trying to entertain getting into a workforce development relationship with the schools, you can reach out to Ms. Terry Rinko and see if that might be a good fit. On September 26th, we had the joint planning session with the Planning Commission, and with Berkeley Group for the zoning ordinance overhaul. And so, I thank, all our Planning Commission Members and all the work that our Staff has gone through to put those together. So, hopefully we'll be able to move forward on adopting those in the near future. It's been a long process and it's been going on for a long time. And the last thing I have is, my understanding there was another accident along the route three corridor, in the Farley Vale Drive through Lagrange Lane area. I've received some emails in the past. I've talked with David Beale, our VDOT representative, about things that could be done in order to address the safety concerns. If there is any infrastructure that could be installed, whether it's guardrails or some other infrastructure or some other unique idea, or lowering speed limits. None of those have seemed to be really attractive from a VDOT perspective. I'm going to ask my colleagues if we could direct Staff to reach out to VDOT and request that they perform a safety study as opposed to a speed study of that region, which would include looking into, the historical accident situation in that region and see if there's anything that needs to be done in order to address those kinds of issues.

King George County Board of Supervisors
October 03, 2023
Meeting Minutes

0:26:40.7 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: Could we add Comorn and Stanley Road on that?

0:26:42.6 Chairman Richard Granger: Is everyone amenable to including Comorn as part of, okay. Okay, Mr. Miller, if you could send some correspondence to VDOT and request that the safety study be performed in that region, I would appreciate it.

0:26:58.9 Chris Miller: And Mr. Collins, you asked for Comorn. I didn't hear that.

0:27:01.5 Chairman Richard Granger: Comorn.

0:27:02.5 Chris Miller: Comorn. Okay.

0:27:02.8 Chairman Richard Granger: Comorn. Sorry. Correct. Sorry.

0:27:04.4 Chris Miller: Okay. Comorn? Yes, sir.

0:27:06.1 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: Where the old Perns Market was.

0:27:08.7 Chairman Richard Granger: Okay. Thank you everyone. That concludes my comments. So, I'll bring it back to the Board. And I believe we do have a closed session that we're going to start with for this evening.

Closed Session:

0:27:24.1 Ann Cupka: Mr. Chair, I move that the King George County Board of Supervisors convene to Closed Session for discussion of a proposed local incentive package for a major economic development project pursuant to the following authorities: (1) Va. Code Section 2.2-3711(A)(6) for discussion and consideration of investment of public funds where competition or bargaining is involved, where if made public initially, the financial interest of the County would be affected; (2) Va. Code Section 2.2-3711(A)(39) for discussion of information subject to the exclusion by Va. Code Section 2.2-3705.6(3) for economic development arising from propriety business information provided pursuant to a promise of confidentiality and/or working papers or other information related to negotiation and bargaining concerning business siting or expansion for which disclosure would adversely affect the financial interest of the County; and (3) Va. Code Section 2.2-3711(8), for discussion of specific legal matters requiring the provision of legal advice. I invite the County Administrator, County Attorney, assistant County Attorney, principal engineer, and representatives of the development prospect as needed because they are deemed necessary and or their presence will reasonably aid the Board in its consideration of one or more of the topics to be discussed pursuant to Virginia Code Section 2.2-37112F.

0:29:04.0 Jeffery Stonehill: Second.

0:29:05.3 Chairman Richard Granger: We have a motion properly seconded. Do we have any discussion?

King George County Board of Supervisors
October 03, 2023
Meeting Minutes

0:29:15.6 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: First off, the agenda package did not include all those specifics. There was a, the closed session was nothing close to that in the agenda package. Number two, if we're talking about Amazon in there, which I don't know if we are or we're not, but if we are, then I think it's time for the bring that out in the open instead of discussing things in non-transparent ways. Again, there is no reason why we should have that in there. There is no competition. There is no competition for Amazon. They've already got all they're going to get as far as the rezoning and comp plan and so forth and so on. So there, I don't understand where this code section and the other ones that were added would allow us to go into closed session properly and hide stuff from the citizens of King George again.

0:30:22.3 Cathy Binder: I do have concern to the added code sections because it is not in my agenda packet. And that concerns me.

0:30:29.9 Chairman Richard Granger: Do we have any other discussion? All in favor say aye.

0:30:34.7 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: Wait a minute. Ms. Lackey, is that proper if it's added on and it's not published for that in the agenda package as is required by law?

0:30:47.9 Kelly Lackey: If the closed session was advertised, the scope of the closed session was slightly changed. There were two basis, two authorities cited in the agenda package for the meeting and then additional attendees were contemplated for the meeting. So, a third authority was added to the motion script in order to facilitate additional parties to facilitate discussion.

0:31:13.1 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: So, you say there's no legal challenge to that?

0:31:18.9 Kelly Lackey: So, it is at the discretion of the Board whether you wish to have a majority approve the closed meeting motion. But the topic of the closed meeting has not changed. Additional authority for the basis of the meeting has been added.

0:31:32.0 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: We already know what the vote's going to be on the majority. I'm asking about the legal. So, are you saying it's legally what that motion was made? The answer's yes or no.

0:31:42.8 Kelly Lackey: Yes sir.

0:31:45.0 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: Thank you.

0:31:47.9 Chairman Richard Granger: All in favor say aye.

0:31:48.4 Chris Miller: Aye.

0:31:49.8 Ann Cupka: Aye.

0:31:50.2 Chairman Richard Granger: Any opposed?

0:31:51.3 Cathy Binder: Nay.

0:31:52.2 Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: Nay.

0:31:52.6 Chairman Richard Granger: Chair votes aye. Motion carried. We are in closed session.

Return to Public Meeting¹:

Chairman Richard Granger: Order to continue the rest of the agenda at this time.

Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: Second.

Chairman Richard Granger: So, I was willing to entertain that motion if someone to make the motion.

Jeffrey Stonehill: So moved.

Chairman Richard Granger: So moved.

Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: Second.

0:32:09.5 Chairman Richard Granger: Second. Okay. We have a motion properly seconded. Any discussion? All in favor say aye.

Cathy Binder: Aye.

Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: Aye.

Jeffrey Stonehill: Aye.

Ann Cupka: Aye.

Chairman Richard Granger: Any opposed? Chair votes aye. Motion carries. We are back in open session. Move on to the consent agenda. I'll entertain a motion.

Consent Agenda:

Cathy Binder: I make a motion to approve the consent agenda as presented.

Jeffery Stonehill: Second.

Chairman Richard Granger: We have a motion properly seconded. Do we have any discussion? All in favor say aye.

¹ Due to the length of the closed session the time stamp does not continue for the rest of the meeting minutes.

Cathy Binder: Aye.

Ann Cupka: Aye.

Jeffrey Stonehill: Aye.

Chairman Richard Granger: Any opposed? Chair votes aye. Motion carries. We will start with Ms. Hart as a constitutional officer. I know that we have added you to the agenda and so we will come on, now, I believe it's about proration if I understand properly.

Constitutional Officer, Ms. Judy Hart, Commissioner of Revenue:

Ms. Hart: Good evening Board Members. Mr. Miller, Ms. Lackey, we put together a small presentation for you concerning the proration that has been passed to start the first of the year. I'm going to turn it over to Regina and she's going to give you some good news.

Regina Puckett: Good evening. I am before you tonight to request that we stop the vehicle license fee at the time we begin proration January 2024. I understand your concern regarding the loss of \$700,000. Your request was to receive two years of historical data on vehicles moving into the County as well as be able to present alternative revenue streams. While it is possible for me to look back and let you know how many vehicles were on a DMV download for each week for the past two years, it is not that easy to let you know the specific type of vehicle and the value or tax it brought to the County. I will need to create queries for that information as well as the vehicles we have deleted in the past two years. Due to the timeline for mailing out land use applications, I have not had the time to create those queries. And speaking with many other Commissioners last week, it seems that revenue does increase when you transition from non-prorating to prorating because in most cases the vehicle is of a higher value. I had provided information in May of 2023 that I had gathered from speaking with other localities that are close in population to King George and had recently transitioned from non-prorating to prorating. All of the localities stated they received an increase in revenue by prorating. Caroline responded with an increase of 5.7%, which was \$900,000. Culpepper responded with an increase of 10%, excuse me. Goochland County said they did not have exact figures, but "Had a windfall when they, the locality switched to prorating." Montgomery County responded with an increase. Prince George said they received an increase with an additional revenue of \$1,300,000. It is my understanding that when a vehicle is assessed a license fee in another locality, it should not be assessed a second license fee within that same year. That would be mean that my Staff would spend a great deal of time researching and contacting other localities from each vehicle that moves into our locality. Since we are not able to hire another full-time person for the position. This will cause my office to not be able to operate as efficiently as I would like. I feel that it will be more beneficial to my office to stop the vehicle license fee at the time we transition to proration. I do have a couple of ideas how to create revenue. Perhaps not on a large scale, but over time, it may help. Currently we exempt real estate tax for veterans up to 10 acres per Virginia State Code, which is because we currently had an ordinance in place for exempting elderly and disabled persons. I would like to discuss this further with Kelly at some point to

King George County Board of Supervisors
October 03, 2023
Meeting Minutes

update the ordinance that is in place for elderly, disabled, and veterans to lower the amount of acreage we exempt from two five instead of 10. Currently we exempt 10. Prior to coming to this meeting, Judy and I had run some numbers on 28 of the veteran files and found that if we made that change, it would make a difference of \$8,310. I can imagine that it would be much higher if we had checked all accounts. I have figures that show how much the total real estate exemption is for veterans. In 2011 with only seven veterans, we exempted \$1912. Now in 2023 with 269 veterans, we exempt \$802,095.68. That is why I feel we could reduce the number of acres we are exempting. Another avenue is to adjust our depreciation schedule, which is the method we use when JD Power or Vessel Evaluations does not value vehicles or boats. My recommendation is if it's not possible to stop the vehicle license fee January 2024 due to budget purposes, then we postpone proration until January 2025. Thank you.

Chairman Richard Granger: Thank you Ms. Puckett. I really appreciate that information and the data. Did anyone have any questions? Okay.

Regina Puckett: Okay. Would you still like me to work on those queries for you or does this provide the information you were looking for?

Chairman Richard Granger: I'll ask my colleagues if they would like to see more precise information.

Cathy Binder: The only thing I had, you had prepared some things to give us, correct? You had or is it just this information?

Regina Puckett: It was just what I read.

Cathy Binder: Okay. I just wanted to clarify because you got a lot of material there, but I do appreciate you looking into it in the thoroughness. Thank you.

Regina Puckett: Yes, it's fine.

Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: I would prefer if there, we could have a consensus of the Board not to mess with the veterans and to leave that out of the mix.

Ann Cupka: Mr. Chair, I would like to see some more data because I am not okay with taking away benefits from our veterans or our elderly or our disabled. That does not sit well with me.

Regina Puckett: I only bring it up because you asked for additional revenue avenues and a lot of other localities do not exempt the full 10 acres.

Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: Ms. Puckett, I appreciate that, but I think that the Board is not willing to mess with our elderly or so you don't have to waste your time with that.

Chairman Richard Granger: I would agree. I appreciate you bringing it forth as an option. So,

King George County Board of Supervisors
October 03, 2023
Meeting Minutes

certainly nothing wrong with just bringing it as an option, as a way to find more revenue, but I don't really have an appetite for looking down that avenue, but thank you for bringing it forth still. I do appreciate that. I'm sorry. Ms. Binder.

Cathy Binder: Are you going to go back to your talking? Is there any way you can give us a rough estimate of what the value, the difference by looking at a certain number of people who bought new vehicles, what that amount would've been?

Regina Puckett: I wouldn't begin to guess. I would rather wait and do the queries for you to try to put something together.

Cathy Binder: Okay. Thank you. And I know our computer system at this moment isn't as helpful.

Chairman Richard Granger: So, Ms. Binder, are you then interested in seeing more concrete numbers?

Cathy Binder: Well, I agree with the whole getting rid of the vehicle license. That is one way we can show our citizens we are going to give them back and abolish them. But I do understand the loss of revenue. I think it can be made up next year when we budget, but I know there's a concern for the rest of this year, but I also understand that we have an old accounting system that is going to be replaced that might cause some of the issues to find the data. So, is there a happy medium that we can be assured somewhere we can find that loss in revenue just for the rest of this budget year?

Chairman Richard Granger: So, is that you're not interested in moving forward with asking for more data?

Cathy Binder: I would like more data, but that's where I'm just justifying. And I know that is a big ask, but I could also hear the citizens going, you're going to lose all that revenue and how are we going to balance the budget?

Chairman Richard Granger: So, is there an interest in to request to have the numbers run? Okay. I understand that you have a lot of other things as well. Do you have an estimate on how long you think that activity might take?

Regina Puckett: I began to work on it this evening, so possibly I could have something tomorrow.

Chairman Richard Granger: Oh wow, okay.

Regina Puckett: I could email you guys if that's not possible.

Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: I don't mind getting the email, but just so everybody knows what the numbers are.

King George County Board of Supervisors
October 03, 2023
Meeting Minutes

Chairman Richard Granger: So, they come and present it. Certainly, we'll take the email. Absolutely. But yes, it would be great as well to then have it presented as well so everyone can see. So yes, I do appreciate it. Thank you. Ms. Cupka, did you have something else?

Ann Cupka: I would just request consensus from the direct Staff once we've obtained some more data or whatever the answer is to bring this forward on a future agenda for additional discussion. Thank you.

Regina Puckett: I do apologize for the late arrival, but as I said, my focus was on the timeline for land use, and I knew you guys wanted some kind of information.

Chairman Richard Granger: Totally understand. I really appreciate what you did bring though, and I appreciate you willing to go in and get the rest of the information as well. Look forward to seeing it. So, thank you for coming out tonight.

Regina Puckett: Thanks.

Chairman Richard Granger: And thank you for bearing with us this evening so far. Alright, we'll move on to County Attorney's report. Ms. Lackey.

Kelly Lackey: Good evening. The first item I have is a request for direction concerning the lease amendments or other land use agreements that are needed for the additional antenna for the radio system project. So, from what I have been able to glean from prior records is that the County Administrator the most recent example I can think of is in 2018 approved a tower lease amendment. I was not able to confirm that the Board approved or authorized that specific action. And that's because these leases are in large part or entirely, they are rent-free leases. As some of you might be aware, the larger towers in the County require a special exception or zoning ordinance requires that they provide space for co-location. So, these are under consideration now are, I believe there's four in total currently proposed lease agreements or license agreements or different types. They're style different types of agreements, but they are permission by private cell tower operators for use of space for antenna. And both the Fire Department and Sheriff's Office have been working very diligently on this project. All these agreements are different. Did require some review on my time. So, Sheriff Giles has indicated that they are about a month behind schedule for getting this equipment installed because of delays, not just due to my own need to review the agreements, but because we had to get the agreements from the providers as well. So, my question for you all is, are you comfortable giving an authorization for the County Administrator to sign the agreements when in my opinion there are approvable as to form? Or would you like more information? What else can I provide?

Chairman Richard Granger: Mr. Collins was asking if Sheriff Giles had any input to provide on this.

Sheriff Giles: Good evening, Mr. Chair, Members of the Board. I really don't unless there's a

King George County Board of Supervisors
 October 03, 2023
 Meeting Minutes

specific question I could answer. I mean she's covered it that between going back and forth between Kelly and these leases, trying to get the wording right has really slowed things down for us because two of the towers are new, which is requiring pads to be poured, shelters to be done, wires to be put in. And the ones that exist still need to be revamped as well. And that's slowing it down. But she covered everything.

Chairman Richard Granger: Any other questions? So, is everyone amenable to, once Ms. Lackey says that's approved to form by the County Attorney to move forward with having the County Administrator sign? Okay. I see head shakes, yes.

Kelly Lackey: And then the second item is brief, but good news for those who are not aware, the closing did occur for the acquisition from Oakland Baptist Church for the relocation of the Dahlgren Fire Station. So that was a long work in progress and wanted to share some good news with you all.

Chairman Richard Granger: Okay, we have Ms. Southall with the website redesign project.

Office of Communications & Community Engagement, Website Redesign Project – Logo Concept & Tagline Presentation, Ms. Amy Southall:

Ms. Southall: Good evening, members of the Board. I'm here to give an update on the first phase of the website redesign project, which is the logo concept and tagline development recommendation. Am I doing it wrong? It's not. We're good guys. It's my first time Okay. So brief overview of what we're going to discuss today. Things you've already heard about, like the contract the committee seals and logos. And then do an overview of the input that we got in May from the public. And as you see, we will end with our recommendation of the public vote. So as many of you know, this was a contract with CivicPlus, it was signed in November 2022. There are three phases. This is the first phase we're reporting on today, which is logo development. Once this is determined, we'll be able to do the website refresh and then move on to our mobile app implementation. We had a Staff committee that is comprised of myself, economic development, tourism, fire and rescue, IT library and the Sheriff's office. So, we tried to get many of our different input from Staff in the process. So just a brief overview of seals and logos, the difference between the two and how they work together. So, a seal is traditionally a code of arms. It's typically used by governments and notaries to signify the formality of a document. Think of the traditional seal stamp on a document as you see in the image. And then things evolved, and we now have, so we're going to be continuing to use, as we've mentioned, the seal on our official documents. But as things evolved, the logo developed, and the logos are more meant to convey the personality and tone of an entity as it is at that time. And the logos can evolve to do the aspirations of what a community or an entity feels for their future. So, some examples that you might be familiar with, here's the Army's seal and their logo they use two. Seals are meant for their formal documentations, but when you see their commercials, it's the US Army logo. Same for the Navy, same for the Department of Education, same for the Department of Defense. Then I get an example of two universities we might be familiar with. Something I want to note about Virginia Tech is that, like we talked about, seals stay the same. They're meant to be historical. What you see is about representation of where they started their establishment. And then Virginia Tech

King George County Board of Supervisors
 October 03, 2023
 Meeting Minutes

recently changed their logo in the past few years, and so this is something that can evolve and change over time. So, here's the University of Virginia. So just a few examples to give you the idea of where we're going by creating a logo for the County since we don't have one. This is a brief overview of our public input from the May survey. We did five questions. It was open for seven days. It was advertised nearly 5000 residents through KG Alert, nearly 400 on Notify Me, which is our website subscription service. And then over three social media platforms. At the time we had nearly 300 participants. We asked five different questions, like I mentioned unique features that represent the town, the County, excuse me. And then what characteristics define King George community, what's describes the County means to you? And then the short phrase this is in red because this was meant to elicit the tagline responses. This will not be in the overview of the statistics that I'm about to go over, but I will bring it back up later. And then the last question was, what is your favorite place to visit in King George County? So how was this quantitative data made into qualitative data? All of the responses were read and then there were similarities that kind of popped out. And so, then they were categorized into different categories and then those were coded and then made into the statistics that I'll be going over. There is a category that is the not applicable category and that just means that either the response was not what we were trying to receive from the question. because all of those questions were meant to elicit some type of response. They might have been off topic. So that's where that category is overall, that averaged about 15% of responses across the five questions, which is anticipated and not statistically significant. The first question again, I'm something to note about this process is that yes, we had nearly 300 responses, but as you go on, not everybody filled out every question. The responses will go lower. And then something to also note is that some responses were coded more than once. As you can see in this example, 295 responses, but it elicited 438 codes. Someone might've said that they really liked the nature of the County, but they also said they like the fact that we have our rivers. So that one would've had more than one code. Just to note that those percentages will not equal 100% because there's more than one code. So just to note that. The overview for this question was that it mostly said that we loved nature and that we loved our rivers and waters here in King George County. So, to kind of cross-reference that, that coding worked I then took all the responses for that question and put them and made a word cloud. And so here you can see whatever the largest words are the words that were used the most. So rural rivers. So that's how you can see that they, the coding essentially worked. So that's the overview for this question. Same for this question. The biggest thing was friendly small community. So that would then also translate in the word cloud friendly is the biggest word. Same for the next question. What does King George mean to you? It's home. It has family and friends. It's peaceful, quiet, and safe. Same thing here. Home, community, family are the biggest words. And then for this question, the same concept. Parks and Recreation were the most used word. And you'll see Caledon here. Caledon State Park is the most used on here. So, people really like Caledon. So that's an overview of those statistics and that influenced us to develop the logo concept. And from those responses, we really saw that it was mostly based on nature, the rivers. We wanted to somehow project that into the logo. We really gave that information to CivicPlus, their design team came back with in total 10 concepts in grayscale and then developed the two concepts in color that we had the public vote on. Here are the first ones. You can tell they went more with the eagle concept over the river concept. We gave them feedback that we

King George County Board of Supervisors
October 03, 2023
Meeting Minutes

wanted to soften the logo some more. We got these concepts. And then ultimately the committee decided to go with the lower two. And then here's the development of those editing. We had these renditions. And then the final one, I'll show in just a second, but same for the KGVA one. These were the different renditions that we went through. And then these were the ones we landed on. Basically, how did we do the tagline development? So back to question number four that I mentioned. We had initial brainstorming meeting with the committee on May 30th looking at those responses. And then once we reviewed them, we decided we wanted to take a pause on that and wait until the logos were developed and see how we could get those responses to fit with a logo to tell a cohesive story. Then we had that second meeting on September 8th. Those taglines from the committee were grouped by similarities because as you can tell, there's going to be a lot of repetition. And then they were narrowed down to six different ones. And then the public we had the committee vote on it and those were the ones we sent to the public. There were over 27 different ones that Staff produced based on the responses. Some of them are direct quotes from the responses that we got from the public. Some of them are also just renditions of the same kind of concept. And then once those were grouped together, we landed on these six and then the committee voted on these six. And that's where you can see that option two and option three were the ones that were most popular among the committee. And then that's where we landed these two being sent to the public to vote on where the rivers flow and community grows. And then home between the rivers. Then we just had our public vote. It ended on Sunday evening. This was open September 20th through October 1st. It was advertised again to nearly 5000 KG Alert subscribers over a thousand different Notify Me subscribers and then to four different social media platforms at that time. And we had about 1500 responses. And of those two logo concepts the data showed that the public preferred logo concept two, which is the KGVA logo and then of the tagline options, the public voted again for option two, which is home between the rivers. So now leads to my recommendation to adopt what the public voted on, which is KGVA logo concept and the Home Between the Rivers taglines. And then in conclusion this is just open for the Board to adopt a logo that the public has voted on so that Staff can then begin the website redesign process. And now I just open it up to questions.

Chairman Richard Granger: Thank you, Ms. Southall, I appreciate the presentation. Does anyone have any questions for Ms. Southall?

Jeffrey Stonehill: I don't have any questions. I just wanted to say thank you. Great research and a great presentation and thanks for all your hard work on it.

Ms. Southall: I appreciate it. Thank you.

Cathy Binder: I actually like the other tagline, but the public has spoken so because I like the community grows part. So.

Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: So, before I mentioned about the colors. So, you showed Virginia Tech, and their colors are what colors they use, and UVA is what colors they use, but that's not the colors King George uses.

Ms. Southall: Yes. So, King George has the colors behind you and the seal, the red, the Navy, and the goldish brown. But there are other examples in those ones that aren't just university based where they don't stick with their colors all the way back at the beginning. So, Department of Defense did a lighter blue, it's all monochromatic department of Education. It's bright green. It's close to the same blue. The Navy went completely opposite. They went with a true traditional navy for their logo versus what's in their seal. The Army stuck with the yellow but went with black. And I mean, it's variable. But one thing we did do that I was conscious of in the logo that we do have, that both options have blue in it. We wanted to kind of stick with that richness of the rivers. We just lighten them a bit. So that is one of the things that we try to keep with.

2:47:41 Chairman Richard Granger: Any other questions? Alright, I'll entertain a motion if anyone would like to make one.

2:47:50 Cathy Binder: I'll take a swing at a motion. I'll make a motion to adopt the tagline and the logo concept that has been presented in the public, voted on.

2:48:00 Jeffrey Stonehill: Second.

Chairman Richard Granger: We have a motion, properly seconded, do we have any further discussion? All in favor say aye.

Cathy Binder: Aye.

Ann Cupka: Aye.

Jeffrey Stonehill: Aye.

T.C. Collins: Aye.

Chairman Richard Granger: Any opposed? Chair votes aye. Motion carries.

Ms. Southall: Thank you.

Chairman Richard Granger: Alright, we have Davenport, Mr. Laux.

Davenport & Company, Financial Presentation on Dissolution of KGCSA, Mr. Kyle Laux:

2:48:54 Mr. Kyle Laux: Mr. Chair, Members of the Board, nice to see you all this evening. So, I'm going to hand out these presentations. Mr. Chair, Members of the Board, was asked to come this evening to give a little bit of an overview and a discussion. Same presentation was given to the Service Authority Board earlier this evening for anyone that was sort of present or in attendance for that. But it's really dealing with a topic that's been talked about for a year, two years thereabouts, and that's this topic of taking the Service Authority, which is

King George County Board of Supervisors
 October 03, 2023
 Meeting Minutes

obviously the longstanding entity that provides water and sewer service in the County and transitioning that to a water and sewer utility enterprise fund under the umbrella of the County. And so, we'll flip through the slides here and certainly happy to answer any questions that you may have. I'll try not to dwell too much on the background, the Board and members of the audience are familiar here. But our Service Authority obviously provides water and sewer service to the County, not the entire County, a portion of the County, certainly those residents. It's been in existence since about, I think, 1992, so we're around about 30 years of its existence. Mr. Collins referenced at the outset when it was established, it was really established by virtue of having to take over some existing private neighborhood wells, et cetera, and make sure those were run in accordance with regulatory requirements, as you would expect. And so that's the background of it. As we look across the state, we really see a mixture of counties like King George that have Service Authorities and counties like King George that have water and sewer utility departments. You really see both situations in existence and both can function very successfully. In the early years, and I think this has been referenced by virtue of being such a small system and a startup system, the General Fund had to support and subsidize the operations, that's going back into the '90s. In and around 2008 as the pressures on the general County mean the General Fund became great, certainly we had that recession. There really was a need to have the water and sewer system support itself and have revenues whereby the users of the system, and again, there's only a portion of the county were fully paying for it, which is very much typical for a water sewer utility, whether it's in Virginia or across the country. Call it one of the best practices, whereby the users of the system are paying for the system. And so, in and around that 2008 time period, that plan was put in place, it worked through a variety of steps, and we've really been about, at this point, 15 years, not quite. Whereby the Service Authority has been paying for itself, like you would expect of a business, whereby the revenues of that system are paying for the operations and the debt service associated with running the system. Importantly within all of that is that even as the Service Authority transitioned to that point where it was no longer reliant upon revenues from the General Fund, the County and the Board of Supervisors and its strong credit ratings still provided what we call a moral obligation backstop to the outstanding debt. And so that, if you want to use a personal finance corollary, is a little bit like co-signing on a loan for someone. And that really was put in place as a requirement by the state, meaning the Virginia Resources Authority, as a credit backup behind the existing debt. The Board of Supervisors and the County has not sent dollars over to the Service Authority, but there still is that backing, that support behind the debt in what we call moral obligation. Again, like a co-signer on the loan that supports the Service Authority's ability to go out and borrow money for new capital, which it's had to do over the years. We give all of that as a little bit of a brief background. We know certainly the joint meeting of the Board of Supervisors with the Service Authority, as well as some of these past discussions, there's this discussion of bringing the Authority under the auspices of the County as a utility enterprise system that would be fully self-supporting. We just want to give some observations, certainly answer any questions that the Board may have. I think some just general observations, certainly we know you so well in terms of King George, and we appreciate that. You're a rapidly growing County, rapidly evolving in that sense, and so that water and sewer infrastructure is going to be really important to how you think about future development, where you think about it and how you want to think about it. We think that's an important

King George County Board of Supervisors
 October 03, 2023
 Meeting Minutes

sort of level of cohesion in the sense of being able to tie together some of those decisions, with respect to how you grow, with how the water and sewer are operated and run throughout the County. Another important aspect, and we've seen this the last couple of years, the County has had several very good years. the Service Authority, the County too, but Service Authority in this sense has had several very good financial years, and that's been driven by a couple of different things. One of those is growth that we've gotten in terms of new connections. And so that has certainly helped the bottom line of the Service Authority, we're going to see that in the next couple of pages in terms of a graphic. The trick to that new connection related revenues is very difficult to predict. It depends upon economic conditions; it depends upon people coming and building new things in the County and certainly the Board approving those things. And so, it is very, very difficult to predict what exactly those revenues are going to look like. And so, part of our thinking here, as we think about what does the future of the water and sewer utility, whether it's a Service Authority or an enterprise fund, is making sure we're being very smart about how we think about that future growth, we've got less visibility than we've had the last several years in terms of new residential development, at least as far as I'm aware. We just want to keep that in mind, it creates less certainty when we think about what the revenue's going to be in the future, so we just need to build that level of flexibility into the plan. So again, in terms of just some big picture goals and objectives, all in accordance with thinking about this potential concept, there's a variety of different things that we think, and Ms. Lackey can echo this, would need to happen if indeed the Service Authority was transitioned to a counter enterprise fund. And so, we've listed some of those there, I won't go through them in great gory detail, but I think it's sufficient to say there's a lot of details that would still need to be worked out over the next several months, if indeed this process were to go forward. We've got the day-to-day operational things, that's not our expertise, the permit and regulatory side of things, that's more on Ms. Lackey and others, the legal in terms of also Ms. Lackey and the bond council. But the final piece there in terms of number four, the financial and debt related, that's what I'll spend a little more time talking about and certainly answer any questions that you have over the next couple of slides. So again, just a little bit of background, we think it's helpful to paint a bit of a picture. Thank you, Mr. Dines. So, as we talk about the Service Authority, we've talked about the fact that it's not been reliant on support from the general funds. So, the tax rate and the taxpayers are not subsidizing the Service Authority with any direct transfers over, again, to the water and sewer utility. So, it's been self-supporting, we put in place the last five-year plan, really in about 2019. And what you see as you look at that graph is the gold line there is the revenues of the authority, the bars are the expenditures broken out between operational expenditures and debt service. And so, from 2014 to 2019 had a number of very lean years, not reliant on the general fund, but still very, very lean years in terms of the operations. As we get to fiscal year 2020 and beyond, that's when the most recent five-year financial plan was put in place. We've had three very solid years, and so the Service Authority is in much stronger financial shape here we are in '23 than it was several years ago. And so, we've built up a much nicer base, we've got much better reserves, and been able to raise rates at an incremental level. So, in terms of a planning position and thinking about what the future looks like, the Service Authority is in a good position to be thinking about the future. It doesn't mean there's not challenges, certainly there's always going to be challenges, but in terms of where we sit right now and wanting to maybe take a fresh look at

King George County Board of Supervisors
 October 03, 2023
 Meeting Minutes

things, understand what that looks like, given the operational needs, given the capital needs, given other pressures, we're in a good position to start that process. So, on page seven, we'll take a just a page here, but certainly something that's talked about a lot in the context of the Service Authority, and this is its existing debt. And so, a corollary made at the prior meeting, and I'll make it again here, is when you think about the Service Authority's existing debt, think about it like your personal finances in your home. If you've been fortunate enough to own or buy a home at some point, you certainly bought it with a mortgage, and so the two go hand in hand. If you have a home, you have the mortgage, you may not love the mortgage, but the mortgage and the home go hand in hand, that's really what was used to purchase that home. Because again, it's a long-term asset that you're going to live in for a long time. The Service Authority has long-term capital assets, pipes, pumps, and things that will benefit users over a long time period. And so, the way you pay for that in the water and sewer realm is a combination of cash funding and debt funding, and this is the debt funding component of that. So outstanding right now is about 21 million, I'll round up a little bit, about \$22 million in outstanding principle. It's all fixed rate, the payments are known, so are interest rates, you see that in the news, as interest rates increase, our interest rates are not changing here, this is all fixed. Current payments are about a million four, you see that at the top of the page, it does grow over the next couple of years, it's not a surprise, that was all part of the plan from 2019 to kind of carve some time to allow revenues to grow in an incremental annual basis to allow us to kind of build up some reserves. So, as we need to take on a number of these large capital projects, we've got a stronger financial footing to do that with. I mentioned earlier this concept of a moral obligation pledge, and again, I'll use that corollary to personal finance, it really is the Board of Supervisors co-signing, but not sending any money over, not making any payments, not subsidizing the authority, but just co-signing. So that really has been a requirement of the state program, the Virginia Resources Authority, that has been the only lender to the Service Authority, with one exception for a number of years. So, we see that in terms of the existing profile, it's sort of been baked into numbers, but just wanted to point that out, it's paid down annually. So, you see there in that column, the principal column, there is principal that is paying down each and every year, similar to a mortgage, whereby you're making your payments each and every year, the principal balance is declining. And so that is all sort of built in, it's not like a credit card whereby that balance can get run up, this is very methodical, very much a known quantity when we're doing the budget, when you're doing the multi-year planning. And so, there's that component that is out there, certainly a large component of how we'd be thinking about things. And if indeed the County and the Board of Supervisors and the Service Authority wanted to move forward, I think we've got an opportunity to look at this, look at the picture, look at how it's paid in terms of the existing debt, relook at what the right debt structure is. If indeed the Service Authority would go to the County, there's nothing in that equation that makes this debt go away. So just for full disclosure, that process would not in some way make the debt go away, but it does give you as a County an ability to relook at it, what's the right amount to have, or there's some things you could pay off, or there's some things you could rework in the context of what the future is with both the revenues and the expenditure side of things. So wherein it's fixed and it's not moving right now, you would have an ability to look at that in greater detail. But importantly, the way we would want to do that is in such a way that it's not going to impede the general County and its need to make investments in the schools and the fire stations and the other

King George County Board of Supervisors
 October 03, 2023
 Meeting Minutes

things that we've been talking about in moving forward with. And so that's a very, very important concept, because we're talking about both of those simultaneously here right now. One of the primary reasons we want to make sure that the water and sewer utility, just use that term, is self-supporting and is not reliant, whether it's operations or its debt, not reliant on the general fund. Is that that gives us the most capacity possible to make investments for schools and fire stations and other things? If indeed our debt service for the utility was not paid by the users of the system and it was paid for by the County, we'd have to go add this debt profile with our existing County debt profile and lump those two things together. And as you think about the presentations we've made over the last couple months and those policies that we have that allow us to get the best possible credit ratings when we're borrowing on the County side, we would suddenly be gumming up those policies in a very substantial way and limiting our ability to go make investments, again, for the general County things. Whether it's the preschool, the fire station, the other things that are talked about, and so it's a rationale, it's not just a, call it an industry best practice. But there's a very practical reason that we want to keep the water and sewer utility paying for itself. I say we collectively moving forward with things on the general County side and make sure that we keep as little pressure on the general County as possible, knowing there's other needs that are being taken on there, other priorities and trying to keep that balance. We're in the school system, the fire station, certainly benefiting the entire County, the utility system does just have a small portion of the County populace that is a user. There can be nuances in there at various points, we talked about in the prior, getting this vendor economic development, so there can be nuances within all of that, I think we'd want to look at all of that if indeed this process went forward. But I think importantly at the core, the baseline assumption we want to make is that the water and sewer utility is going to continue paying for itself and the users of the system will pay for itself. And again, reason for that is, not just that it's an industry best practice, but want to make sure that we're protecting and insulating the general fund, because there's a lot of things that we know by virtue of the action the Board's taken, that the general fund is looking to and want to take on. So, let's get to page eight in terms of just some final considerations here. We'll just make some broad observations. It's very common to have a water and sewer system in Virginia that is a county water and sewer utility authority, very, very common, it is separately accounted for. If you go look at an audit of, you can go look at Spotsylvania, you can go look at Henrico, you can go look at Chesterfield, any number of different counties have an entirely separate water and sewer utility department, it's called enterprise fund, it's accounted for separately in the audit, the finances are entirely separate. And I think if indeed this would move forward, you'd want to be doing the same thing, that would be our recommendation at least. To have that, again, be an enterprise fund, all of the dollars for that enterprise fund are accounted for separately, they're tracked separately, they're not commingled with general tax revenues of the County. And I think hopefully we've outlined why we want to do that, but that is a common place and be something that would be our recommendation if indeed this process were to go forward. We talked a little bit at the bottom of the page in terms of the existing debt, I think what we'd really like to do over the balance of this year, and again with the Board's collective blessing here in the next year, is take a very good hard deep look at what the finances of the water and sewer utility look like. The last plan was set 2018, the world has changed since then, you've got a lot of new things going on, the magnitude of the capital needs by virtue of regulatory requirements have

King George County Board of Supervisors
 October 03, 2023
 Meeting Minutes

gotten a lot bigger, there's also been a fair amount of grant funding that's come in, that's a good thing. And so, where that balance strikes and where the puzzle pieces need to fit together, regardless of whether this continues to be a Service Authority or whether it is a utility enterprise fund. We think a fresh good look at the overall finances of the water and sewer utility is just a healthy thing to do. It's been about five years since last time, kind of run through that five-year program, we think that makes a lot of sense. The other thing I think you probably want to do, is to go take a look at the rates and charges, meaning the user rates, make sure they're fair, make sure they're equitable, make sure they're on par with what other people are doing, make sure the various tiers within that rate structure are all up to date, those haven't been updated for some time. And so again, I think regardless of the path of a Service Authority or a utility, I think you'd want to be doing that kind of multi-year planning again. So, with that, just broad-brush next steps, these are really entirely up to the Board, and I know Ms. Lackey talked in the last meeting about outlining a process. There'd be a lot of work to do, a lot of details to be ironed out, it's not without precedent. And so, we just laid out some broad next steps, I think most importantly, really starting over the course of the fall and the winter on the financial side of the house would be, I think what we would be doing with your blessing. And then certainly on the permitting and legal side of things, we'd defer to Mr. Miller, Ms. Lackey, etcetera. The overall idea is if indeed this goes forward, kind of work its way through so that by the time you get to July 1 of next year, so start of the new fiscal year, we kind of have a full refresh, I think would be the goal to kind of work through that if indeed that's something the Board and the County want to work forward with. So, with that, I'd be happy to answer any questions, comments, clarifications, be happy to take that.

Chairman Richard Granger: Thank you, Mr. Laux. Did anyone have any questions?

Cathy Binder: I just want to thank you because you answered a lot of my questions, but I do appreciate coming and giving this overview. But I wanted to reiterate to the Board also what I brought up in the last meeting that part of this process, we need to have a strategic plan for rolling into something like this into our County. We need to have a strategic plan to make sure that we're on the right path and that would include the financing that you were talking about and restructuring. We need to have a timeline we stick to, and an organizational structure to make sure that we're doing this right. And then the last thing is that and I remembered what I forgot in the last meeting, was to look at Munibilling and whether we could bring it back to the County and take that burden off the citizens because there is a cost every year to Munibilling. And that now with the new accounting system and the better structure we were doing to bring it back in-house. So, all those are things, because I want to make sure we lock in to make sure we do the process and not forget any steps.

Chris Miller: Mr. Chair. I would add in answering Ms. Binder's question about Munibilling, that is the plan I believe that the Board of Directors has looked at. Is once you complete the AMR project, the automatic meter reading, then you'll be in a better position to assess that and bring that back into the County. I know that the Treasurer and I have had conversations, there is a desire to recapture that. So yes.

King George County Board of Supervisors
October 03, 2023
Meeting Minutes

Chairman Richard Granger: Mr. Collins.

Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: How you doing, Kyle? Good to see you.

Chairman Richard Granger: Good to see you as well.

Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: Enjoy your vacation.

Mr. Laux: I think.

Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: Mr. Rose was here last time, she said you were on vacation.

Mr. Laux: Ah, yes, yes.

Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: He wasn't telling me the truth, or you didn't just want to come. Anyhow.

Mr. Laux: Not the case.

Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: So, the \$23 million in debt.

Mr. Laux: Sir.

Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: Is the big sticking point. As I mentioned earlier in my comments, Hopyard paid for that system, the developers paid for the system at Hopyard. But now the citizens are paying the debt service fee, just as others are paying the debt service fee for something that they didn't create. We talked about County services, and I mentioned earlier about If I'm on a Service Authority, I'm paying for the infrastructure to get it to, and I gave the example to the fire department so they can fill up their tanker and take it to Mr. Granger's house, who has a well. So, I'm paying for the infrastructure for that, where every other County department you're taxed, everyone's taxed for that. So, you take a little bit of fairness out of the people that are burdened with this ridiculous amount of debt, so there needs to be a plan either to pay the debt down at a more vigorous rate or something else. But when you talked earlier about on par with the rates, the rates are one of the highest rates in the state of Virginia. So, they are already, the people, including myself. I pay \$150 for debt service fee, \$150 which is another tax to me that others don't pay. If the Service Authority is going to run a line to somewhere for some business, the users are paying for that infrastructure. So, it doesn't seem to be fair of how things are structured at this time.

Mr. Kyle Laux: I think what I'd say, Mr. Collins, is you've just laid out and there's more, you've just laid out some of the tricky variables that I think are why you want to take a really good, hard, fresh look at the water and sewer system. There's some basic core, call it principles, that it's not just us, me, David, or whomever, it's just kind of industry practice. So, there's some of those that should serve as the basis for how it's set up. But there's certainly going to be nuances, there's going to be unique aspects to any of it. I think what you just laid out is a

King George County Board of Supervisors
October 03, 2023
Meeting Minutes

number of those variables, and part of the puzzle that we think needs a fresh look, given how much has changed in your county. If you really take it all the way back to 1992, so much has changed in your County from '92, and your rate structure is still the same. You mention some little nuances in terms of fire protection, it really begs for a good, fresh reset on all those different things. The debt certainly is a big component of it, and I think, go back to my prior example, whereby through this process, with your blessing we'll run through all of it. I don't see the debt going away, but I see ways that we can collaborate with it, ways we can mitigate it, waive some ways we can pay it down, we need to look at all those variables. Don't have all the answers right now, but certainly that's all sort of on our mind. But to your point on the debt too, the flip side to that is, as we go forward with, on the preschool and the fire station side of things, we know already that as we take on that we're at roughly \$42 million in the next couple years, that's going to really push up against some of the County's debt ratios by itself. And so, what we have to guard against when we think about all this is if we're doing the preschool and the fire station and other general County needs, which there's going to be others, we've got to make sure we're watching both sides of the house. And so that's, again, one of the reasons why when we think about the Service Authority side of things, because there's a small portion of the populace, speaking, that is a user of the system, and thus is using the capital and the pipes and the pumps, the that \$24 million paid for. That's the primary rationale. It makes sure we have as much capacity as possible to do the other things that are very easily definable as County, in the aggregate, the preschool, the fire station, et cetera. So hopefully that's helpful, but certainly as a puzzle, and a bunch of different variables that we'd want to be looking at.

Chairman Richard Granger: Any other questions for Mr. Laux? Okay.

Mr. Laux: Alright.

Chairman Richard Granger: Thank you, Kyle.

Mr. Laux: Thank you. Have a good night.

Chairman Richard Granger: You as well.

3:18:22 Chairman Richard Granger: The King George County Board of Supervisors will now hold a public hearing that has been properly advertised. Receive public input regarding training facility text amendment. I'll first ask for the Staff report. I will then open the floor for public comment. Public comment is limited to three minutes per afford everyone an opportunity to speak. Please state your name and address before you comment upon completion of the public comment. It'll bring the matter back to the Board for consideration. The Board may or may not take action tonight depending on information received during public comment. Floor is open. Oh, I'm sorry. No, start with Staff report. Apologies Mr. Stuart.

Department of Community Development – Public Hearing for Case Number 23-07-Z03 Training Facility Text Amendment, Mr. Richard Stuart:

Mr. Richard Stuart: Thank you Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board. Good to be with you again tonight. So, Case Number 23-07-Z03 is a proposed amendment to the King George County Zoning Ordinance to allow and define training facilities in the limited agricultural district. A1 as a use permitted only by special exception are proposed amendments to section 1.9 Definitions of Terms and 2.3.3. Those are the A-1 uses permitted only by special exception. If you recall back to your June 6th meeting, you directed Staff to amend the ordinance to expand the definition of a private school with the intent to facilitate an educational use that would involve safety, security, and survivability training to avoid and mitigate risks following this direction. And upon reviewing similar terms proposed in the draft ordinance overhaul, it was suggested that a separate definition rather than an expansion of the current might be more appropriate to facilitate this specialized training use. Additionally, accessory uses that are not noting the ordinance need to be addressed in the definition. These can include things like defensive driving tracks or the discharge of firearms. Moving forward. On September 12th, the King George County Planning Commission held a public hearing to discuss the proposed training facility. Commissioners voted to forward the case to the Board with the recommendation of denial. Not sure if anybody had the availability to watch the meeting, but just an overall summary. The Commissioners were in favor of their proposal, but they felt like they didn't have enough time to review all aspects of the case before it was forwarded to you. Staff brought the proposal to the Commissioners multiple times before they scheduled the public hearing. Unfortunately, this case had to take a back seat to those industrial rezonings. Section 15.2-228.5 of the Virginia Code gives the Planning Commission 100 days to make its recommendation to the Board of Supervisors. This deadline would have expired following the September 12th meeting date. And there was not enough time to meet the advertisement deadlines for a special hearing, therefore they felt that they were being pushed up against the deadline. The first proposed amendment will be to section 1.9 definitions of terms to define a training facility as an establishment, which primarily provides safety, security, or survivability training for the purposes of educating students to obtain or maintain a public safety, private security, or high threat civil or foreign service vocation. This definition shall be construed as being inclusive of all buildings, structures, grounds, and uses that are associated with the organization of the training facility, including but not limiting to administrative buildings, cafeterias, classrooms, dormitories, Staff, housing, storage, buildings, and accessory uses such as driving tracks, handgun ranges, and other similar use structures. The second proposed amendment will be to Section 2.3.3. Limited agricultural district regulations permitted only by special exception to allow training facility as a use permitted only by special exception. With that, we can end on the recommendation. We have two here for you tonight. First of all, the King George County Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors deny case number 23-07-Z03 amendment to the King George County zoning ordinance to allow and define training facilities in the limited agricultural district. A1 as a use permitted only by special exception, the second from the Department of Community Development Staff, recommending that the Board approve Case Number 23-07-Z03 Staff brings this recommendation to the Board because as proposed, this use would only be permitted by a special exception. This would allow the Board to review each application on a case-by-case basis and can even impose

King George County Board of Supervisors
October 03, 2023
Meeting Minutes

special restrictions, to mitigate the impact. These could be as simple as setbacks or screening or even up to the hours of operation. With that, I'd be happy to answer any questions you may have.

Chairman Richard Granger: Do we have any questions for Mr. Stewart before we open the floor for public comment? Ms. Binder?

Cathy Binder: I don't, but I do have some questions for Ms. Lackey.

Chairman Richard Granger: Okay.

Cathy Binder: Do you want me to ask him now or wait till after the public comment.

Chairman Richard Granger: Let's wait after public comment.

Cathy Binder: Okay.

Chairman Richard Granger: Okay. Thank you Mr. Stuart. In that case, we'll now open the floor for public comment in regard to this public hearing.

Public Comment:

Sheriff Chris Giles: Good evening, Mr. Chair Members of the Board. My name is Chris Giles and I live at 9004 Clare Street, King George. I'm speaking at this public hearing in favor of the text amendment. If this amendment is approved, this will open many doors for training for my office. As it stands right now, most of the training we conduct is outside of the County. This costs my deputy time traveling back and forth to the training, not to mention wear and tear on our issued vehicles. This safety training facility that is requesting this amendment can support some of the training that would require us to leave the County. For instance, the qualifying range we use is a private in King George County. It's a private range in King George. This range is nearing the end of life due to the homeowner getting up in the years. When the end of life comes for us to use the range, we'll have to seek out another range, outside the County, we may even have to rent a range at a cost outside the County. The safety training facility has agreed to allow us to use the facility if they are no scheduling conflicts with the safety training that they're providing. The safety training facility will provide us with an area for driver training classrooms for classroom training as well and as much needed in a much-needed qualifying range, which we will need in the very near future. And not to mention an area for our ATV and our side-by-side training that we would have to go to private property now to be able to utilize to train in the vehicles. So, I'm asking you to vote in favor of amending Zoning Ordinance Section 1.9 and 2.3.3 to allow the defining training facilities in the limited agricultural district A-1 as a use permitted only by special exceptions. Thank you.

3:25:19 Ken Stroud: Good evening. Ken Stroud, 5405 Kings Highway King George, Virginia. So, I'd like to approach tonight to speak in favor of the amendment. I think that having the ability to use agricultural lands for our training is advantageous to the County and its

King George County Board of Supervisors
October 03, 2023
Meeting Minutes

citizens. Actually. I think that it should be expanded beyond just the training that was presented tonight because it affords farmers and ranchers ability and alternative means of funding that now with property taxes going up, the cost of things going up. And you've got farmers and ranchers that have a lot of land, but they're limited in the ways that they can create revenue based off of it. And this allows them not only to use it for the purpose they're using it for it today, but also to have alternative means of producing revenue, which again, would be a help to the County, and it is by special exception. Thank you.

1:37:20.6 Scott Simon: Good evening. My name is Scott Simon. My address is Post Office Box 1841 in Dahlgren. I am currently with the King George Sheriff's Office as a part-time deputy. I retired about two years ago. I became a firearms instructor back in the year 2000. And back then ranges were tough to come by. We were using a range over in, near the Carmel Church exit. It was owned by the US Postal Service. And then Richmond City took it over and now I think Hanover's taken it over. There's another range available for us over in Essex County, the Tappahannock Airport. Outside of that, those are the only ranges that I know that we would have access to. We lost the Carmel Church Range back in '06, 2006. And it just so happened, just got lucky that, Mr. Morgan stepped forward and let us use his range. We have been on his range since 2007. Alright, so we're coming up on 20 years. Back in 1997, we had 16 deputies to shoot, to train. Back in '07 we had 39 deputies. As of last year, we were up over 50, 55, I think. So, we're expanding since '07 till now, we're up 20% deputies. We've got a new courthouse going in. We've got more people to train. We need a dedicated range. It's going to save time, resources outside the County. As the Sheriff said, we could use a dedicated range. So, I support the amendment. Thank you.

Chairman Richard Granger: Mr. Dines. Do we have anyone online?

Chris Dines: No, Mr. Chairman.

Chairman Richard Granger: Did anyone receive any correspondence to be entered into the record for this public hearing? Okay, then in that case, I will close the public hearing and bring it back to the Board for discussion and consideration. Mr. Collins?

Chairman Granger Closed the floor for Public Comment.

Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: Thank you Mr. Chairman. I'd like to make a motion to approve case number 23-07-Z03 amendment to the King George County zoning ordinance to allow and define training facilities in limited agricultural districts. A-1 as use permitted only by special exception.

Jeffrey Stonehill: Second.

Chairman Richard Granger: We have a motion properly seconded.

Ann Cupka: Friendly amendment. Could I suggest that we move adoption of the resolution for the case number?

Chairman Richard Granger: Okay. We have a motion to amend. Do we have a second to that? I'll second it.

Jeffrey Stonehill: Second.

Ann Cupka: We have to adopt the resolution to do this.

Chairman Richard Granger: Correct.

Ann Cupka: Thank you.

Chairman Richard Granger: Okay, so, questions? Ms. Binder?

Cathy Binder: I had some questions.

Chairman Richard Granger: Go for it.

Cathy Binder: And this is why I'm asking this. First of all, this idea is an awesome idea, but knowing that I'm the Supervisor from Shiloh and we had a campground that had come in and there was a shooting range and there was a lot of discussion on it, I've had citizens talk to me. Not in email, but talk to me. So, I promised I would ask a few questions. To keep my promise, I am going to ask these few questions, clarifying questions just so it's on public record. One of the questions that was asked in the subject of why was industrial zoning not thought of? And I'm going to give an example, some of these properties, even though they to the outside observer look like agricultural, they're not really AG (agricultural) anymore. They were former gravel pits that in industrial zoning. So that's one question I wanted to ask. Ms. Lackey would be able to answer that for me.

Kelly Lackey: I think that if I recall correctly, the referral to the Planning Commission specifically targeted agricultural, although there were Planning Commission Members during the discussion who were in, they were split. Some of them were unsure, and then some of them were like, well, let's expand this to industrial and other things. There was a comment by at least one Planning Commissioner that industrial property is pretty limited in the County and that it has a better, highest, and best use than this particular specialized use. In the end, as Mr. Stuart noted, they couldn't reach a consensus on a recommendation. The ultimate recommendation was denial. So, by way of answering your question, which was a thought that had occurred to the Planning Commission. But they did not ultimately make that recommendation.

Cathy Binder: And then the one other thing that, and this came up from some citizens that lived near the property that was going to be the campground, which was the Cameron Hill Golf Course. They just wanted to make sure that this covered, that not anybody could just set up a shooting range, for whoever applies to it. And it just means like, like a certified, I know that the one party that's interested theirs is going to be top-notch, but what about the ones

behind it?

Kelly Lackey: Right. There was internal discussion of the universal concept that was to add this as an additional use for school. But we thought school has more in common usage has a lot more expanded use. As you see, this definition was an attempt to provide a class of like situated, types of activities. So private security, public safety, and then civil or foreign service vocation. It's specific to that activity. This definition, as drafted, is limited to handgun ranges as well, so it's not all weapons. So those were further attempts to kind of limit this, so that it would hopefully be something manageable. As Mr. Stuart mentioned, you'll look at things on a case-by-case basis, but you got to treat applications similarly. So that's why this definition is drafted in such a way that it provides for certain types of uses, a multiple type of uses, but it's also limited.

Cathy Binder: I appreciate you answering that, and I know at least one applicant that will be utilizing this. What they're trying to do is I think is really good, especially for those that serve in the military and those that serve us overseas in support of our country. And it's important that we take care of them and their families. Thank you.

Chairman Richard Granger: Mr. Collins, do you have something?

Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: My understanding it's not a gun range, it's a training facility and the firearms is just an ancillary use. It's not like a gun range, like the Cameron Hills one where it'll just be a gun range. This is a training facility from my understanding, it's a training facility for the use of the folks that are attached to folks that are assigned overseas family members to help them in situations that happened overseas, such as automobiles and what to do in a motor vehicle accident, familiarization with firearms. I think they're going to have a school also for academic reasons. So, it's not really a gun range. And my understanding is the Sheriff will be able to use that gun range. That benefits the County and the folks over in that. I think that the Ms. Carter, did you not talk to all the neighbors?

Cathy Binder: Can we have somebody else come up and clarify?

Kelly Lackey: We're not discussing a particular application, but if it would help, it helps inform the Board about how this might be applied. I think that's reasonable to ask for comments.

Chairman Richard Granger: Ms. Carter, if you'd like to come up.

Ms. Linda Carter, Port Graham, Potential Applicant:

Linda Carter: Hi, I'm Linda Carter and I work for Port Graham. We are hoping this text amendment goes through so that we can present our application to you. We're ready to go. We've done a ton of work. We've addressed every single comment that was asked or that was said at the Planning Commission meeting. I wish they would've called on me because I think I could have tapered down the different rabbit holes they were going around. But that wasn't the time. This is the time to just talk about the text member. But Mr. Collins, you are correct.

King George County Board of Supervisors
October 03, 2023
Meeting Minutes

We are proposing, and in our application, you will see every single aspect of our elements spelled out in detail and we've actually put special conditions on ourselves, so to hope that we'll address any or mitigate any future potential, questions that you all may have. Does that kind of give you an answer?

Cathy Binder: I appreciate that. because I know you've spoken to me about whether you'll even have a limit on the time that it'll be open.

Linda Carter: Yes, I think that, at the time that we do present our application, we are having a pre-application meeting. We need to schedule a pre-application meeting and then we will be ready to submit right away. And you will have all those answers spelled out from time it's going to be open when it's not going to be open. I have spoken to every single neighbor, except for two older, the Smiths, who are in Mississippi, but they caretaker of their property. I have spoken to him several times and he relays messages to them. But I have spoken to Miles Hastings, I have spoken to Brandon Walden. We have a gun range designer that does all federal gun range designs. He has spoken to Brandon Walden. I've spoken to Senator Warner's Chief of Staff. I think I've covered all the bases, with all the neighbors, which are directly adjacent to the property and even some that aren't directly adjacent but near the property and answered any questions that they would've had and made some, adjustments to our application based on comments they had as well. We want to be good neighbors in the community. We want to give to the community. And hopefully when our project is presented, this is a little premature, you will see that reflected in such a manner.

Cathy Binder: Thank you for coming up.

3:38:22 Chairman Richard Granger: Okay. We have a motion properly seconded. Do we have any further discussion? All in favor say aye.

Cathy Binder: Aye.

Ann Cupka: Aye.

Vice Chairman Collins: Aye.

Jeffrey Stonehill: Aye.

Chairman Richard Granger: Any opposed? Chair votes aye. Motion carries. Thank you. Now we have adoption design build guidelines. Ms. Lackey.

County Attorney: Adoption of Design-build Guidelines, Ms. Kelly Lackey:

Kelly Lackey: You all recall that a few meetings ago I mentioned in my County Attorney's report, that there was interest on the part of Staff in having design build guidelines adopted by the Board. Design build is a method of procurement sometimes called an alternative form of procurement, where instead of hiring an engineering or architectural firm, they complete the design. Then you do a second bid process for the construction. That's the traditional process. Design build is when you hire one firm or even a team, but you still just have one contracting party who's going to perform that entire scope of work, both designing or engineering the building and constructing it. The state code does allow this and in fact there's a placeholder in the County's purchasing ordinance that has a passing reference to the design-build procurement method. But to my knowledge, the County has never adopted design build guidelines. The guidelines are pretty narrow, constrained by the statutes as they have to be consistent with guidelines adopted by the Commonwealth Secretary of Administration. I drafted proposed guidelines to follow those guidelines of the state, which were last updated in 2020. Although I included a two-step process for smaller projects, sometimes design build is thought of as only appropriate for big projects. But there also could be small things like a small renovation where there's a design component. You need somebody to submit plans and do a small renovation. And sometimes it's more cost effective or efficient to have that work performed by a single firm or even a joint venture, to do that work. I think the fueling depot might be a good prospect for this type of method. Staff are interested in adoption of these guidelines for some near-term projects. And, if you have any questions, I'm ready for those questions.

Chairman Richard Granger: Do you have any questions for Ms. Lackey about the design build guideline proposal?

Cathy Binder: Yes. I only have a couple. This would not preclude using construction manager at risk, right? This is just an extra tool.

Kelly Lackey: Correct. This is a both construction management at risk and design builder, the two main alternative procurements. But you could use either, there has to be a decision made to use either of those. And I believe in both of those instances you have to have an engineering consultant or in-house person as part of the selection panel. So, the selection process is a little bit similar, but they are different methods.

Cathy Binder: The only other question I had because I really like the construction manager risk because of all the players being involved in this process. Can there actually be some stakeholders to make sure there's input?

Kelly Lackey: There can be. The County Administrator, I believe, is the party who would be appointing the selection committee. But depending on what the project is and who it involves, you could have any number of people involved on the team. because your team would also be developing your specifications for what you want the and the consultant, to achieve the design builder. The design builder has to know do you want, how much square footage do you need? They need some basic parameters in order to do their design. So that's

something that it would be wise to run through stakeholders.

Cathy Binder: Okay. Thank you.

Chairman Richard Granger: Any other questions for Ms. Lackey? I'll bring it to the Board for entertainment if anyone has a motion.

3:42:33 Ann Cupka: Mr. Chair, I have a motion.

Chairman Richard Granger: Go for it.

Ann Cupka: I move to adopt the guidelines for the selection, evaluation and award of design build contracts as presented.

Jeffrey Stonehill: Second.

Chairman Richard Granger: We have a motion properly seconded. Do we have any further discussion? All in favor say aye.

Cathy Binder: Aye.

Ann Cupka: Aye.

Vice Chairman Collins: Aye.

Jeffrey Stonehill: Aye.

Chairman Richard Granger: Any opposed? Chair votes aye. Motion carries.

County Attorney: Resolution to Establish a Reassessment Advisory Committee, Ms. Kelly Lackey: ²

Kelly Lackey: Tasks of the Committee are specified in the resolution as providing input on a solicitation for the risk reassessment contractor to provide input on public notices and to provide suggestions or sampling of non-confidential work product to assist with the quality control and also, to have some citizen confidence in the assessment process. And that's all I have. If there are no questions.

Chairman Richard Granger: I would just like to say thank you for bringing this back to the Board for consideration. I do appreciate it. Does anyone have any questions for Ms. Lackey? Okay.

Ann Cupka: Mr. Chair, I have a motion.

² The livestream of the 10/03/23 KGCBOS meeting was interrupted due to technical difficulties, leading to the absence of minor missing content only for the Resolution to Establish a Reassessment Advisory Committee.

King George County Board of Supervisors
October 03, 2023
Meeting Minutes

Chairman Richard Granger: Yes ma'am.

Ann Cupka: I move to adopt the Resolution to establish a Reassessment Advisory Committee to assist with tasks associated with the 2025 Reassessment.

Cathy Binder: Second.

Chairman Richard Granger: We have a motion properly seconded; do we have any further discussion? Mr. Collins?

Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: Yes, I'd be fine with it, and we strike, the Community Engagement Coordinator.

Chairman Richard Granger: Is there a reason you would like to strike that individual?

Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: Because it's a Board that's supposed to advise us on the reassessment. And I don't think that that really falls in that purview of that responsibility for that person.

Chairman Richard Granger: I'll leave it to the Board.

Ann Cupka: Mr. Chair. The paragraph prior says, suggests drafting of public notices concerning the reassessment. And that is one of that position's key functions, drafting of public notices for information to the community and providing suggestions or sampling. I think that Staff member's presentation this evening clearly shows the capability of doing that work.

Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: I wasn't talking about anybody's capability.

Chairman Richard Granger: I think it's a fair point though that the skills set, and that position's duties do fall in line with some of the aspects of this committee.

Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: I must misunderstand what the reason for the advisory committee to assist with task association with reassessment to assist with the task associated with reassessment.

Chairman Richard Granger: But it also to do with the public. Yes.

Chris Miller: Would, the recommendation could be to make her a, either an ex-officio member or a non-voting member. And I think the purpose, obviously, of what she was going to be doing was, as Ms. Cupka indicated, just to provide information about what the committee is doing. Obviously, we would not put her in a position where she would be advising you on tax matters. We wouldn't want to do that. Will that be acceptable, Mr. Collins?

King George County Board of Supervisors
October 03, 2023
Meeting Minutes

Vice Chairman Collins: Sure.

Chairman Richard Granger: Okay. Mr. Collins said he'd be amenable to that. Okay. I don't hear any other motions to amend the current motion. So currently, it is as presented. Is there any other discussion or a desire to make an amendment?

Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: I make a motion to amend the original motion to make the communication and community engagement coordinator an ex, what do they call it?

Chairman Richard Granger: Ex-officio.

Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: Ex officio member.

1:57:57.2 Chairman Richard Granger: Is there any second?

1:58:00.6 Cathy Binder: I'll second it so we can have discussion.

1:58:03.5 Chairman Richard Granger: Alright, there's a second to amend the agenda. Or I'm sorry, the motion. So, any further discussion? I'm amenable to leaving it as it was presented.

1:58:18.8 Cathy Binder: I have no problem with the original.

1:58:23.1 Chairman Richard Granger: Okay, so then upon the amendment that was seconded, which would make that position an ex-officio member? All in favor say aye.

Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: Aye.

Chairman Richard Granger: Any opposed?

Ann Cupka: Nay.

Chairman Richard Granger: Chair votes nay. Motion fails. Ms. Lackey, I'm going to ask, should we make the motion again since the original motion was made, but then a different individual amended it?

Kelly Lackey: Yes, I would suggest renewing the motion.

Chairman Richard Granger: Okay.

Jeffrey Stonehill: All right, Mr. Chair, I have a motion to adopt a resolution to establish a reassessment advisory committee to assist with tasks associated with the 2025 reassessment.

Cathy Binder: Second.

Chairman Richard Granger: We have a motion properly seconded. Do we have any further discussion? All in favor say aye.

Cathy Binder: Aye.

Ann Cupka: Aye.

Jeffrey Stonehill: Aye.

Chairman Richard Granger: Any Opposed?

Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: Nay.

Chairman Richard Granger: Chair votes aye. Motion carries. We have Ms. Hahn.

Jeff Voight: Mr. Chair, the stream has crashed. Would you like to take a minute for me to reboot everything?

Chairman Richard Granger: We'll take a five-minute recess. Thank you. Yes, sir. Thank you. We'll take a five-minute recess to let the technology be resolved.

Chairman Richard Granger: See one thumbs up. See two thumbs up. Okay. We are going to come back from recess, and we'll move on now to department of Finance award a construction service agreement for the Sealston Convenience Center Concrete pad replacement, Ms. Hahn.

Department of Finance: Award of a Construction Services Agreement for the Sealston Convenience Center Concrete Pad Replacement. Ms. Donna Hahn:

Ms. Hahn: Good evening Mr. Chair. Members of the Board. This project, the Sealston Convenience Center concrete pad replacement was approved in fiscal year '23-'24 CIP at \$75,000. Procurement issued IFB09052023-1400 on August 11th, 2023. So, soliciting bids for a firm fixed price to remove and replace a 70 foot by 25-foot section of the concrete pad at the metal disposal area at the Sealston Convenience Center. Included in this project is the repair of eight damaged wall sections. Bids were opened on September 11th, 2023. The lowest responsive responsible bidder was US Construction Corp. The project budget should be established as construction \$45,000, inspections of the concrete work at \$5000 and put the rest of the funds into contingency \$25,000 just in case of anything that might be under that concrete pad that was built many years ago. The bid tab that was in your Board packet was incorrect and Ms. Fish gave you the correct one. I inadvertently attached an Excel worksheet instead of the PDF that had the all the different bids that we received. With that, I recommend that you authorize the County Administrator to take the following actions, issue a notice of award to US Construction Corp in the amount of \$45,000, authorize the execution of a construction services agreement with US Construction Corp in the amount of \$45,000 subject to approval as to form by the County Attorney and to establish the project budget as

presented.

Chairman Richard Granger: Do we have any questions for Ms. Hahn? Okay, I'll entertain a motion.

Jeffrey Stonehill: Mr. Chair, I authorize the County Administrator to take the following actions, issue a notice of award to US Construction Corp in the amount of \$45,000 and authorize an execution of construction Service agreement with US Construction Corp in the amount of \$45,000 subject to approval by as to the form by the County Attorney. And then also establish the project budget as presented.

Cathy Binder: Second.

further discussion? All in favor say aye.

Cathy Binder: Aye.

Ann Cupka: Aye.

Jeffrey Stonehill: Aye.

Vice Chairman Collins: Aye.

Chairman Richard Granger: Any opposed chair votes aye. Motion carries. Thank you. Ms. Hahn.

Department of Finance: Amend and Appropriate FY2023/2024 Operating Budget for LATCF Funds. Ms. Donna Hahn

Ms. Hahn: On July 27th, 2023, Staff completed the submission requesting the second half of the LATCF, the local assistance and tribal Consistency fund funds in the amount of \$50,000. These funds were received by the County on August 18th, 2023. Staff recommends that funds be accepted, and the budget be set as follows to we, when we received the first half, we established the revenue line of 3162410051105, and to put \$50,000 there. And on the expenditure side. At this time, we have a project line that was set up for 1600919005899 to put \$50,000 there for projects to be considered at a later date. So, I recommend that you authorize the County Administrator to amend the fiscal year 2023/2024 budget, and the \$50,000 in funds that were received from the LATCF and appropriate the funds as presented.

Chairman Richard Granger: Do we have any questions for Ms. Hahn? I will entertain a motion.

Jeffrey Stonehill: Mr. Chair. I have a motion to authorize the County Administrator to amend the FY 2023/2024 budget for the \$50,000 funds received from the LATCF and to appropriate the funds as presented.

Cathy Binder: Second.

Chairman Richard Granger: We have a motion properly seconded. Do we have any further discussion? All in favor say aye.

Cathy Binder: Aye.

Ann Cupka: Aye.

Jeffrey Stonehill: Aye.

Vice Chairman Collins: Aye.

Chairman Richard Granger: Any opposed? Chair votes Aye. Motion carries. Thank you, Ms. Hahn. We have Chief for the ambulance.

Department of Fire, Rescue & Emergency Services: Request for a Public Hearing for Ambulance Fee Structure, Chief David Moody

Chief David Moody: Good evening, Mr. Chair. Members of the Board. As you may recall at one of your previous meetings, I provided a presentation to you that came with some recommended changes to our ambulance fee for service ordinance, and also the rules and regulations. You have a, should have a copy in your packet that shows the changes to the ordinance itself. Been working with Ms. Lackey and in making some of those changes. Essentially the proposed changes include text amendments and most notably will include an increase in the treatment and transportation fees. Add a consumable fee based on the usage and revised language on billing restrictions for uninsured County residents. I do want to highlight and note that there will be no changes in the compassionate billing policy and then also this proposed change provides amendments to change the ordinance location from section 6.5 to 6.4, 6.4 as this is the more, most appropriate code section. The recommended action is to authorize the County Administrator to advertise a notice of public hearing to revise the ambulance fee for service ordinance. And happy to answer any questions.

Chairman Richard Granger: Any questions for chief? Seeing none, I'll entertain a motion.

Jeffrey Stonehill: Mr. Chair, I authorize the County Administrator to advertise a notice of public hearing to revise the ambulance fee for service ordinance.

Cathy Binder: Second.

Chairman Richard Granger: We have a motion properly seconded. Do we have any further discussion? All in favor say aye.

Cathy Binder: Aye.

Ann Cupka: Aye.

Vice Chairman Collins: Aye.

Jeffrey Stonehill: Aye.

Chairman Richard Granger: Any opposed? Chair votes Aye. Motion carries. And Chief, you got one more for us.

Department of Fire, Rescue & Emergency Services: Authorization to Enter into an Agreement to Purchase a Replacement Ambulance, Chief David Moody

Chief David Moody: The next item is a good segue into from the previous item is to award a goods and services agreement and for the purchase of a replacement ambulance. So, this is for this fiscal year FY 24. That was approved back in July for FY 24 CIP. We got proposals for the same specifications to replacement ambulance and the price came in at \$374,680. The recommended action that we are seeking is to issue an authorized County Administrator to take the following actions, and that's to issue a notice of award to FESCO Emergency Sales and the amount of \$374,680 to transfer the \$24,680 from the capital projects construction to emergency services, capital replacement vehicles, and to authorize the execution of a goods and services agreement with FESCO Emergency Sales for the purchase of a Horton model 603 F ambulance mounted on a 2024 Dodge Ram 5500 4 by four cabin chassis in the amount of three SE \$374,680 subject to approval as to form by the County Attorney. Happy to answer additional questions that you may have.

Chairman Richard Granger: Do you have any questions for Chief?

Kelly Lackey: If I may, Mr. Chair, just one additional, observation about this is that this the proposed contract is through a cooperative procurement that expired on September 30th. They're in the process, they are thinking of getting an extension. So, I just wanted to note that my approval will be conditioned, of course, on the extension of that contract. We have to have a valid underlying contract. The chief knows that as well. But I just wanted to explain if for some reason there's a, a problem, I wanted you all to know why.

Chairman Richard Granger: So, if that did not get extended, then that would come back to the Board for some action.

Kelly Lackey: Correct. We'd have to find another source.

Chairman Richard Granger: Understood. Okay. Thank you Ms. Lackey for that clarification. Do we have any other, do we have any questions? I'll entertain a motion.

Jeffrey Stonehill: Mr. Chair. I move to authorize account administrator to take the following actions to issue a notice of award to FESCO Emergency Sales in the amount of \$374,680. That can't be right. \$374,680 and to transfer \$24,680 from the capital projects construction to the emergency service capital replacement of vehicles. Also authorize the execution of goods and

King George County Board of Supervisors
October 03, 2023
Meeting Minutes

service agreement with FESCO Emergency Sales for the purchase of a Horton Model 603 F ambulance mounted on a 2024 Dodge Ram 5500 4 by four cab and chassis in the amount of \$374,680 subject to approval as by form by the County Attorney.

Cathy Binder: Second.

Chairman Richard Granger: We have motion properly seconded. Do we have any further discussion? So, this is the issues notice of award for the dollar amount was the same as in the authorized the execution, which is \$374,680. Just to say it one more time just to make sure you got captured, I know there's a little blip in our packet. So, all in favor say aye.

Cathy Binder: Aye.

Ann Cupka: Aye.

Jeffrey Stonehill: Aye.

Vice Chairman Collins: Aye.

Chairman Richard Granger: Any opposed? Chair votes Aye. Motion carries. Thank you Chief.

Chief Moody: Thank you.

Discussion Item: Rollins Parcel Adjoining to Smoot Library:

Chairman Richard Granger: We have a discussion item. So, this is dealing with the Rollins parcel, which happens to be right outside of the Revercomb Building right over there. Thank You. Frame of reference. Thank you, Ms. Binder. And so, Ms. Cupka brought this and asked to have this at this as a discussion item. So, I'll ask Ms. Cupka if she has anything in particular she would like to share about this, and then we can move forward with discussion.

Ann Cupka: Thank you Mr. Chair. Thank you for agreeing to place this on the agenda for discussion this evening. So, I was contacted by members of the Rollins family regarding this very small parcel that does, about the library parcel, which is also County owned. Mr. Rollins did not feel while he served as a county employee that it would be appropriate for him to bring such a question to the Board of Supervisors. However, he has now passed, and the family is in the process of finalizing his estate. And so, they inquired to me as to whether the Board might be interested in acquiring this parcel. I did not enter into any negotiations whatsoever. I said that I would bring it to the Board to see what the Board's temperature was, whether we wanted our counsel to reach out to them to see if they had any suggested terms. Thank you.

Chairman Richard Granger: So, it's a really bizarre little piece of property that is surrounded by County property. I think it would make sense to try to bring it in and have it all be contiguous County property. So, I would be in favor of trying to reach out and see what terms they might be interested in.

King George County Board of Supervisors
October 03, 2023
Meeting Minutes



Cathy Binder: I'm not disagreeing because during election time.

Chairman Richard Granger: It can be.

Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: I'd like to buy that property myself. I've always wanted to buy it.

Chairman Richard Granger: Mr. Stonehill, do you have any amenable? Okay, so based on Ms. Cupka's recommendation of request legal counsel to reach out and I guess initiate discussion. Okay.

Ann Cupka: Thank you all.

Chairman Richard Granger: Thank you, Ms. Cupka.

Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: So, you're going to vote on that?

Chairman Richard Granger: Ms. Lackey, do we need a vote on that or is it just a consensus to direct Staff?

Kelly Lackey: Consensus for direction is fine. I'll have to bring back any terms if we get some hammered out to you all.

Chairman Richard Granger: Correct.

Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: I'm going to enter in negotiations too. So that's why I was asking for a motion. I could say, nay. I didn't know it was available for sale.

Chairman Richard Granger: Do we need a motion or not?

Ann Cupka: I move to direct the County Attorney to engage the Rollins family regarding County acquisition of the property in question.

Cathy Binder: I'll second it.

Chairman Richard Granger: We have a motion properly second. Do we have any discussion? All in favor say aye.

Cathy Binder: Aye.

Ann Cupka: Aye.

Jeffrey Stonehill: Aye.

Chairman Richard Granger: Any opposed?

Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: Nay.

Chairman Richard Granger: Chair votes aye. Motion carries. Okay. We'll move on to County Administrator's report.

County Administrator's Report:

Chris Miller: I'll be very brief. Your next meeting obviously is the 17th. And then of course it's election day and the offices will be closed. Your next meeting is in November. Your only meeting in November is scheduled meeting is the 14th of November, and it'll be regular time 6:30 PM. You all received an email from me last week that included Mr. Pancotti's resignation effective October 27th as the interim Community Development Director and also unfortunately as the Zoning Administrator. Mr. Pancotti, as I indicated in my memo to you all, or my email to you all, is going to the town of Culpepper. And we wish him luck. We are obviously now scrambling a little bit. One, we want to get the interim director stabilized. And that is, we are looking, I'll be very honest with you, we now are in a position where because of the Zoning Administrator having to be certified, we are going to have to look at a third party for a certain period of time. Some kind of consultant would serve in a role. Mr. Mestler and I are having meetings with some of the private planning firms to see if you will get scope of services. And our goal will be to have an action item. My goal, I mean, you have to have it actually, unless you're going to call a special meeting before the end of October at the next meeting on the 17th. I'll be reaching out to you all in letting you know where we go. We hope that we'll get the information about a possible third party. I do think that you need to settle in on that, on the Zoning Administrator. You will need a third party for a period of time. But the community interim community development director, we may still have some options. We're going to try to work through some things on that. I'm sorry to report that Mr. Mestler did indicate that he did in the process, since we've had it open since the end of July. We have five or is it two? We had some others that, but they had qualified applications. So only two. And Stafford is open right now. Prince William planning director's positions are open. It's not an easy task. And I know Derrick has talked to a number of people in the state about where we are on some things, and they indicated that it's just good luck right now. It's a hard task right now to find some people. We did have some people that had international experience. I don't know what that means. So, we do have some applicants that we can look at. But as I said, my plan is to bring you some action plan at the next meeting, and I'll be reaching out to you all to discuss where we are on things before the 17th. I'll answer any questions.

Chairman Richard Granger: Any questions for Mr. Miller?

Cathy Binder: I just have one.

Chairman Richard Granger: Go for it.

Cathy Binder: The preschool study, have we gotten that back from Mosley, the updated one?

Chris Miller: No, we have not. And I will reach out to Mr. Bell from Mosley and ask where

King George County Board of Supervisors
October 03, 2023
Meeting Minutes

they are. We were supposed to get that at the end of the month, so of September. So, I'll reach out and find out where we are.

Cathy Binder: That's why I asked. Thank you.

Chairman Richard Granger: Okay. Any other questions? If not, I know we had a close session that we had suspended, but I entertain a motion to move back in.

Closed Session:

Ann Cupka: Mr. Chair. I move that the King George County Board of Supervisors reconvene in closed session for discussion of a proposed local incentive package for a major economic development project pursuant to the following authorities, (1) Virginia Code section 2.2-3711.A.6 for discussion and consideration of investment of public funds where competition or bargaining is involved. Where if made public initially the financial interest of the County would be affected. (2) Virginia Code section 2.2-371.A.3.9 for discussion of information subject to the exclusion by Virginia code section 2.2-3705.6.3 for economic development arising from proprietary business information provided pursuant to a promise of confidentiality and or working papers or other information related to negotiation and bargaining concerning business citing or expansion for which disclosure would adversely affect the financial interest of the County. And (3) Virginia code section 2.2-3718 for discussion of specific legal matters requiring the provision of legal advice. I invite the County Administrator and the County Attorney because they are deemed necessary and or their presence will reasonably aid the Board in its consideration of one or more of the topics to be discussed pursuant to Virginia code section 2.2-3 712.F.

Jeffrey Stonehill: Second.

Chairman Richard Granger: We have a motion properly seconded. Do we have any further discussion? All favor say aye.

Cathy Binder: Aye.

Ann Cupka: Aye.

Jeffrey Stonehill: Aye.

Chairman Richard Granger: Any opposed?

Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: Nay.

Chairman Richard Granger: Chair Votes aye. Motion carries. We're in closed session.

Return to Public Meeting:

Jeffrey Stonehill: I move that the King George County Board of Supervisors return to public meeting and certified by vote that only public business matters lawfully exempt from open meeting requirements by Virginia Law and only such public business matters that were identified in the motion convening. The closed meetings were heard, discussed, or considered during the closed meeting with the following exception. Allen Parker, the Chairman of the Service Authority, was included in the initial discussions with the representatives of the development prospect, particularly with regard to possible negotiations of additional water services infrastructure when it was mentioned that two other Members of the Service Authority were present, although in their capacities as Supervisors, Mr. Parker was immediately excluded from the meeting. There was no deviation from the substance of matters stated in the motion to convene closed meeting and internal discussions about the proposed local incentive package was after Mr. Parker was excused from the meeting.

Chairman Richard Granger: Do we have a second?

Cathy Binder: Second.

Chairman Richard Granger: We a have motion properly seconded. We will vote by roll call. Ms. Binder.

Cathy Binder: So, certify.

Chairman Richard Granger: Mr. Collins.

Vice Chairman T.C. Collins: I do not certify.

Chairman Richard Granger: Ms. Cupka.

Ann Cupka: So certify.

Chairman Richard Granger: Mr. Stonehill.

Jeffrey Stonehill: So certify.

Chairman Richard Granger: Chair certifies, we are back in open session. I will entertain a motion.

King George County Board of Supervisors
October 03, 2023
Meeting Minutes

Adjournment:

Jeffrey Stonehill: I move to adjourn till Tuesday, October 17, 2023, at 6:30 PM here in the Boardroom.

Cathy Binder: Second.

Chairman Richard Granger: We have a motion properly seconded. Do we have any further discussion? All in favor say aye.

Cathy Binder: Aye.

Ann Cupka: Aye.

Vice Chairman Collins: Aye.

Jeffrey Stonehill: Aye.

Chairman Richard Granger: Any Opposed? Chair votes aye. Motion carries. We're adjourned.